The Quest for Liberation-in-Life

A Survey of Early Works on Hatha- and Rājayoga

Jason Birch

The Haṭha- and Rājayoga texts which were composed before the *Haṭhapradīpikā* (mid-fifteenth century CE) provide a window onto what might be considered the formative phase of these types of yoga. Liberation (*mokṣa, mukti*, etc.) is mentioned frequently throughout this literature. Although the practice of Haṭha- and Rājayoga is said to bestow supernatural powers (*siddhi*) and mundane benefits, such as healing diseases, both yogas are undoubtedly soteriological because their main aim is to bring about liberation from transmigration (*saṃsāra*).

The survey of the early Haṭha- and Rājayoga corpus in this chapter reveals that a fundamental premise for the attainment of liberation is the successful practice of yoga. The culmination of the practice is a profound state of meditation, in which the yogin does not breathe, think, or move. This meditative state is called various names, such as *rājayoga, amanaska, unmanī, laya, samādhi, nirālamba,* and *sahaja,* which tend to be used interchangeably in these works.¹ In this chapter, I shall refer to it by the generic term *samādhi*. On the whole, *samādhi* is the necessary and sufficient cause for liberation in Haṭha- and Rājayoga texts. Even though gnosis (*jñāna*) and ritual (*kriyā*) may be mentioned in these works, both are unimportant for the attainment of *samādhi*, if not altogether superfluous. Although in some cases gnosis may characterize the liberated state, the study of scripture or the contemplation of doctrinal truths is not presented as a principal means to liberation.

The survey of this corpus further reveals that the ultimate goal of the prescribed yogas is the attainment of liberation-in-life (*jīvanmukti*). That is to say, the yogin remains alive after liberation, as opposed to being liberated at death, which was the default position, as it were, of Vedic Brahmanical religions. Seeing that these works tend to expound on practical matters and avoid, perhaps deliberately, philosophical or theoretical concerns, statements about the nature of liberation are in many cases piecemeal and not entirely consistent. Nonetheless, it is clear

¹ For a longer list of these terms, see *Haṭhapradīpikā* 4.2–4.4. The earliest works to use these terms as though they were synonyms include the *Amanaska*, the *Candrāvalokana*, and the *Yogatārāvalī*. These terms refer to the same state of *samādhi* because, unlike the *Pātañjalayogaśāstra*, Haṭha- and Rājayoga texts do not teach stages of *samādhi* that would suggest differences in their meaning.

that at least two different conceptions of the liberated yogin are presented. Some texts emphasize the liberated yogin's complete transcendence of the world, which is implied by his blissful state of minimal physical and mental activity, whereas other texts state explicitly the yogin's power to act in the world at will. I have attempted to understand these differences within the framework of 'freedom from' (*moksa*) and 'freedom to' (*siddhi*), bearing in mind, as Watson, Goodall, and Sarma (2013: 19) have noted, that this dichotomy is 'useful not because we can equate one kind with liberation, but because we see how the two kinds are differentially present within the various liberation doctrines'.

Most of the early works on Haṭha- and Rājayoga have not been critically edited or translated into English. Section 1 of this chapter ('Corpus of Early Haṭha- and Rājayoga') will provide the first survey of teachings on *samādhi* and liberation in these works. Section 2 addresses the meaning of the term *rājayoga* and section 3 discusses the relationship between Rājayoga and liberation-in-life, an essential conception of which can be traced back to earlier Kaula traditions. Section 4 of the chapter will examine how Rājayoga and liberation were understood in the *Haṭhapradīpikā*, which is largely an anthology of the teachings of the early Haṭhaand Rājayoga texts (Bouy 1994: 40). I attempt to answer the more specific question of how its author Svātmārāma resolved the tension between transcendence and power, which is apparent in many of the works he used for the *Haṭhapradīpikā*. My research concludes that Svātmārāma favoured 'freedom from' by regarding the attainment of *samādhi* as identical with liberation and, in so doing, tends to understate the *siddhi*-orientated liberation.

1. Corpus of Early Hatha- and Rājayoga

The early works of Haṭha- and Rājayoga have been identified by the verses which Svātmārāma borrowed for his *Haṭhapradīpikā*.² The estimates for their date of composition are based on the textual borrowings between them and other Sanskrit works.³ It should be noted that not all of the yoga texts in this corpus name their systems of yoga as Haṭha- or Rājayoga. Nonetheless, so much of their theory and practice is similar or, at least, relevant to one another that all of them should be considered important for understanding the early formative phase of these types of yoga. I have ordered the texts according to the theme of

² Since one of the main concerns of this chapter is to assess how Svātmārāma synthesized earlier conceptions of Rājayoga and liberation in his *Haṭhapradīpikā*, I have excluded some works that teach techniques of Haṭhayoga before the fifteenth century, which were not a source for the *Haṭhapradīpikā*. Examples include the *Amaraughaśāsana* and the yoga sections of the *Śārṅgadharapaddhati*. For the same reason, I have not included a few works of this period in other languages, which incorporate either techniques or systems of Haṭha- or Rājayoga, such as the *Jñāneśvarī*, *Vivekadarpaṇa, Tattvasāra*, and *Vivekasindhu*.

³ For information on the dates of these works, see Birch 2011: 528 and Birch 2018a: 5–8.

transcendence (i.e. 'freedom from') and power (i.e. 'freedom to'). Those at the beginning more closely equate liberation with the transcendent state of *samādhi*, whereas those towards the end describe more explicitly the liberated yogin's power to act in the world. Those in the centre do not clearly emphasize one or the other.

Vivekamārtaņļa (twelfth to thirteenth century) Candrāvalokana (fourteenth century) Yogatārāvalī (fourteenth century) Amanaska, chapter two (eleventh to early twelfth century) Gorakṣaśataka (early fourteenth century) Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā (twelfth century) and the Yogayājñavalkya (thirteenth to fourteenth century) Amṛtasiddhi (eleventh century) Amaraughaprabodha, short redaction (twelfth century) Dattātreyayogašāstra (thirteenth century) Yogabīja (thirteenth to fourteenth century) Khecarīvidyā (thirteenth to fourteenth century) Śivasaṃhitā (fifteenth century)

1.1. The Vivekamārtaņda

The *Vivekamārtaņḍa* teaches a yoga with six auxiliaries (*saḍaṅga*), which it does not identify as either Haṭha- or Rājayoga. However, this Śaiva text contains one of the earliest accounts of Haṭhayogic *mudrās*, including the three *bandhas*, namely, *mūlabandha*, *uḍḍiyāṇabandha*, *jālandharabandha*, *mahāmudrā khecarī*, and *viparītakaraṇa*. The aim of its yoga is liberation. In fact, the *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* twice refers to itself as a 'ladder to liberation' (1, 198). The role of its auxiliaries in the attainment of liberation is stated as follows:

Diseases are cured by yogic posture ($\bar{a}sana$), sin is [destroyed] by holding the breath ($pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$) and the best of yogins cures his mental disturbances by withdrawing [his mind from sense objects] ($praty\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$). Stability of the mind is produced by concentration ($dh\bar{a}ran\bar{a}$), wondrous power by meditation ($dhy\bar{a}na$) and [the yogin] obtains liberation by $sam\bar{a}dhi$, after having abandoned [all] action, good and bad.⁴

⁴ Vivekamārtaņda 92-3 (āsanena rujo hanti prāņāyāmena pātakam | pratyāhāreņa yogīndro vikāram hanti mānasam ||92|| dhāranayā manodhairyam dhyānād aiśvaryam adbhutam | samādher mokşam āpnoti tyaktvā karma śubhāśubham ||93|| 93a dhāranayā mano-] Nowotny Ed.: dhāranā manaso Codex).

The verses following the above passage indicate how the yogin progresses through the auxiliaries:

By [practising] breath retentions twelve times, withdrawal from sense objects is said [to occur]. By practising this withdrawal twelve times, good concentration arises. The practice of concentration twelve times is said to be meditation by those skilled in meditation. By practising meditation twelve times, it is called *samādhi*. The fruit of *samādhi* is the [appearance of] a light, unbounded on all sides. When it is seen, rites, action and [whatever] comes and goes cease.⁵

The definition of *samādhi* refers to the time spent in meditation. A subsequent verse elaborates on this by saying that concentration arises after two hours, meditation after a day, and *samādhi* after twelve days.⁶ The *Vivekamārtaņḍa* finishes with a lengthy description of *samādhi*, which is consistent with that of other texts in this corpus. The *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* does not mention liberation-in-life nor does it discuss liberation generally. The fact that the text ends with the following passage on *samādhi* suggests that its teachings aimed at complete transcendence of the world:

When the self and mind unite because of yoga, just as the fusion of salt and water by being mixed, it is called *samādhi*. When the breath perishes and the mind dissolves, and then the state of coalescence arises, it is called samādhi. In this system, the state of oneness of the individual self with the supreme self, in which all intentional thinking has disappeared, is called *samādhi*. [...] The yogin immersed in samādhi does not cognise smell, taste, form, touch, sound, himself nor another. The yogin immersed in samādhi is not aware of hot and cold, suffering and happiness nor pride and disgrace. The yogin immersed in samādhi is not consumed by time, troubled by [the fruits of] action nor afflicted by disease. The yogin immersed in *samādhi* is not pierced by any weapon, cannot be killed by anyone nor controlled by mantras and magical devices. The knowers of the reality [revealed by samādhi] know it to be without beginning or end and devoid of support, multiplicity, foundation, illness and form. The knowers of Brahman know it to be unmoving, untainted, eternal, without action and free of qualities. It is the great void, consciousness and bliss. Like milk poured into milk, ghee in ghee and fire in fire, the yogin immersed in samādhi becomes absorbed in that.⁷

⁵ Vivekamārtaņda 94-6 (prāņāyāmadvisatkena pratyāhārah prakīrtitah | pratyāhāradvisatkena jāyate dhāraņā subhā ||94|| dhāraņādvādasa proktam dhyānam dhyānavisāradaih | dhyānadvādasakenaiva samādhir abhidhīyate ||95|| yat samādhiphalam jyotir anantam visvatomukham | tasmin drste kriyā karma yātāyātam nivartate ||96|| 95b -visāradaih] Nowotny Ed.: -visārādeh Codex. 95c -dasakenaiva] emend.: -dasakoneva Codex).

⁶ Vivekamārtaņda 161 (dhāraņā pañcanādī syād dhyānam vai sastinādikam | dinadvādašakena syāt samādhih prānasamyamāt ||).

⁷ Vivekamārtanda 162–64, 166–72 (ambusaindhavayoh sāmyam yathā bhavati yogatah | tathātmamanasor aikyam samādhih so 'bhidhīyate ||162|| yadā samksīyate prāņo mānasam ca vilīyate |

1.2. The Candrāvalokana

The *Candrāvalokana*, which probably dates to the fourteenth century,⁸ is a short dialogue between Śiva and Matsyendranātha. The name of the text, which literally means 'looking at the moon', may be connected to esoteric explanations of the days of the new moon (*amāvāsyā*), lunar fortnight (*pratipat*), and full moon (*paurņamāsī*), as well as the practice of impeding the downward flow of nectar from the moon in the head. The *Candrāvalokana* does not refer to its yoga by the name Haṭha- or Rājayoga. However, it teaches techniques, such as *śāmbhavī mudrā*, with terminology and concepts that are characteristic of these yogas. The overall aim of the text is gnosis of Brahman, which is achieved by dissolving mind and breath and stopping the outward flow of nectar from the moon.

The first half of the *Candrāvalokana* focuses on achieving dissolution (*laya*) of the mind and breath by fixing the gaze (*dṛṣṭi*). The importance of dissolution for attaining gnosis and liberation is stated as follows:

How can gnosis exist in the mind when the mind does not die because the breath is alive? [When his] mind and breath dissolve, that man becomes liberated. There is no other way whatsoever.⁹

The second half of the work, which was redacted as part of the *Yogakundalyupanisat* (Bouy 1994: 41, 101), aims at stopping the flow of nectar from the moon by

tadā samarasatvam ca samādhih so 'bhidhīyate ||163|| yat samatvam dvayor atra jīvātmaparamātmanoh | samastanastasankalpam samādhih so 'bhidhīyate ||164|| na gandham na rasam rūpam na ca sparšam na nisvanam | nātmānam na param vetti yogī yuktah samādhinā ||166|| nābhijānāti šītoṣṇạm na duḥkham na sukham tathā | na mānam nāpamānam ca yogī yuktah samādhinā ||167|| khādyate na ca kālena bādhyate na ca karmanā | pīdyate na ca rogeṇa yogī yuktah samādhinā ||167|| khādyate na ca kālena bādhyate na ca karmanā | pīdyate na ca rogeṇa yogī yuktah samādhinā ||168|| abhedyaḥ sarvaśastrāṇām avadhyaḥ sarvadehinām | agrāhyo mantrayantrāṇām yogī yuktaḥ samādhinā ||168|| nirādyantam nirālambam nisprapañcam nirāśrayam | nirāmayam nirākāram tattvam tattvavido viduḥ ||170|| niścalam nirmalam nityam niḥkriyam nirguṇam mahat | vyoma vijñānam ānandam brahma brahmavido viduḥ||171|| dugdhe kṣīram ghṛte sarpir agnau vahnir ivārpitaḥ | tanmayatvam vrajaty eva yogī yuktaḥ samādhinā ||172|| 162a ambusaindhavayoḥ] emend.: am+saidhavayoḥ Codex. 164c. -sankalpam] emend.: -sankalpaḥ Codex. 166c nisvanam] emend.: nisvaram Codex.168a khādyate] Nowotny Ed.: pīdyate Codex. 169a śastrāṇām] corr.: śāstrāṇām Codex. 169c mantrayantrāṇām] Nowotny Ed.: mantratantrāṇām Codex).

⁸ The Candrāvalokana's terminus ad quem is the Haṭhapradīpikā (Bouy 1994: 14; Mallinson 2014: 244–5) and its terminus ad quo is probably the Amanaska (2.10 = Candrāvalokana 1) or the Anubhavanivedanastotra (1-2 = Candrāvalokana 2-3). The latter is attributed to Abhinavagupta by tradition. If the author of the Anubhavanivedanastotra were Abhinavagupta, then the Candrāvalokana would have been written after the tenth century. However, the Anubhavanivedanastotra may be more recent. Its attribution to Abhinavagupta is doubtful because it contains terminology not found in Abhinavagupta's other works, such as sāmbhavī mudrā, which is called parabhairavamudrā in his Mālinīślokavārttika and bhairavamudrā by his student Kṣemarāja (Birch 2014: 408, 425).

⁹ Candrāvalokana 7 (jñānam kuto manasi jīvati †devi† tāvat prāņe 'pi jīvati mano mriyate na yāvat | prāņo mano dvayam idam vilayam prayāti moksam sa gacchati naro na katham cid anyah ||7|| 7a jñānam kuto] 4345 : jñāto 75278 (unmetr.). devi] 75278, 4344 : kī+sti 4345. tāvat] conj. yāvat 4344, 4354, 75278. prāņe 'pi] 75,278, 4344 : prāņo 'pi 4345). Regarding the crux devi/kī+sti, the reading of devi is not possible because the Candrāvalokana is a dialogue between two males (i.e. Matsyendranātha and Šiva). moving the breath and *śakti* into the central channel and raising both upwards. Also, this involves a process of moving the breath into the six *cakras* and fixing it in the uppermost one (i.e. $\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$).

The *Candrāvalokana* seems to be entirely centred on liberation and does not mention a single *siddhi*. Although the teachings aim at transcending mind and death,¹⁰ the final words of Śiva suggest that Matsyendra, who is liberated by Śiva's favour after having heard the teachings, must return to the world:

[Śiva says,] 'son, go to the earth. You will save the three worlds'.¹¹

1.3. The Yogatārāvalī

The *Yogatārāvalī* ('a string of stars on yoga') is the shortest known Sanskrit text on Haṭha- and Rājayoga at only twenty-nine verses. Although nearly all the printed editions attribute this work to Śaṅkarācārya, most of the manuscript colophons consulted for this study do not support this.¹² The pattern of second-syllable rhyming and alliteration of the first syllable of a verse's quadrant (*pāda*) within that quadrant, which is unusual in Sanskrit works but more common in the poetry of South Indian vernacular languages, such as Tamil, strongly suggests this work was composed in South India.¹³ In the version of the text in printed editions, there are a few passing references to Vedāntic concepts, such as the four states of the Self referred to in Gauḍapāda's *Māņḍūkyopaniṣatkārikā*. However a few manuscripts, which may preserve a shorter, and perhaps older, version of the

¹⁰ Candrāvalokana 38cd: 'Yogins go to immortality, which is the same as the oneness [achieved] through samādhi' (samādhinaikena samam amṛtam yānti yoginaḥ).

¹¹ Candrāvalokana 45cd (gaccha putra prthivyām tvam trailokyam coddharisyasi).

¹² A descriptive catalogue of yoga manuscripts (Kaivalyadhama 2005: 232-9) reports seven manuscripts which attribute authorship to Govindabhagavatpūjyapāda, two to Nandīśvara, fourteen to Sankarācārya, and one to Sadāśiva. I have consulted most of these manuscripts and this catalogue is unreliable in regard to reporting authorship. For example, Ms No. 240-3748 Änandāśramasamsthā; Ms No. 75278 Adyar Research Library; Ms No. 6722 Sarasvatī Mahal Library Thanjavur; Ms No. 7970 Oriental Institute, MSU Baroda; Ms No. P5682/3 Mysore Oriental Research Institute; Ms No. 18/2 Sringeri Sharada Peetham; and Ms No. SD5051, D4357-9 GOML do not attribute the authorship to anyone. I have not consulted all the manuscripts in the above catalogue but I can confirm that Ms No. D4357 GOML and SR1873 GOML attributes authorship to Govindabhagavatpūjyapāda; Ms No. SR2126 GOML to Nandīśvara; and Ms No. 6-4-399 Prajñāpāthaśālā, Wai and Ms No. SR7043 GOML to Śańkarācārya. Ms No. SR6529 GOML has the title Yogatārāvalīstotra, which is attributed to Śankarācārya, but this text is a different redaction of the Yogatārāvalī. Also, Ms No. 72330 of the Adyar Research Library is a commentary on the Yogatārāvalī by the name of the Rājatarala, which was not composed by Śańkarācārya. This work was composed (sometime after the eighteenth-century Maņdalabrāhmaņopanisat) by Rāmasvāmipaņdita, who is described as a worshipper of Śankarācārya's feet (śrīśamkarācāryapādakimkara). In my view, the text was probably attributed to Śańkarācārya sometime after it was composed because three old palm-leaf manuscripts, which have been among the most valuable witnesses for reconstructing the text and one of which is held at the Sringeri Sharada Peetham, do not mention Śańkarācārya. In fact, one of these (PUL, Ms. No. 412) attributes the work to Goraksanātha.

¹³ I would like to thank Dominic Goodall for pointing this out at a reading workshop, organized by the Haṭha Yoga Project and the Ecole française d'Extrême-Orient, January 2018.

text, do not have the verses with vedāntic concepts, suggesting that some material, including the last verse translated below, was added at a later time.¹⁴ Nonetheless, the text is largely free from doctrine and sectarian markers that might identify it with a particular religion or place. The date of composition was sometime after the *Amanaska* and before the *Hathapradīpikā* (Birch 2015: 5–8).

The Yogatārāvalī teaches a system of yoga in which Haṭhayoga is the chief means to Rājayoga.¹⁵ The physical practice of Haṭhayoga is the application of the three locks (*bandha*) during deliberate breath retentions. This induces a spontaneous breath retention called *kevalakumbhaka*, which in turn produces Rājayoga. The Yogatārāvalī's author made use of a similar array of synonyms for Rājayoga, such as amanaska, manonmanī, and yoganidrā, as is found in both the Amanaska's second chapter and the Haṭhapradīpikā. A nod to the former is suggested by the use of amanaskamudrā in referring to the technique more commonly known in this literature as śāmbhavī mudrā. Unlike other Haṭha- and Rājayoga texts, the Yogatārāvalī concludes with a somewhat poetic description of the yogin abiding in the complete stillness of samādhi:

Oh! When the sun of the supreme self is shining and the darkness of all ignorance is disappearing, wise men, though their sight is untainted, see nothing whatsoever of the multiplicity of the world. In caves on the peak of Śrīśaila [mountain], when will I experience *samādhi*'s culmination in which dissolution of the mind is such that vines cover my body and birds build a nest in my ear?¹⁶

Liberation is not mentioned explicitly in the *Yogatārāvalī*. The following verse, which may have been added later to the text,¹⁷ further suggests that the yogin is liberated-in-life:

Let this mind [of mine] wander into thoughtless *samādhi* or into the plump breasts of [women] whose eyes are [as alluring as those of] the spotted black

¹⁴ These manuscripts are Ms No. 75278, Adyar Research Library, Ms No. 240–3748, Änandāśramasamsthā and Ms. No. 412, Panjab University Library Lahore, which omit verses 22, 26, and 29 of the Vārāņāseya Saṃskṛta Saṃsthāna edition. These verses are included in other editions and manuscripts but their numbering may differ.

¹⁵ Two important manuscripts (i.e. Ms No. P5682/3 Mysore Oriental Research Institute; Ms No. 18/2 Sringeri Sharada Peetham) insert headings and colophons which indicate that verses 2–5 concern Layayoga and 6–13, Hathayoga. Although this is plausible, the *Yogatārāvalī* does not refer to Layayoga. If one ignores these headings, it is possible that verses 2–5 are describing the fusion of the mind with the resonance (*nādānusandhāna*) which is achieved by the practice of *kumbhakas*, explained by verses 6–13, in which there is a reference to Hathayoga.

¹⁶ Yogatārāvalī 27-8 (prakāśamāne paramātmabhānau naśyaty avidyātimire samaste | aho budhā nirmaladrstayo 'pi kiñ cin na paśyanti jagatprapañcam || siddhim tathāvidhamanovilayām samādheḥ śrīśailaśrngakuharesu kadopalapsye | gātram yathā mama latāḥ parivestayanti karņe yathā viracayanti khagāś ca nīdam).

⁷ See footnote 15.

deer. Let it do ceaseless repetition of a mantra or likewise small talk. The merits and faults produced by thought do not touch me, the all-pervading [self].¹⁸

The culmination of the *Yogatārāvalī*'s teachings is complete transcendence of the world and mind. The outcome is not orientated towards attaining power (*siddhi*) in the world. The reference to an 'all-pervading' self (*vibhu*), which is untouched by thought, merit, and so on, merely reflects the vedāntic undertones of this version of the text. It is possible that the original work finished with the yogin enveloped by creepers in a cave and left open the question of whether he emerged to act in the world.

1.4. The Amanaska (Second Chapter)

The *Amanaska* ('the no-mind state') consists of two chapters, which were probably composed separately in different centuries and combined sometime before the eighteenth century.¹⁹ The second chapter, which is the older of the two and teaches a system of Rājayoga, predates the twelfth-century Jain scholar Hemacandra (Birch 2014: n. 21). The available printed editions present a redaction of the text that was probably made in South India sometime after the fifteenth century. There is considerable manuscript evidence for a shorter redaction which predates the South Indian one and was prevalent in North India and, more recently, Nepal (Birch 2013).

The second chapter of the shorter redaction begins with Vāmadeva asking Šiva to teach him the advanced yoga that should follow the preliminary one he has learned. Šiva replies that the advanced yoga is called Rājayoga,²⁰ and it is made clear early in this chapter that the main technique of Rājayoga, namely *śāmbhavī*

²⁰ Amanaska 1–3ab: 'Vāmadeva said, "O Lord, chief god of gods, [you] who are beautiful because of [your] supreme bliss, I have obtained the extensive preliminary yoga by your favour. Tell [me] about that other [yoga] which was mentioned by your lordship." Śiva replied, "The preliminary [yoga] is furnished with external mudrās and [thus] it is regarded as an external yoga. [Whereas] the other [yoga] is richly endowed with an internal mudrā [and] for that reason, it alone is the internal yoga. The [internal yoga] is called Rājayoga. O chief of sages."' (vāmadeva uvāca | bhagavan devadevesa paramānandasundara | tvatprasādān mayā labdhah pūrvayogah savistarah | aparam kim tad ākhyāhi bhavatā yad udīritam ||1|] īsvara uvāca | bahirmudrānvitam pūrvam bahiryogam ca tan matam | antarmudrādħyam aparam antaryogam tad eva hi ||2|] rājayogah sa kathitah sa eva munipungava).

¹⁸ Yogatārāvalī 29 (vicaratu matir esā nirvikalpe samādhau kucakalašayuge vā krsņasāreksaņānām | caratu japam ajasram jalpam alpam samam vā matikrtaguņadosā mām vibhum na spršanti).

¹⁹ The earliest dated manuscript known to me that has both chapters and the name *Amanaska* is at the Sanskrit University Library (Sarasvati Bhavana), Varanasi (Ms No. 30111). It is dated *samvat* 1778 *sare 'smin vaisākhamāse krṣnapakṣe saptamyām bhrguvāre*, which is 18.4.1721 cE. The earliest text to quote verses from both chapters with attribution to the *Amanaska* is the *Gorakṣasiddhāntasangraha*, which may date to the nineteenth century (Birch 2013: 165–6). The *terminus ad quem* of the *Amanaska*'s first chapter is Śivānandasarasvatī's *Yogacintāmaņi*, which was composed in the late sixteenth or early seventeenth century (Birch 2014: 403).

mudrā, bestows liberation-in-life.²¹ The simple assumption behind the practice of this *mudrā* is that liberation arises when both the mind and breath disappear. The following verse succinctly states this:

Therefore, having abandoned all sense objects because of meditation on an aspectless self, the breath disappears, then the mind and, because of the disappearance of that, liberation arises. O adepts, having realised this, first and foremost make an effort to accomplish the no-mind state, which is natural, pure, aspectless and unchanging.²²

Liberation is contrasted with transmigration (*samsāra*) in the same terms. The former arises when the mind is still and the latter when the mind is moving.²³ The text pursues this idea to its logical conclusion, that being that the yogin in *samādhi* is liberated:²⁴

[The Rājayogin] who always remains as though asleep in the state of waking and is free from breathing in and out, is certainly liberated.²⁵

The *Amanaska* does not teach yogic suicide (*utkrānti*) nor does it mention a transformative process after the no-mind state has been attained.²⁶ The question of whether the yogin engages with the world after liberation is answered towards the end of the text:

For one who is thus [well absorbed²⁷], meritorious and unmeritorious actions are completely destroyed. When those actions are being performed by such a sage, they do not taint him at all. The wise person in whom the bliss of the

²¹ Amanaska 2.15: '[Just as Arjuna's] fist [aimed his bow] upwards [at the yantra], [yet] his gaze was [on Rādhā's reflection in a bowl of oil] below; his piercing [of the target] was above, [yet] his head was [tilted] down, [just so the yogin practises sāmbhavī mudrā.] He will become liberated-in-life by [this] method of [gazing down at] Rādhā and [aiming upwards at the] yantra' (ūrdhvamusțir adhodrșțir ūrdhvavedhas tv adhaḥśirāḥ | rādhāyantravidhānena jīvanmukto bhaviṣyati).

²² Amanaska 2.41 (tasmāt tyaktvā sakalavişayān nişkalādhyātmayogād vayor nāśas tadanu manasas tadvināsāc ca mokşah | sañcintyaivam sahajam amalam nişkalam nirvikāram prāptum yatnam kuruta kuśalāh pūrvam evāmanaskam).

²³ Amanaska 2.92 (citte calati saṃsāro 'cale mokṣaḥ prajāyate | tasmāc cittaṃ sthirīkuryād audāsīnyaparāyaṇaḥ). Cf. Devīkālottara 10 and Śivayogaratna 3 (citte calati saṃsāro niścale mokṣa eva tu | tasmāc cittaṃ sthiraṃ kuryāt prajñayā parayā budhaḥ).

²⁴ For these qualifications of the no-mind state, see Amanaska 2.41, 77, 110.

²⁵ Amanaska 2.59, 60cd, 62 (sadā jāgradavasthāyām suptavad yo 'vatisthate | niśvāsocchvāsahīnaś ca niścitam mukta eva sah).

²⁶ This contrasts with the first chapter of the *Amanaska* (probably composed in the late fifteenth or early sixteenth century). The end of the first chapter states that the yogin spends twenty-four years in *samādhi*, at which time he remains absorbed in the Śakti element, sees the entire world as a pearl in his hand, and truly knows the essential nature of his own body (1.82–3). The teachings of the first chapter are prompted by Vāmadeva asking Śiva for a means to liberation-in-life (*jīvanmukti*).

 $^{2^{\}hat{7}}$ The previous two verses (2.98–9) describe the highest stage of yoga called 'well-absorbed' (*suslista*).

natural [no-mind state] has emerged, who is naturally devoted to constant practice and who has completely freed himself of all volition, relinquishes action.²⁸

The liberated yogin, as conceived by the *Amanaska*, remains free of intention (*sańkalpa*) and action, because of his constant practice of yoga (*sadābhyāsa*). Thus, even if he were to do something, he remains free of karmic effects (*karmatyāga*). The text's emphasis on a liberation free from action and cognition, with the exception of bliss (2.97–8, 100), is further reinforced by the theme of detachment (*audāsīnya*), which is prescribed for the practice (2.52, 54) and continues in the no-mind state (2.80).

1.5. The Gorakşaśataka

The yoga of the *Gorakṣaśataka* aims at liberation from the world (*bhavamukti*) through gnostic realizations about the body and universe, which occur after the attainment of *samādhi* by the conquest of the breath (*marujjaya*) and the raising of *kuṇḍalinī*.²⁹ The breath is conquered by adopting a moderate diet (*mitāhāra*), a yogic posture (*āsana*), and moving *kuṇḍalinī* (*śakticāla*) (11). The text does not refer to Haṭha- or Rājayoga, but it is the earliest known text to teach four of the *Haṭhapradīpikā*'s eight breath retentions (*kumbhaka*).³⁰ The *Gorakṣaśataka*'s description of *samādhi* is very brief. It simply says:

Now, I shall teach the best method for *samādhi (samādhikrama*), which is deathdestroying and a means to [transcendental] happiness. It always brings about the bliss of Brahman.³¹

The 'best method' referred to here is stimulating *sarasvatī* (i.e. *kuņḍalinī*) by manipulating the tongue with a cloth (*sarasvatīcālana*) and performing the *kumbhakas* with the three internal locks (*bandha*) (51ab). The *kumbhakas* are supposed to move the breath into the central channel (63ab) and raise *kuṇḍalinī* (75).

The connection between *samādhi* and liberation is not stated explicitly in the *Gorakṣaśataka*. However, one might infer from the following description of the

²⁸ Amanaska 2.99–100 (evambhūtasya karmāņi puņyāpuņyāni samkşayam | prayānti naiva limpanti kriyamāņāni sādhunā || utpannasahajānandah sadābhyāsaratah svayam | sarvasankalpasamtyaktah sa vidvān karma samtyajet).

²⁹ The *Gorakşaśataka* being discussed here has 101 verses. It is different to another yoga text of the same name, which has nearly 200 verses that are similar to those of the *Vivekamārtaņḍa*. See Bouy 1994: 40–1.

³⁰ These *kumbhakas* are called *sūryā*, *ujjāyī*, *śītalī*, and *bhastrī*.

³¹ Gorakşaśataka 63cd–64ab (athedānīm pravakşyāmi samādhikramam uttamam | mṛtyughnam sukhadopāyam brahmānandakaram sadā).

liberated yogin that he is in a state of *samādhi*, because it is similar to accounts of *samādhi* in other yoga texts of this corpus:

He is indeed liberated whose mind is at rest because of yoga, not awake, asleep or in any other [state] and does not cease or arise. One whose breath does not flow in or out; does not move in the left or right [nostril] and does not go up or down, is undoubtedly liberated. There are two causes of the mind: a past impression ($v\bar{a}san\bar{a}$) and the breath. When one of the two disappears, then both also disappear. Therefore, conquer the breath first. Thus, a man who is bound is liberated and is freed from old age and so on.³²

The *Gorakṣaśataka* does not use the term *jīvanmukti*. However, its last twelve verses, which have been poorly preserved by the two available manuscripts, appear to describe seven levels of liberating gnosis, without mentioning any *sid-dhis*. The conclusion does not suggest that the yogin casts off his body, but remains alive in a gnostic state.

1.6. The Vasisthasamhitā and the Yogayājñavalkya

The Vasiṣṭhasamhitā and the Yogayājñavalkya can be discussed together, because the former was the source of much of the latter's content. In fact, the Yogayājñavalkya borrows over 250 of its verses from the first four chapters of the Vasiṣṭhasamhitā (2005: 28) and simply adds some additional passages. Both works teach a very similar type of aṣṭānġayoga that derives from earlier Vaiṣṇava works, in particular the Vimānārcanākalpa (Mallinson 2014: 227–8), a Vaikhanāsa work that may date to the ninth century (Colas 2003: 158). Also, the yoga of the Vasiṣṭhasamhitā and the Yogayājñavalkya is similar in content and style to that of the Sūtasamhitā³³ and some Pāñcarātrika texts, such as the Ahirbudhnyasamhitā. Sometime between the thirteenth and fifteenth century, it appears that this aṣṭānġayoga was combined with the ten mudrās of Kapila to form a system of Haṭhayoga, as evinced in the Dattātreyayogaśāstra (29). However, neither the Vasiṣṭhasamhitā nor the Yogayājñavalkya refer to their yoga as Haṭha- or Rājayoga.

The current version of the *Vasisțhasamhitā* has eight chapters. The first four appear to have been either the earliest layer of the current text, to which the

³³ See chapters 12–20 of the *jñānayogakhanda* in the *Sūtasamhitā*.

³² Gorakşaśataka 7–10 (cittam prasuptam yogena jāgrat suptam na cānyathā | nāstam eti na codeti yasyāsau mukta eva hi ||7|| praveše nirgame vāme daksiņe cordhvam apy adhaḥ | na yasya vāyur vahati sa mukto nātra samśayaḥ ||8|| hetudvayam ca cittasya vāsanā ca samīraṇaḥ | tayor vinaṣṭa ekasmims tad dvāv api vinaśyataḥ ||9|| tasmād ādau samīrasya vijayam kuru samyutaḥ | yas tv evam puruṣo mukto bhaven mukto jarādibhiḥ ||10||. Cf. Mokṣopāya 5.92.48 (dve bīje rāma cittasya prāŋaspandanavāsane | ekasmims ca tayor naṣṭe kṣipram dve api naśyataḥ).

other chapters were added at a later time, or a different work with which the other chapters were combined to create the *Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā*.³⁴ The first four chapters appear to have been composed by Vaiṣṇava Smārta Brahmins, whereas the other chapters may derive from Śaiva sources.³⁵

The *Vasiṣṭhasamhitā* (1.24–31) and the *Yogayājñavalkya* (1.24–44) present *aṣṭāṅgayoga* as an auxiliary to internal gnostic daily rites (*nityakarma*). Following the injunctions of the Vedas, both texts enjoin the performance of daily rites for attaining liberation, but divide them into external and internal rites. The internal rite is a contemplative practice that should be accompanied by knowledge (*jñāna*), which is later defined as *aṣṭāṅgayoga*:

The internal [rite] is a practice according to [Vedic] rule [done] with only the intellect on the self [...]. O learned Brahmin, since even gnostics desirous of liberation do rites, you also should perform these rites with knowledge. [...] Know that [this] knowledge is essentially yoga and yoga is located in oneself. This yoga is endowed with eight auxiliaries and it is said to be a religion for all.³⁶

The *Vasiṣṭhasamhitā* and the *Yogayājñavalkya* claim that liberation-in-life (*jīvanmukti*) can be achieved by the practice of yoga.³⁷ *Samādhi* is discussed at length because the system of *aṣṭāṅgayoga* culminates in it. However, in the section on meditation (*dhyāna*), an interesting distinction between liberation-in-life and permanent liberation is suggested:

After a year [of visualizing nectar in meditation], one is without doubt liberated while living. One liberated-in-life never incurs suffering at any place. What

³⁶ Vasişthasamhitā 1.23cd, 27, 1.31 (ābhyantaram tu buddhyaiva vidhyānuşthānam ātmani || yatah karmaiva kurvanti jñānino 'pi mumukşavah | tatas tvam api viprendra jñānenācara karma tat || [...] jñānam yogātmakam viddhi yogaś cātmani tişthati | sa yogo 'ştāngasamyuktah sarvadharmah sa ucyate ||123d vidhy-] mss. ra, la, śa, buddhy- ed). Cf. Yogayājñavalkya 1.39 and 1.44.

³⁴ The fact that the main topic of the *Vasisthasamhitā*'s first four chapters is a Vaiṣṇava version of *aṣtāṅgayoga* and that the fourth chapter concludes with verses proclaiming the merits of reading the text indicates that these chapters were written as a unit. The remaining chapters introduce new topics, namely, knowing the time of death (*nāsakāla*), overcoming death by means of *samādhi*, seeing auspicious and inauspicious results and the time of death at equinoctial and solstitial points (*ayana*), and signs (*cihna*) of death.

³⁵ The Vaiṣṇava background of this *aṣṭāṅgayoga* is revealed by references to Viṣṇu (e.g. *Vasiṣṭhasamhitā* 1.11–1.12 *Yogayājñavalkya* 1.12–1.13, 12.45–12.46), visualization practices on Viṣṇu (e.g. *Vasiṣṭhasamhitā* 4.27–4.38, *Yogayājñavalkya* 9.13–9.23), etc., as well as the Vaiṣṇava textual sources from which it is adapted (mentioned above). The Smārta element is the Vedic framing of the teachings in the first chapter of both works, references on caste and position in life (*varņāśrama*) (e.g. *Vasiṣṭhasamhitā* 1.20–1.25, *Yogayājñavalkya* 1.21–1.25), the importance of performing Vedic rites with gnosis (see below), etc. The Śaiva orientation of the sixth chapter is indicated by the mention of Rudra and the recitation of the *tryambaka* verse in various methods for conquering death. I would like to thank Lubomír Ondračka for bringing this Śaiva influence in the *Vasiṣṭhasamhitā* to my attention.

³⁷ The term *jīvanmukta* is mentioned at *Vasisṭḥasaṃhitā* 4.14d and 4.47a and *Yogayājñavalkya* 9.41a.

more [can be said] of one permanently liberated (*nityamukta*)? For this reason, liberation [proper] is difficult to obtain. Therefore, O learned Brahmin, for attaining liberation follow my teaching and do daily rites, which are void of rewards, in conjunction with knowledge (i.e., *aṣtāṅgayoga*).³⁸

This statement implies that liberation-in-life was not thought to be permanent in this tradition. The notion of two types of liberation is somewhat similar to attempts by some Vedāntin philosophers to distinguish between liberation-inlife and liberation at death, the latter of which is sometimes said to be more complete because all karma is exhausted.³⁹ In the *Vasisṭhasaṃhitā* and the *Yogayājñavalkya*, the concept of a permanent liberation implies that the yogin must continue to perform daily rites or, in this case, yoga, even when liberatedin-life. The twofold liberation, as well as the defining of yoga as a form of daily ritual, appears to have been contrived to defend Brahmin householders from accusations that they were transgressing the Vedas by not doing daily rites when engaged in the practice of yoga and inactive states of meditation. In the following passage, the *Yogayājñavalkya* addresses this point more explicitly than the *Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā*:

Gārgi asked, "O lord, how can a man engaged in yoga perform his vedic rites regularly or at the junctures of the day? What is the expiation for one not doing them?" [...] Yājñavalkya replied, "O Gārgi, for a man engaged in yoga, the rites that should be done at the junctures of the day or at night have been accomplished by his yoga [practice]. When his own [internal] fire of the *agnihotra* rite is ignited by breath retentions, what expiation is needed by [such a yogin,] who is offering rites as taught by vedic injunction with his purified mind as the oblation, O child? Then, indeed, he is one who has performed his rites. When separation (*viyoga*) of the individual self with the supreme self is experienced, knowers of Brahman should regularly perform rites as taught by vedic injunction. At the time of separation, the yogin who abandons his rites, thinking 'it is only suffering', his resting place is hell. Since people cannot abandon their rites entirely, yogins should always perform their vedic rites until death. O Gārgi, do not be one who has transgressed. Perform your vedic rites."⁴⁰

³⁸ Vasisthasamhitā 4.46cd-48 (vatsarān mukta eva syāj jīvann eva na samsayah || jīvanmuktasya na kvāpi duhkhāvāptih kadācana | kim punar nityamuktasya tasmān muktir hi durlabhā || tasmāt tvam api viprendra muktaye kuru madvacah | jūānena saha karmāni phalasūnyāni nityasah). Cf. Yogayājāvaulkya 9.41.

³⁹ For example, *Sānkhyapravacanabhāşya* 1.1 (of Vijñānabhikşu): 'The respective difference is that, in the state of liberation-in-life, latent states of suffering called seeds are burnt except for the consequences of [currently] activated karma (*prārabdhakarma*), whereas in bodiless liberation it is [all] destroyed along with the mind' (*jīvanmuktidasāyām ca prārabdhakarmaphalātiriktānām duḥkhānām anāgatāvasthānām bījākhyānām dāho*, videhakaivalye tu cittena saha vināsa ity avāntaraviseṣaḥ).

⁴⁶ Yogayājñavalkya 11.2 11.4–11.9 (gārgy uvāca | yogayukto narah svāmin sandhyayor vāthavā sadā | vaidham karma katham kuryān niskrtih kā tv akurvatah || yājñavalkya uvāca | yogayuktamanuşyasya sandhyayor vāthavā niśi | yat kartavyam varārohe yogena khalu tat krtam || ātmāgnihotravahnau tu

1.7. The Amrtasiddhi

The *Amṛtasiddhi* does not call its system of yoga Haṭha- or Rājayoga. Nonetheless, it is the earliest known textual source on three physical *mudrās*, namely *mahāmudrā, mahābandha*, and *mahāvedha*, which became important techniques in nearly all medieval systems of Haṭhayoga. The *Amṛtasiddhi* also contains detailed descriptions of certain theoretical notions, such as a store of semen in the head being slowly consumed by the fire of the abdomen and the interdependence of semen, mind, and breath, that are mentioned in many subsequent yoga texts (Mallinson 2016a: 6). However, much of its detailed and somewhat eccentric hybrid doctrine, which appears to have been intended for esoteric Buddhists who had rejected deity yoga (Szántó 2016), is absent in Haṭha- and Rājayoga texts. The *Amṛtasiddhi* contains chapters on *samādhi* and *jīvanmukti*, the latter term being extremely rare in Buddhist works,⁴¹ despite it occurring in Śaiva and vedāntic works of the same era.⁴²

The chapter on *samādhi* immediately follows a chapter on the mastery of the breath (*vāyusiddhi*), in which the breath becomes still when the sound of a drum (*mardala*) arises in the central channel (25.2). This causes *samādhi*, which is described as follows:

[When] that breath is full of perfection and motionless in the central channel, then the mind becomes full of bliss and uniform like the sky. When the mind is full of bliss and is free from external afflictions, sufferings of the world are extinguished and *samādhi* then arises.⁴³

According to the next chapter, the attainment of *samādhi* prefects the mind:

When the mind is refined by *samādhi* and full of natural bliss, then it is perfected and destroys all suffering and fear.⁴⁴

prāņāyāmair vivardhite | viśuddhacittahaviṣā vidhyuktam karma juhvatah || niṣkṛtis tasya kim bāle kṛtakṛtyas tadā khalu | viyoge sati samprāpte jīvātmaparamātmanoh || vidhyuktam karma kartavyam brahmavidbhiś ca nityaśah | viyogakāle yogī ca duḥkham ity eva yas tyajet || karmāni tasya nilayah nirayah parikīrtitah | na dehinām yatah śakyam tyaktum karmāny aśeṣatah || tasmād ā maraṇād vaidham kartavyam yogibhih sadā | tvam caiva mātyayā gārgi vaidham karma samācara).

⁴¹ I am aware of references to *jīvanmukti* (or *-mukta*) in only two works relevant to Buddhism. The first is the *Vādarasāvalī* of Vindhyavāsī and the second is the Śrīmitra inscription (1183–92 cE). I wish to thank Péter-Dániel Szántó for informing me of these references. Schaeffer (2002: 521–2) notes the peculiarities of the *Amṛtasiddhi*'s psychophysical realization (i.e. *jīvanmukti*) and says that the *Amṛtasiddhi* is the 'only work transmitted to Tibet that I yet know of which develops this characteristically un-Buddhist notion of liberation'.

⁴² For references to *jīvanmukti* in such works, see L. Bansat-Boudon (2013), O.S. Saraogi (2010),
W. Slaje (2000a), etc.

⁴³ Amrtasiddhi 26.1–2 (yo [']sau siddhimayo vāyur madhyamāpadaniścalah || tadānandamayam cittam ekarūpam nabhahsamam || yadānandamayam cittam bāhyakleśavivarjitam || bhavaduhkhāni samhrtya samādhir jāyate tadā || iti samādhivivekah).

⁴⁴ Amrtasiddhi 27.1 (yadāsamādhisampannam sahajānandasambhurtam | cittam eva tadā siddham sarvaduḥkhabhayāpaham || iti siddhacittavivekaḥ).

The *Amṛtasiddhi*'s chapter on liberation-in-life begins with the piercing of Rudra's knot (*granthi*), which moves the breath to Śiva's throne located between the eyebrows (30.1ab).⁴⁵ The yogin's body, speech, and mind are perfected, culminating in the attainment of the great *siddhi* that bestows the reward of liberation-in-life (30.3), as well as various other *siddhi*s. The liberated yogin is said to be all-knowing (*sarvajña*), all-seeing (*sarvadarśin*), and so on, as well as having all eight lordly powers (*sarvaiśvaryagunopeta*). In other words, this liberated state is characterized by the power to know and do anything, including helping others achieve liberation.⁴⁶ Nonetheless, the chapter concludes by saying the following:

Wandering through the cycle of *samsāra*, which is the cage of the three worlds, the yogin, having easily broken [this cycle], becomes powerful and full of bliss. In this way, perfected yogins play on mountain peaks and in caves for hundreds, thousands and [even] hundreds of thousands of years. Indifferent to knowledge of the external world and devoted to *samādhi*, these yogins, who see with gnosis, remain in a place free of people. They live thus and are seen doing what has to be done. These yogins, who are perfected in the form of victors (*jina*), should be known as liberated-in-life.⁴⁷

The *Amṛtasiddhi*'s conception of the liberated yogin melds the idea of an allpowerful being, who enjoys the world, with the transcendent notion of a yogin who is indifferent to the world and devoted to *samādhi* and a secluded life. A subsequent verse states that the liberated yogin should use his power to make his body invisible.⁴⁸ Such a view of the body, which was also adopted by the author of the *Yogabīja*, appears to be the logical outcome of achieving embodied immortality and complete transcendence over materiality. The notion of invisible *siddhas* in caves and on mountain peaks is an early precursor of more recent myths of ancient *sādhus* living in the Himalayas, who allegedly reveal themselves to only genuine seekers.⁴⁹

⁴⁵ Amŗtasiddhi 30.1ab (rudragranthiņi tadā bhittvā pavanah śarvapīţhagah | śarva-] emend.: sarva-Ed.). Cf. Haţhapradīpikā 4.76. The Jyotsnā (4.76) locates śarvapīţha between the eyebrows ([...] śarvasyeśvarasya pīţham sthānam bhrūmadhyam [...]).

⁴⁶ Amrtasiddhi 31.10a: 'Content, he helps people cross over' (samtustas tārayel lokān).

⁴⁷ Amŗtasiddhi 31.11–14 (bhraman sāmsārīkam cakram bhuvanatrayapañjaram || tad bhittvā helayā yogī yāty ānandamayo vibhuh | evam varsasahasrāņi laksāni ca śatāni ca | parvatāgre guhāyām ca krīdanti siddhayoginah || viraktā bāhyavijnāne raktāh samādhimadhyatah | tisţhanti vijane sthāne yogino jnānacaksusah || evambhūtāś ca tisthanti drśyante kāryaśālinah | jīvanmuktāś ca te jñeyā ye siddhā jinarūpinah || iti jīvanmuktilaksanavivekah).

⁴⁸ *Amrtasiddhi* 34.3: 'The holder of yoga, who has been perfected thus by *samādhi* and delighted by the three blisses, should make his body invisible by his power' (*evam samādhisampanna ānandatrayananditah* | *śarīragopanam kuryād aiśvaryeņa ca yogadhṛk*).

⁴⁹ For example, *Autobiography of a Yogi* by Paramahamsa Yogananda (1946), *Living with the Himalayan Masters* by Swami Rama and Swami Ajaya (1978), etc. This myth is also found in theosophical works, such as *The Masters and the Path* by C.W. Leadbeater (1925), and it appears to have inspired the formation of the esoteric sub-branch of the Theosophical Society called 'The Himalayan School of Adepts' in the 1880s.

1.8. The Amaraughaprabodha

Recently discovered manuscript evidence has revealed that there are two recensions of the *Amaraughaprabodha* (Birch 2019). Kalyani Mallik's (1954) published edition of the *Amaraughaprabodha*, which was based on one manuscript (1954: 34), presents a long recension of seventy-five verses. Two unpublished manuscripts preserve a shorter one of forty-six verses.⁵⁰ The short recension is the older of the two and may be one of the earliest works, probably predating the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra*, to teach the combination of Haṭha- and Rājayoga (Birch 2019: 26). In fact, both recensions have a system of four yogas: Mantra-, Laya-, Haṭha-, and Rājayoga. They are defined succinctly in the following shared verse:

Laya is taught as that [yoga] which is a constant flow of mental activity [on the deity⁵¹] and Hatha is that [yoga] which is accomplished by the breath and internal resonance. Mantrayoga is that [practice] which controls the mantra-body [of a deity]. Rājayoga is that [state] which is free of mental activity.⁵²

Rājayoga is the goal of the first three yogas (see below). It is also described as beyond the state of duality,⁵³ an abode of awakening and full of eternal bliss.⁵⁴ Rājayoga is clearly the main concern of the *Amaraughaprabodha*, because the term *amaraugha* is said to be a synonym of Rājayoga.⁵⁵ *Amaraugha* is also redolent of the *divyaugha*, a divine stream of teachings mentioned in earlier Kaula scriptures.⁵⁶ The claim encoded in the term *amaraugha*, that it transmits the highest teachings emanating from Śiva, may have been intended to conceal the fact that its system of Haṭhayoga was largely derived from the yoga of a Vajrayāna tradition, which was recorded in the *Amṛtasiddhi*.⁵⁷

⁵³ Amaraughaprabodha 2cd (caturtho rājayogaś ca dvidhābhāvavivarjitaḥ).

⁵⁰ Manuscripts 1448 (GOML) and 70,528 (Adyar) preserve the short recension of the *Amaraughaprabodha* and four other manuscripts, namely 4340 (GOML), 75,278 (Adyar), 7970 (Baroda), and 179a (Tirupati), the long one. For further details, see Birch 2019.

⁵¹ In other yoga texts, Layayoga is defined as the dissolution of mental activity (e.g. *Dattātreyayogašāstra* 15, *Yogabīja* 150cd–151ab, etc.). However, the section on Layayoga in the *Amaraughaprabodha* (19–20) describes it as the meditation practice of visualizing Śiva.

⁵² Amaraughaprabodha 3 (yaś cittasantatagatih sa layah pradisto yaś ca prabhañjananinādakrto haṭhah sah | yo mantramūrtivaśagah sa tu mantrayogo yaś cittavrttirahitah sa tu rājayogah).

⁵⁴ Amaraughaprabodha 6cd literally says, 'Even after the various practices of yogins, the breath does not go into the base [of the torso] without the respected Rājayoga, which is an abode of awakening and full of eternal bliss' (*ādhāre pavano na yāti vividhād abhyāsato yoginām nityānandamayāt prabodhanilayāc chrīrājayogād rte*).

⁵⁵ Amaraughaprabodha 17ab: 'For, this unique amaraugha alone is called Rājayoga' (eka evāmaraugho hi rājayogābhidhānakaḥ).

⁵⁶ I am grateful to Somadeva Vasudeva for pointing this out to me. For more information on *divy-augha*, see the *Tāntrikābhidhānakośa* vol. 3 (2013: 168).

⁵⁷ The Amaraughaprabodha's section on Haṭhayoga borrows several verses on its main techniques from the Amṛtasiddhi (Mallinson 2016a: 113).

In the *Amaraughaprabodha*, Rājayoga is described as uniting the mind with a flute-like sound. In the short redaction, the main section on Rājayoga is at the end of the text:

When the mind has become one [with the flute-like sound], then it is called Rājayoga. [The yogin] becomes a creator and destroyer [of the universe] and an equal to the god of yogins. [In Rājayoga] there is no resonance, no bondage, no consciousness nor even unconsciousness [and so] there is no subsequent practice whatsoever. [This state] is called Rājayoga. [For the Rājayogin,] that into which the universe is easily dissolved is called [Śiva's] *lińga*. The power of consciousness, which is difficult to understand because of its unfathomable form, has the radiance of the three worlds. Gnosis is that which removes all obstacles of wealth, sense objects and world interaction. [And] mind is that which playfully destroys the veil of unlimited time.⁵⁸

The short recension adds only two verses to the above passage, one of which claims that the four yogas were taught by the honourable Gorakṣanātha, who is always abiding in *samādhi (amaraugha)*, for the sole attainment of Rājayoga.⁵⁹ Although liberation is not mentioned explicitly, the final impression is that of a liberated yogin continuing to live in Rājayoga with the power of Śiva. His immortality is affirmed by earlier references in the text (10, 14, 24, 32, etc.), so it is clear that liberation-in-life was intended. In fact, immortality is implied by the term *amaraugha*, which can mean the 'tradition of immortals (*amara*)'.

1.9. The Dattātreyayogaśāstra

The Dattātreyayogaśāstra teaches the same system of four yogas as the Amaraughaprabodha (i.e. Mantra-, Laya-, Haṭha-, and Rājayoga), the last of which is said to be the best (10).⁶⁰ The Dattātreyayogaśāstra's Laya- and Haṭhayoga bring together a much larger repertoire of techniques than those of the Amṛtasiddhi and the Amaraughaprabodha. Its Rājayoga is said to arise as a result of practising the other yogas:

⁵⁸ Amaraughaprabodha 44–6 (ekībhūtam tadā cittam rājayogābhidhānakam | sṛṣṭisamhārakartāsau yogeśvarasamo bhavet ||44|| na nādo na ca bandhaś ca na cittam nāpy acetanam | nābhyāsam uttaram kiñ cit rājayogo nigadyate ||45|| līnam yatra carācaram sukhavaśāt tal lingam ity ucyate sā cicchaktir acintyarūpagahanā lokatrayodbhāsinī | taj jñānam yad aśeṣavastuviṣayavyāpāravārāpaham tac cittam yad asīmakālapaṭalapradhvaṃsanam helayā ||46||. I would like to thank Dominic Goodall, Diwakar Acharya, and Gavin Flood for their comments on these verses.

⁵⁹ Amaraughaprabodha 47 (śrīmadgorakṣanāthena sadāmaraughavartinā || layamantrahaṭhāḥ proktā rājayogāya kevalaṃ).

⁶⁰ See section 2.

With all these [techniques of Mantra, Laya, and Hatha], one should practice [yoga] at the appropriate time. Then, Rājayoga arises and certainly not otherwise. Success does not arise through mere theory, but by practice alone. Having obtained the supreme [state of] Rājayoga, which subjugates all beings, [the yogin] can do anything or nothing, acting as he desires.⁶¹

The liberation offered by the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra* is clearly liberation-in-life (*jīvanmukti*), which is mentioned in a passage on the practice of a formless meditation that leads to *samādhi*:

Within only twelve days [of practising formless meditation], one can achieve *samādhi*. Having stopped the breath, the wise person is surely liberated-in-life. *Samādhi* is the state of sameness of the individual self with the supreme self.⁶²

Unlike other works in this corpus, the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra* describes the choice that arises for the liberated yogin at some point in *samādhi*:

If [the yogin] has the desire to cast off his body and if he does so naturally, he dissolves into the supreme Brahman, having abandoned [all] action, good and bad. And if his own body is dear to him and he desires not to cast it off, he can wander in all the worlds, endowed with the *siddhis* beginning with minimisation. Having become a god whenever he desires it, he could also live in heaven. Or he may instantly become either a man or a spirit by his own wish. He may become a creature, by his wish, a lion, tiger, elephant or horse. Thus, by his will, the wise yogin lives as a great god.⁶³

While seeming to acknowledge the dichotomy of a disembodied and embodied liberation, the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra* attempts to reconcile the two by presenting them as a choice. Embodied liberation is framed as a transformation into an all-powerful, shape-shifting god, who presumably remains free from the consequences of his actions. This theistic liberation, so to speak, overshadows a suspicion the author has towards *siddhis* in the case of one who is not liberated. The following comment is made earlier in the text after a passage describing the *siddhis* attained by the practice of *prāņāyāma*:

⁶¹ Dattātreyayogašāstra 159cd–161 (etaiḥ sarvais tu kathitair abhyaset kālakālataḥ || tato bhaved rājayogo nāntarā bhavati dhruvam | na dinmātreņa siddhiḥ syād abhyāsenaiva jāyate || rājayogavaraṃ prāpya sarvasattvavaśaṃkaram | sarvaṃ kuryān na vā kuryād yathāruciviceṣṭitam).

⁶² Dattātreyayogaśāstra 125–126ab (dinadvādaśakenaiva samādhim samavāpnuyāt | vāyum nirudhya medhāvī jīvanmukto bhaved dhruvam ||125|| samādhih samatāvasthā jīvātmaparamātmanoh).

⁶³ Dattātreyayogaśāstra 127–130ab (yadi syād deham utsrasţum icchā ced utsrjet svayam | atha cen no samutsrastum svaśarīram yadi priyam || sarvalokeşu vicared animādigunānvitah | kadā cit svecchayā devo bhūtvā svarge 'pi samcaret || manuşyo vāpi yakşo vā svecchayā hi kşanād bhavet | simho vyāghro gajo vāśvah icchayā jantutām vrajet || yatheştam evam varteta yogī vidvān maheśvarah).

These [*siddhis*] are obstacles to the great accomplishment [of liberation]. The wise [yogin] should not delight in them, and he should never show his power to anyone. He should behave among people as a dumb, stupid or deaf person, in order to keep his power secret.⁶⁴

1.10. The Yogabīja

The *Yogabīja* is a dialogue between Śiva (*īśvara*) and Devī that teaches Rājayoga as the culmination of the same fourfold system of yoga as the *Amaraughaprabodha* and the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra*. The printed editions present a late recension of the work that predates the *Yogacintāmaņi* (seventeenth century) and has nearly thirty additional verses, including the well-known definition of *hațha* as the union of the sun and moon, which are not in an earlier recension.⁶⁵ The following discussion is based on passages in the earlier recension, which has not been published but is preserved by two manuscripts.⁶⁶

Unlike other Haṭha- and Rājayoga texts, the *Yogabīja* argues that both gnosis and yoga are needed for liberation. Devī plays the role of the contentious inquisitor and asks at one point whether yoga is necessary at all for liberation:

Because of ignorance alone, there is transmigration and because of knowledge alone, one is freed. Therefore, tell me clearly what can be accomplished by yoga in this regard?⁶⁷

Three main reasons underlie Śiva's argument against the notion that gnosis alone can liberate. Firstly the nature of gnosis can be known at first but there is no accomplishment (*sādhana*) when gnosis alone arises,⁶⁸ because the individual

⁶⁶ These manuscripts are: *Yogabīja*, Ms No. SB29917 (P.S. 49941, Å. 8772), Saraswati Bhawan Library, Varanasi and *Yogabīja*, Ms No. 72341, Adyar Library and Research Centre, Chennai. The former is in a Nepalese type of Devanagari and the latter is in Telugu script.

⁶⁷ Yogabīja 18 (ajāānād eva saņsāro jāānād eva vimucyate | yogenātra tu kim kāryam me prasannagirā vada || yogenātra] 29917: yogenaiva 72341).

⁶⁸ Yogabīja 19 (satyam etat tvayoktam te kathayāmi sureśvari | jñānasvarūpam evādau jñeyam jñāne na sādhanam).

⁶⁴ Dattātreyayogaśāstra 101–103ab (ete vighnā mahāsiddher na ramet teşu buddhimān | na darśayec ca kasmai cit svasāmarthyam hi sarvadā || kadā cid darśayet prītyā bhaktiyuktāya vā punah | yathā mūrkho yathā mūdho yathā badhira eva vā || tathā varteta lokeşu svasāmarthyasya guptaye).

⁶⁵ The additional verses are 1–3ab, 65–6, 92, 94, 99–125, 148cd–149ab, 150ac, and 187 of the Gorakhnath Mandir Edition. Many of these verses are found in the *Gorakṣaśataka*, the *Dattatreyayogaśāstra*, and the *Hathapradīpikā*. Two of them are unique to this recension of the *Yogabīja* and the *Hathapradīpikā*, so it remains a possibility that the former predates the latter. However, it also seems more likely that these extra verses were borrowed by Svātmārāma from a different work, which is currently unknown.

 $(j\bar{v}a)$ cannot be freed from faults (dosa) by gnosis $(19-21)^{69}$ in spite of knowing the aspected and aspectless nature of the self, the gnostic living in the world continues to be influenced by past impressions $(v\bar{a}san\bar{a})$ and cannot be liberated without yoga (22–29). Finally, gnostics whose bodies have not been cooked by the fire of yoga are subject to suffering, disease, and death (30–41), and only yogins conquer the body and death (42–54). In explaining the last reason, liberation-in-life is raised for the first time in the text as follows:

O moon-faced Goddess, you ask what death is for the [yogin]. He does not die again because of the power of yoga. He has already died. [Therefore,] how could death arise for one who has died? Where there is death for all [mortals], there he lives happily. However, where the deluded live, there he is always dead. There is nothing he ought to do and he is not stained by what he has done. He is always liberated-in-life, always resides in himself and free from all faults. [All] others, [namely] ascetics and gnostics, are always conquered by the body. How are they equal to yogins? They are lumps of flesh with defective bodies.⁷⁰

In the *Yogabīja*, the liberated yogin has the freedom to know everything and act at will, because of the attainment of *siddhis*:

[The yogin] becomes omniscient, can change shape at will and move as quickly as the wind. He plays in the three worlds and all the *siddhis* arise [for him]. A great yogin, he undoubtedly becomes a god, the creator of all, autonomous, may take all forms [at once], and is liberated-in-life.⁷¹

In keeping with the view that the yogin does not die, bodiless liberation (*videha-mukti*) is rejected explicitly. In fact, the author explains that the gross elements of the body are burnt up by the fire of yoga, which makes the body like ether (*ākāśa*)

⁶⁹ Yogabīja 21 (asau dosair vimuktaḥ kim kāmakrodhabhayādibhiḥ | sarvadosair vrto jīvo jñāne tu mucyate katham || vimuktaḥ kim] 72341 : vinirmuktaḥ 29917. jñāne tu mucyate katham] conj.: jñāne tan mucyate katham 72341 : jñāne to mucyate katham 29917).

⁷⁰ Yogabīja 51-4 (maraņam tasya kim devi prechasīndusamānane | nāsau maraņam āpnoti punar yogabalena tu || puraiva mrta evāsau mrtasya maraņam kutah | maraņam yatra sarveşām tatra jīvaty asau sukhī || yatra jīvanti mūdhās tu tatrāsau mriyate sadā | kartavyam tu na tasyāsti krtena na vilipy-ate ||58|| jīvanmuktah sadā svasthah sarvadoşavivarjitah || viraktā jūnāninas cānye dehena vijitāh sadā | te katham yogibhis tulyā mānsapindāh kudehinah || punar yogabalena] 29917: yena yogabhavena 72341. atra jīvaty asau sukhī] 29917 : tatrāsau jīvate sadau 29917: mryate sadā 72341. tu na] 29917 : na tu 72341. krtena na] 72341. krtena na] 72917 : -pīdā hi dehinah 72341.

⁷¹ Yogabīja 125, 127 (sarvajňo sau bhavet kāmarūpah pavanavegavān | krīdate trisu lokesu jāyante siddhayo 'khilāh ||...|| īśvarah sarvakartā ca svatantro viśvarūpavān | jīvanmukto mahāyogī jāyate nātra samsayah). Manuscript 72341 is incomplete and ends at verse 123, so the readings for these verses and those below are based on manuscript 29917.

and it cannot be seen, even by the gods.⁷² Moreover, an ageless and immortal body is a salient characteristic of the liberated yogin:

One should view a man without *siddhis* as bound. For, one whose body is ageless and immortal, he alone is liberated-in-life. Dogs, cocks, insects and the like obtain only death. Are they liberated with the fall of the body, O goddess? If the breath does not go out, how can the body die? However, liberation which is caused by the death of the body is not considered to be liberation [by me].⁷³

The *Yogabīja* is the only early Haṭha- and Rājayoga text that includes discourse on the philosophical questions underlying the soteriology of these types of yoga. Devī's probing questions appear to encapsulate some of the objections to yoga that would have been raised by gnostics who believed that liberation could be achieved by gnosis alone. The nature of its discourse suggests that the text was composed at a time when Haṭha- and Rājayoga had become prominent enough to attract scrutiny from other traditions, in particular Advaitavedānta and those that embraced the *Mokṣopāya* and the *Yogavāsiṣṭha*.

1.11. The Khecarīvidyā

The *Khecarīvidyā* is not among the earliest works in this corpus, because it was composed after the *Vivekamārtaņḍa*.⁷⁴ This Śaiva work calls itself a Tantra and focuses on the practice of *khecarīmudrā*, which is included among the ten *mudrās* of most works on Haṭhayoga.⁷⁵ Although the *Khecarīvidyā* contains a detailed account of the physical practice of *khecarīmudrā*, much of the text is devoted to explaining the metaphysics underlying the practice, which includes comprehensive descriptions of the various digits (*kalā*) at important places in the yogic body, the drinking of nectar (*amṛta*), and the raising of *kuṇḍalinī*. The detail of these metaphysics, which is the basis of visualization practices, as well as other related topics, such as the worship of the text, the *khecarī* mantra, yogic suicide, cheating death, and the worship of Śiva, are redolent of the subject matter of earlier Tantras. Much of this material was omitted by texts that teach Haṭha-

⁷² Yogabīja 46–7ab (mahābhūtāni tattvāni samhrtāni krameņa ca | saptadhātumayam deham dagdham yogāgninā śanaih || devatābhir na lakşyeta yogadeham mahābalam | samhrtāni krameņa ca] 29917 : krameņa samhrtāni ca 72341. devataiś ca] 29917: devatābhir 72341. lakşyeta] 29917 : lakşita 72341).

⁷³ Yogabīja 139–40 (siddhibhih parihīnam tu naram baddham tu lakşayet | ajarāmarapindo yo jīvanmuktah sa eva hi || śvānakukkutakītādyā mrtim samprāpnuvanti vai | teşām kim pindapātena muktir bhavati sundari || na bahih prāņa āyāti pindasya patanam kutah | pindapātena yā muktih sā muktis na tu gaŋyate).

⁷⁴ Khecarīvidyā (1.14cd) mentions the Vivekamārtaņda.

⁷⁵ For example, Dattātreyayogaśāstra 137, Śivasamhitā 4.51–9, Haṭhapradīpikā 3.32–54, etc.

Rājayoga and its inclusion in the *Khecarīvidyā* suggests that, like the *Amṛtasiddhi*, this Tantra was composed in an esoteric milieu.

The *Khecarīvidyā* mentions liberation-in-life twice. In both instances (2.7 and 2.14), the yogin becomes a Śiva, liberated-in-life by drinking the supreme nectar (*parāmṛta*) at the aperture of Brahmā. The drinking of nectar, which results from the practice of *khecarīmudrā*, brings about a large number of supernatural effects (*siddhi*). This indicates that the attainment of *siddhis* was of primary importance to the author. Furthermore, the notion of *samādhi* (*unmanī* and *laya*) is mentioned several times, but only in passing.⁷⁶ Within the broader context of the visualization practices and the emphasis on drinking nectar, *samādhi* is presented more like a supernatural effect (*siddhi*) than an auxiliary in a system of yoga. The absence of descriptions of the liberated yogin in a hypometabolic state further suggests that *samādhi* is incidental to the main transformative processes of raising *kuṇḍalinī* and drinking nectar. Like earlier Śaivasiddhānta traditions, the *Khecarīvidyā* (3.48–54ab) also teaches yogic suicide so that the yogin may cast off his body and become like Śiva. Therefore, the *siddhis* and the deification, as it were, of the liberated yogin characterize the soteriological aim of this work.

1.12. The Śivasamhitā

The *Śivasamhitā* twice calls itself a Tantra (4.7, 4.25). Its teachings are influenced by the Śrīvidyā of South India (Mallinson 2007b: ix–xiv), a tantric tradition which was reformed by Smārta Brahmins. It flourished in the major temples of Shringeri and Kanchipuram (Golovkova 2012: 817).

The first two chapters of the *Śivasamhitā* teach Śaiva doctrine (*jñāna*), which espouses the gnostic views of attaining *samādhi* by simply seeing the Self (1.63–4) and renouncing Vedic ritual in favour of knowledge (1.20–32). Chapters three and four teach the techniques of Haṭhayoga, without naming it as such and without referring to Rājayoga. *Samādhi* is mentioned in the third chapter. As stated in other Haṭha- and Rājayoga texts,⁷⁷ when the stage of perfection (*nispatti*) is attained, *samādhi* arises at will (3.76–7). Apart from mentioning that this results in the breath dissolving into the power of gnosis (*jñānaśakti*) (3.78), nothing more is said of *samādhi* in this chapter.

The last chapter, which may have been composed originally as a separate text (Birch 2018b: 107 n. 13), teaches a system of four yogas, Mantra-, Laya-, Haṭha-, and Rājayoga, the last of which is said to be free from the state of duality (5.12). This fourfold system appears to provide only a superficial framework for the fifth chapter, because it is barely discernible in the chapter's overall structure. Indeed,

⁷⁶ Khecarīvidyā 2.67, 113 and 3.24, 52.

⁷⁷ For example, Dattātreyayogaśāstra 160-2, Amaraughaprabodha 36-44, Haṭhapradīpikā 4.70-80, etc.

this chapter mainly consists of instructions on various visualization techniques (5.29–5.207), most of which are based on the yogic body of $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$, *cakras*, *kuṇḍalinī*, and so on. In a concluding verse (5.208), these meditations (*dhyāna*) are said to be Rājayoga, at which point *rājādhirājayoga*, 'the yoga that is the supreme king of all Rājayogas', is introduced. Through vedāntic reasoning (*vedāntayukti*), the yogin frees himself of mental activity and attains the ultimate, pure knowledge (*ekam amalaṃ jñāna*) (5.210–21), which results in the following:

While living in an unchanging body the yogin, who now dies frequently to the pleasures of the sense objects, certainly lives.⁷⁸

The emphasis on knowledge, visualization practices, and immortality in the $\dot{S}ivasamhit\ddot{a}$'s fifth chapter appears to have been intended for householders, who might well have preferred its gnostic and *siddhi*-orientated practice to the ascetic stillness of Rājayoga in other works consulted for this study. Liberation-in-life is not mentioned in the first four chapters of the $\dot{S}ivasamhit\ddot{a}$,⁷⁹ but it is indicated as the goal of *rājādhirājayoga* at the end of the fifth chapter:

Satisfied with acquiring things by chance and having abandoned his attachments within, the householder is liberated †from all bonds† by the methods of yoga.⁸⁰ By just repeating the mantras of the gods,⁸¹ householders can succeed.⁸² Therefore, of those engaged in the practice of yoga, the householder [should] persevere. Having remained in the house, full of sons, a wife and so on, while

⁷⁹ As far as I am aware, all editions (the exception being Mallinson 2007b) have in their third chapter the hemistich *jīvanmuktasya śāntasya bhaved dhīrasya yoginah*, but it is absent in nearly all the manuscripts (i.e. II–IV, VII–XII) reported in the critical edition of the *Śivasamhitā* (2009: 131).

⁸⁰ Most manuscripts have *sakalāśeso muktaḥ* or something similar, but this does not make sense. In the conjecture *sakalāślesamuktaḥ*, the word *āśleṣa*, which literally means a 'connection' or 'embrace', has been understood as another term for mundane attachments.

⁷⁸ Šivasamhitā 5.223 (sthite dehe jīvati yo adhunā mriyate bhṛśam | indriyārthopabhogeşu sa jīvati na samśayaḥ || 5.223 || 223a jīvati] Ed.: jīvatī V: jivati XI. 223a-b yo adhunā] mss. II, III, XI-XIV: yoḥ adhunā V: yo anśunā IV: yodhunā VI, VIII: ca yogaṃ na Ed. 223b mriyate] mss. II-VI, XI-XIV: mrīyate VII: agriyate VIII: śriyate Ed. 223c indriyārthopabhogeşu] Ed.: indriyārthāpabhogeşu III: indriyārthāya bhogeşu IV, V, VII, VIII, XII-XIV. 223d sa jīvati] Ed. sa jīvati III: sañjīvati IV. The first pāda is a rare form of the bha-vipulā. The reading yo adhunā (supported by nearly all the manuscripts) is unconventional but appears to be a case of frozen sandhi which sometimes occurs in Āgamic Sanskrit (Goodall 2015: 133). These variant readings have been taken from the apparatus in Śiva Samhitā: A Critical Edition (2009). The numerals I, XV, and XVI are past editions. The oldest Ms is XII, dated saṃvat 1805 (1749 CE).

⁸¹ The practice of mantras (*mantrasādhana*) is a significant part of the *Śivasaṃhitā*'s fifth chapter (5.232–5.252).

⁸² The occurrence of *īśvarāṇāṃ* is unexpected here, but it is supported by the manuscripts (see footnote 83). I have understood it as qualifying *japena*. An alternative interpretation would be to understand *īśvara* in its more mundane sense of a 'lord' or 'king', and read it along with *grhasthānām* as qualifying *siddhiḥ*, in spite of the absence of a conjunctive particle such as *ca* (i.e. 'householders and kings have success by repeating a mantra'). The problem with this reading is that *īśvara* is used elsewhere in the text to mean 'god', in particular Śiva, who is the main interlocutor in the dialogue.

abandoning attachments within and seeing a sign of success on the path of yoga, the householder may truly amuse [himself], having accomplished my teachings.⁸³

This conclusion reflects the *Śivasamhitā*'s strong emphasis on *siddhis*. The final statement that the liberated householder may amuse himself is redolent of Śaiva yogins of earlier traditions, who sought *siddhis* merely for their own entertainment ($kr\bar{q}a$). These yogins appear to have been inspired by a story of Śiva's descent into the world for his own enjoyment ($kr\bar{q}avat\bar{a}ra$) (Vasudeva 2011: 288).

2. General Remarks on the Early History of Rājayoga

From a purely grammatical viewpoint, the term $r\bar{a}jayoga$ can be understood in various ways. However, the survey of early Hatha- and Rājayoga texts used by Svātmārāma for his *Haṭhapradīpikā* indicates that it was used as a *karmadhāraya* compound,⁸⁴ in the sense of the yoga that is the king of all yogas. The evidence for this is threefold. Firstly, the context is usually the four yogas, and Rājayoga is presented as the best (*uttama*) of the other three.⁸⁵ Secondly, the *Amanaska*, which is probably the earliest of these works, defines $r\bar{a}jayoga$ as the king of all yogas.⁸⁶ Thirdly, the notion of 'king' or 'supreme' yoga is strongly implied by the fact that $r\bar{a}jayoga$ is the goal of the other yogas and at the top of a hierarchy of other yogas.⁸⁷ Three texts of the corpus, namely the *Amaraughaprabodha*, the

⁸⁵ For example, *Dattātreyayogašāstra* 9cd-10ab: 'Mantra-, Laya and Haṭhayoga [are the first three yogas]. Rājayoga is the fourth and it is the best of yogas' (*mantrayogo layas caiva haṭhayogas tathaiva ca* || *rājayogas caturthah syād yogānām uttamas tu saḥ*).

⁸³ Śivasamhitā 5.258-60 (yadrcchālābhasantustah santyaktāntarasangakah grhasthah †sakalāślesamuktah† syād yogasādhanaih ||5.258|| grhasthānām bhavet siddhir īśvarānām japena vai | yogakriyābhiyuktānām tasmāt samyatate grhī [[5.259]] gehe sthitvā putradārādipūrņe sangam tyaktvā cāntare yogamārge | siddheś cihnam vīksya paścād grhasthah krīdet sa vai me matam sādhayitvā [5.260] 258b santyaktāntarasangakah] Ed.: santyaktāntarasanjnakah IV, VII, XII: sangam nyaktvāntarangakah II: santyaktāntah sañjñakah III: santyaktvātarasañjñakaķ VI santyaktyāntarasañjñakah IX, X. 258c grahasthah sakalāślesa-] conj. Dominic Goodall: grahasthah sakalāśeso II, V, VIII, XII: grhasthā sakalāśeso III, IV: grhasthah sakalāśeso VI, VII, IX, X, XIV: grhasthah sakalāseso XIII: grhasthaś vāpy anāsaktah XVI: grhasthaś cāpy anāsaktah Ed. 258d muktah syād] II, III, V-X, XII XIII: muktā syāt IV: sa mukto Ed. yogasādhanaih] Ed. yogasādhanāt I, XV, XVI: yogasādhane V. 259b īśvarāņām japena] I, XV, XVI: īśvarānām janena III-X, XIII, XIV: īśvarānām janeta XII: īśvarārādhanena Ed. 259c kriyābhiyuktānām] Ed.: kriyābhih yuktānām XII. 269d tasmāt samyatate] Ed.: tasmāt sammadyate II: tasmāt samsantata XIII. 260a gehe] Ed. grahe III: grhe IV: he XII: gahe XIV. sthitvā] corr.: sthitā Ed. -pūrņe] Ed.: pūrņah I, XV, XVI: -pūrņaih II, XII: pūrņo IV. 260b cāntare] Ed.: cāntaram VIII. yogamārge] Ed. yogamārgam II, III, VII. 260c siddheś cihnam] Ed. siddheś cihna II: siddhe cihnam XV, XVI. vīkṣya] Ed. vīkṣa IV. 260d. grhasthah] Ed. grhastha VII). I wish to thank both Diwakar Acharya and Dominic Goodall for their comments on the above passages in the Śivasamhitā.

⁸⁴ A type of compound in which both nouns are in an appositional relationship.

³⁶ Amanaska 3cd (rājatvāt sarvayogānām rājayoga iti smṛtaḥ).

⁸⁷ For example, Amaraughaprabodha 74cd (layamantrahathāh proktāh rājayogāya kevalam) and Yogabīja 143cd (mantro hatho layo rājā yoge ntarbhūmikāh kramāt). This is also true for the Amanaska, which teaches only Rājayoga. The beginning of its second chapter mentions a preliminary yoga (pūrvayoga), which is not described.

Dattātreyayogaśāstra, and the *Yogabīja*, establish a fourfold hierarchy in which Rājayoga is the principal one, and the goal of the subordinate yogas. This is also true for the twofold systems of the *Amanaska*, the *Yogatārāvalī*, and the *Haṭhapradīpikā*. The *Śivasaṃhitā* is an interesting exception insofar as it includes the standard fourfold hierarchy and makes Rājayoga subordinate to *rājādhirājayoga*. The attempt to supplant Rājayoga with a higher Rājayoga (namely *adhirājayoga*) suggests that competitive extension was at play among traditions, which vied with one another to teach the 'best yoga'. This might explain the ongoing use of the term *rājayoga* with this meaning, which can be construed in many yoga texts composed after the fifteenth century (Birch 2014: 411–14).

As the above survey of texts demonstrates, the notion of Rājayoga as 'kingyoga' also derives from its soteriological status, for it is the only type of yoga that can bring about liberation. In this context, the meaning of rājayoga becomes synonymous with samādhi. The second definition of rājayoga in the Amanaska identifies it as the yoga that enables the yogin to attain the supreme self (*paramātman*), which is the king (rājan) of all beings, as stated in the Brhadāranyakopanisat (Birch 2014: 406-7). A subsequent verse in the same text (2.32) indicates clearly in which one's mind is *rājavoga* denotes the state absent that (vigatanijamanorājayoga). Therefore, one might infer that the second definition in the Amanaska posits rājayoga, in the sense of samādhi, as the means to liberation. Other works in this early corpus define rājayoga as 'free of mental activity',88 a non-dual state,⁸⁹ oneness of mind,⁹⁰ and beyond the exertion of concentration and meditation.⁹¹ All of these texts either state or imply that it arises when the activity of breath and mind ceases.92 Therefore, rājayoga can be understood as both the goal of other yogas and the means to liberation.

The earliest use of the term $r\bar{a}jayoga$ to designate the 'best yoga' may have originated in the north-west of India. This is suggested firstly by the fact that Hemacandra, who lived in Gujarat in the twelfth century, borrowed many verses on *samādhi* from the *Amanaska*, which calls its yoga *rājayoga*. Hemacandra did not incorporate the term *rājayoga* into his *Yogaśāstra*, perhaps because his work is structured according to auxiliaries (*aṅga*), rather than a hierarchy of different yogas. Nonetheless, he borrowed a sufficient number of verses from the *Amanaska* to indicate that this type of yoga was prominent enough in Gujarat to be known by a Jain scholar in the court of king Siddharāja Jayasimha (1093–1142 CE). Secondly, Kashmiri exegetes of the tenth century onwards describe the main

⁸⁸ Amaraughaprabodha 4 (translated in section 1).

⁸⁹ Amaraughaprabodha 3cd (caturtho rājayogaśca dvidhābhavavivarjitaḥ); Yogatārāvalī 16, which states that there is no subject-object experience (see Birch 2015: 4); Śivasaṇhitā 5.12.

⁹⁰ Amaraughaprabodha 53ab (ekībhūtam tadā cittam rājayogābhidhānakam); Śivasamhitā 5.154ab.

⁹¹ Yogatārāvalī 14cd (na dhāranādhyānapariśramo vā samedhamāne sati rājayoge).

 $^{^{92}}$ Evidence for this is given in section 1. The *Yogabīja* implies this because Rājayoga is the culmination of a sequence, where it follows Hathayoga, in which the breath is held in the central channel, and Layayoga, the dissolution of the mind.

technique of the *Amanaska* (i.e. *śāmbhavī mudrā*) in almost identical words and call it *bhairavamudrā* (Birch 2014: 408 n. 30), further suggesting that this type of yoga arose in the north-west of India.

The combining of Rājayoga with Haṭhayoga into a complementary system, which is not evident in the *Amanaska*, appears to have occurred a century or so later in the *Amaraughaprabodha* and the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra*. The former refers to Siddhabuddha and borrowed material from the *Amrtasiddhi*, which suggests the text was composed in Kadri, Mangalore (Mallinson 2019: 23–4), probably in the twelfth century (Birch 2019: 23–4). The latter was known in Rajasthan by the fourteenth century, because some of its verses were reproduced in the *Śārṅgadharapaddhati*.⁹³ The combining of Haṭha- and Rājayoga dissolved tensions between effortful and effortless methods of yoga for achieving *samādhi*, which were articulated in the *Amanaska* (Birch 2011: 542–7). In particular, the fourfold system of yoga validated both effortful and effortless methods by allocating each yoga to different types of student, and the twofold system of the *Yogatārāvalī* integrated Haṭha- and Rājayoga as the method and goal respectively.

Although the term *rājayoga* may be construed as 'yoga for kings', it is difficult to see how this type of yoga was ever intended for kings in the literal sense. The *siddhi*-orientated liberation of some of the early Rājayoga works might have appealed to kings, but the requisite practice of a stonelike state of *samādhi* seems impractical for people with state or institutional responsibilities. Furthermore, the texts advocating the Haṭha-Rāja method do not mention kings and do not contain explicit metaphorical play on the word 'king'. Even in the context of liberation, the yogin is portrayed as a god living in the world, rather than a king.⁹⁴

3. Rājayoga and Liberation-in-Life

From the above survey of Sanskrit yoga texts, the following three general observations on Rājayoga and its associated conception of liberation can be made. Firstly, each text teaches a system of yoga which has liberation as its main goal. Secondly, Rājayoga, which is synonymous with *samādhi*, is the culmination of practice and a necessary cause for liberation.⁹⁵ Thirdly, liberation can be achieved within one lifetime and the yogin continues to live after liberation is accomplished. Although these texts promise liberation-in-life (*jīvanmukti*) by means of *samādhi*, two differing conceptions of the liberated yogin emerged and were integrated to varying degrees.

⁹³ On the provenance of the Śārńgadharapaddhati, see Sternbach 1974: 17.

⁹⁴ In fact, I am aware of only two vedāntic works which define *rājayoga* as 'yoga for kings' and both probably date to the eighteenth century (Birch 2014: 412). The *Vivekadarpaņa* strongly implies it (see below).

⁹⁵ As noted in section 1, the *Khecarīvidyā* is an exception in this case.

On the one hand, liberation-in-life is identified with *samādhi*, insofar as the yogin in *samādhi* is liberated and the liberated yogin must continue to practise it. The texts that most clearly represent this view are the *Vivekamārtaņḍa*, the *Candrāvalokana*, the *Yogatārāvalī*, the *Amanaska*, and the *Gorakṣaśataka*. These works tend to emphasize the transcendent and blissful experience of *samādhi* and, although *siddhis* may arise from the practice, the role of *siddhis* in defining liberation is less significant. In this respect, the *Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā* and the *Yogayājñavalkya* are similar to these texts and, by identifying yoga with the daily rites (*nityakarma*) enjoined by the Vedas, the logical outcome of both works is the ongoing need to practise yoga, even for one who is liberated-in-life.

On the other hand, liberation-in-life is described as the outcome of a transformative process that takes place in *samādhi*. In other words, the liberated yogin emerges from *samādhi* as an all-powerful god who can know and do anything in the world. The texts most clearly supporting this view are the *Śivasamhitā*, the *Khecarīvidyā*, the *Yogabīja*, the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra*, and the *Amaraughaprabodha*. The liberated yogin is said to attain all the *siddhis* which, in the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra*, includes the power to be inactive. The *Amṛtasiddhi* appears to sit halfway between these texts and those that emphasize transcendence, because its liberation is very much orientated towards the attainment of *siddhis*, yet the liberated yogin remains in *samādhi*, secluded from the world.

Although the paradigm of transcendence and power can be used to identify the differing roles of *siddhis* and the practice of yoga after liberation in these texts, it does not provide a reason for why an author emphasized one rather than the other. One might speculate that divergent views on the rigidity of karma were at play. Although all of the texts in question accept that the practice of yoga can destroy a yogin's past karma, some advocate the need for ongoing practice after liberation, whereas others assume that the liberated yogin can act in the world and remain untouched by karma. The latter view relies on theistic notions of liberation that derive from earlier tantric traditions, in particular the Kaulism associated with Matsyendranātha, who was also one of the supposed founders of Haṭhayoga.⁹⁶ For example, the *Kaulajñānanirņaya*, which is a text attributed to Matsyendranātha and may date to the tenth century,⁹⁷ directly connects liberation to the attainment of the no-mind state,⁹⁸ and describes the king of yogins (*yogirāț*) as a godlike figure who is active in the world after liberation:

⁹⁶ For example, Matsyendranātha is close to the beginning of a lineage of gurus in *Haṭhapradīpikā* 1.4ab.

⁹⁷ The *Kaulajñānanirņaya* certainly predates the mid-eleventh century, which is the date of its earliest manuscript. For details on this, see Hatley 2007: 157–8 n. 77. Shaman Hatley has informed me that he suspects that the *Kaulajñānanirṇaya* belongs to the tenth century and he is hopeful that his ongoing research on this text will confirm this (p.c. 24.1.2017).

⁹⁸ For example, *Kaulajñānanirņaya* 13.5: '[Now,] there is no mind, mental activity [nor] support, no meditation object [nor] concentration, O goddess. He whose mind has become no-mind, has liberation †in this world†' (*na manaś cittam ālambaṃ na dhyeyaṃ dhāraṇaṃ priye* | *unmanan tu mano*

When one knows the self by the self, the self can take any form at will. The self is the supreme deity. He by whom this is known is the king of yogins. He is said to be Śiva. He is clearly liberated and may liberate another. O goddess, he is always very pure, like a lotus in the mud. Having adopted a mortal body, he sports in the world as a Śiva.⁹⁹

In describing the liberated yogin as an equal to Śiva (*śivatulya*) and one who can move and behave at will (*svacchandagaticeṣțita*) (5.24cd), the *Kaulajñānanirņaya* provides a doctrinal antecedent to the conception of liberation seen in texts such as the *Amaraughaprabodha*, the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra*, the *Yogabīja*, and the *Śivasaṃhitā*. In fact, the idea that the yogin can live as long as he wants and roam the world at will can be found in other Kaula scriptures.¹⁰⁰

There is also an example of a more recent Śaiva work which grafted a Kaula view of liberation onto the practice of the death-like state of *samādhi*, which is also described in similar terms in the *Haṭhapradīpikā* (see below). The ninth chapter of the *Kulārṇavatantra*, a Kaula text of the Upper Tradition (*ūrdhvāmnāya*) that probably postdates the thirteenth century,¹⁰¹ borrows verses from both the

yasya tasya mokso †bhavaty iha† ||5|| 5a manaś] A48/13 : manam Ed. 5a ālambam] A48/13 : ālabdham Ed. 5b dhyeyam] emend. Hatley: dheyam A48/13 : peya Ed. 5d bhavaty iha] conj. Hatley: bhavantiha A48/13 : bhavantīha Ed. I have cruxed the conjecture bhavaty iha simply to indicate that this crucial reading is not clear in the old Nepalese manuscript or the edition, although Shaman Hatley's conjecture is a good one based on the evidence. Liberation at death (moksah [...] pindapāte) is mentioned in the final verse of this chapter. However, there the context is the practice of sequentially installing seed syllables (nyāsakrama) in the body.

⁹⁹ Kaulajñānanirņaya 17.36-8 (ātmānam ātmanā jñātvā ātmā vai kāmarūpiņah | ātmanaś ca paro devo yena jñātam sa yogirāt ||36|| sa śivah procyate sākşāt sa mukto mocayet param | suviśuddhah sadā devi pankastham iva pankajam ||37|| mānuṣyam pindam āṣrtya sa śivah kridate bhuvi | itthambhūtam parātmānam yena jñātam subhāmini|). I wish to thank Shaman Hatley for pointing out this passage to me and for sharing his provisional edition of these verses. The compound kāmarūpinah has been understood as an aśia form of the nominative singular, and 36c is a conjecture by Hatley (the codex has ātmanaś cāparo devi). Also, one should read āṣrtya as an orthographic variant of āśritya (Hatley p.c. 1.2.2017).

¹⁰⁰ For example, the Kulasāra f.25v (svecchāyur bhavate yogī tadabhyāsān na saņšayaḥ) and (na mṛtyor bhayam etena jāyate 'bhyāsayogataḥ | svecchāyur jāyate yogī svadehenīśvaro bhavet) and the Kuladīpikā (IFP transcript T1046a) p. 15 (uttiṣṭhan medinīm tyaktvā divyadehaḥ prajāyate | paryaṭet svecchayā lokāmś chidrām paśyati medinīm || chidrām] emend. Vasudeva (p.c. 25.1.2017): citrām Codex) and p. 72 (bhramate svecchayā lokāmś chidrām paśyati medinīm | bhramate] emend. Vasudeva (p.c. 25.1.2017): bhrūmate Codex. lokāmś chidrām] emend. Vasudeva (p.c. 25.1.2017): lokācchidrān Codex. paśyati] emend.: paśyanti Codex). I wish to thank Somdev Vasudeva (p.c. 25.1.2017) for these references, as well as for pointing out to me that the idea that a yogin can live as long as he pleases is also found in the Śaivasiddhānta (e.g. Mṛgendratantra's Yogapāda 46: jitapraṇayano dhatte svecchayā deham ātmanaḥ | Nārāyaṇakaṇṭha comments: jitā praṇayanākhyā prāṇavṛttir yena sa evamvidho yogī yāvadruci svecchayā svadeham dhārayati [...]). Also, see Mataṅgapārameśvaratantra Yogapāda 7.34. Thanks to Lubomir Ondračka for this final reference.

¹⁰¹ For a discussion on the Kulārņavatantra, see Sanderson 2014: 78, wherein Alexis Sanderson states that it postdates the twelfth century. The Kulārņavatantra shares a verse with the Vivekamārtaņda on the Hathayogic Mudrās; Kulārņavatantra 13.85 (mahāmudrām nabhomudrām uddīyānam jalandharam | mūlabandhañ ca yo vetti sa guruh paramo matah) ~ Vivekamārtaņda 40 (mahāmudrām nabhomudrām uddīyāņam jalandharam | mūlabandham ca yo vetti sa yogī muktibhājanam). Also, there are other parallels between the Kulārņavatantra and the Vivekamārtaņda noted in footnote 102.

Amanaska and the *Vivekamārtaņḍa*.¹⁰² The *Kulārṇavatantra* uses the same metaphors and language as the yoga texts in question to describe *samādhi*. For example, the following verse equates the death-like stillness of this *samādhi* to liberation-in-life:

[The yogin] whose sensory organs are inactive; whose mind and breath have dissolved into himself and who clearly remains [still] like a corpse, is said to be liberated-in-life.¹⁰³

The interesting difference between the *Vivekamārtaņļa* and the *Kulārņavatantra*'s chapter on yoga is that the *Kulārṇava*'s liberated kulayogin emerges from his death-like *samādhi* to interact with people, concealing his identity. For example:

Although liberated, the lord of the Kula plays like a child and behaves like an idiot. The wise kulayogin speaks like a madman, O goddess. The yogin lives in such a way that people laugh, shun and abuse him. They move far away when they see him. Wearing various outfits, the yogin wanders the world; sometimes [looking] cultured, sometimes wretched and sometimes like [the supernatural beings called] *bhūtas* or *piśācas.*¹⁰⁴

Such details of how the liberated yogin might behave are absent in the Haṭha- and Rājayoga works examined in this chapter. Their authors seem to have been unwilling to present the worldly adventures of the liberated yogin as fully as they were developed in Kaula traditions. Nonetheless, an early Marathi work (twelfth to thirteenth century) called the *Vivekadarpaṇa* is something of an exception here.¹⁰⁵ In its chapter on Rājayoga, a set of four yogas, namely Mantra-, Laya-, Haṭha-, and Rājayoga, are defined. Redolent of the *Amanaska*'s point of view, the

Since the former does not teach these *mudrās* but the latter does, it is likely that the *Kulārņavatantra* is the borrower. The *terminus ad quem* of the *Vivekamārtaņḍa* is the *Khecarīvidyā* (Mallinson 2007a: 4) or the *Śārngadharapaddhati* (Bouy 1994: 25), the latter of which can be dated to 1363 cE. Therefore, if we assign the *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* to the twelfth or thirteenth century, the *Kulārṇavatantra*, in its published form, probably postdates the thirteenth or fourteenth century.

¹⁰² Cf. Kulārņavatantra 9.13–15 (na śrņoti na cāghrāti na spršati na pašyati | na jānāti sukham duhkham na sankalpayate manah ||13|| na cāpi kiñ cij jānāti na ca budhyati kāṣṭhavat | evam śive vilīnātmā samādhistha ihocyate ||14|| yathā jale jalam kṣiptam kṣīre kṣīram ghrte ghrtam | avišeṣo bhavet tadvaj jīvātmaparamātmanoḥ) with Vivekamārtanḍa 162–72 (cited and translated above). Also, Kulārņavatantra 9.10 and 9.11 = Amanaska 2.54cd–2.55ab and 2.59. Most of the Kulārṇava's ninth chapter is quoted with attribution in the seventeenth-century Yuktabhavadeva (1.55–1.106), as a passage concerning the king of yogas (yogarājam adhikṛtya kulārṇave).

¹⁰³ Kulārņavatantra 9.12 (niṣpandakaraṇagrāmaḥ svātmalīnamanonilaḥ | ya āste mṛtavat sākṣāt jīvanmuktaḥ sa ucyate).

¹⁰⁴ Kulārņavatantra 9.72-4 (mukto 'pi bālavat krīdet kulešo jadavac caret | vaded unmattavad vidvān kulayogī mahešvari ||72|| yathā hasati loko 'yam jugupsati ca kutsati | vilokya dūrato yāti tathā yogī pravartate ||73|| kva cic chistah kva cid bhrastah kva cid bhūtapišācavat | nānāveṣadharo yogī vicarej jagatītale ||74|| 74c veṣa] corr.: veśa Ed.).

¹⁰⁵ Additional verses on the Rājayogin in the long recension of the *Amaraughaprabodha* (71–3) are also relevant here. For a translation, see Birch 2019: 10–11.

first three are rejected as causes of suffering. However, the *Vivekadarpaņa* defines Rājayoga in more gnostic terms as 'stilling the fluctuations of the mind through one's own knowledge'.¹⁰⁶ The Rājayogin is then likened to a king who rules his body and senses and, like the *Kulārṇavatantra*, can live in the world as a naked, solitary ascetic or a libertine, so to speak.¹⁰⁷

Finally, it should be noted that the acceptance of liberation-in-life in Haṭhaand Rājayoga texts is not exceptional in the history of yoga and Indian religions. Indeed, the authors of these yoga texts would have been aware of the teachings on liberation-in-life in some earlier works on yoga, such as the *Pātañjalayogaśāstra*¹⁰⁸

¹⁰⁶ Vivekadarpaņa 15.1: 'Knowing the fluctuations of the mind to be impermanent, they become still through one's own knowledge, that is Rājayoga' (*citavṛtī anitya jāṇauni āpuleni jñāneṃ nīścalā hoūni āsīje to rājayauguḥ*).

¹⁰⁷ Vivekadarpana 15.3 (kavhane yekyem thāim nagnah dīgāmbaruh ānīkye thāim dīvyāmbarah parīmala bahaluh yekvem thāim tapovanah tarutalīm bījanaratuh ānīkī thāim vīvīdha bhoga bhogīh sahajaprāpta puramdharuh sarvatra pratībamdhuh bamdhanārahītu houni āseh tyātem rājavaugī mhanijeh). To understand this passage, I have relied on the German translation of Reinelt 2000: 240-1 (An irgendeinem Ort [ist er] ein Nackter, einer, dessen Gewand die Himmelsrichtungen sind, an einem anderen Ort einer mit himmlischem Gewand [und mit] reichliche[n] Wohlgerüche[n]. An [irgend]einem Ort [ist] ein Wald für Askese, [dort ist er] am Fuß eines Baumes der Einsamkeit zugetan. An einem anderen Ort genießt er vielfältige Freuden, [die er] auf natürliche Weise erlangt [wie] Indra. [Auch wenn] überall behindert, lebt er ohne Bindung. Er wird ein glücklicher rajayogī genannt), which I have understood as, 'In some place he is a naked person, one whose garments are the cardinal directions, in another place he is someone with a heavenly garment [and with] abundant fragrance. In some place [like] a forest for asceticism, [there he is] at the foot of a tree devoted to solitude. In another place he enjoys manifold pleasures, [which he] attains naturally [like] Indra. [Even though] handicapped everywhere, he lives without bond. He is called a happy rajayogi'. However, I suspect *dīgāmbaruh* means 'sky-clad' and not 'garments that are the cardinal directions'. I would like to thank Nils Jacob Liersch for his assistance with my translation of the German.

¹⁰⁸ Three sections of the *Pātañjalayogaśāstra* allude to liberation-in-life, although the term jīvanmukti is not used. The first occurs in the commentary (bhāsya) to sūtra 1.16, in which the higher form of detachment (vairāgya) is said to be identical to liberation (kaivalya). The yogin who attains it is obviously alive because he realizes 'what is to be attained has been attained, etc.' (Pātañjalayogaśāstra 1.16: [...] evam manyate-prāptam prāpaņīyam [...]). The second indication of liberation-in-life occurs in a passage on the sevenfold wisdom (prajñā) gained by the fourth type of yogin, who is described as one who has surpassed what ought to be done and whose sole goal is the dissolution of the mind. See a translation and discussion of Pātañjalayogaśāstra 3.51 (caturtho yas tv atikrāntabhāvanīyas tasya cittapratisarga eko rthah | saptavidhāsya prāntabhūmiprajñā) in Maas 2014: 17. This passage concludes with the following statement, 'When the Purusa experiences the sevenfold wisdom at its final stage (prāntabhūmi), it is called wise (kuśala). Also, when the [yogin's] mind returns to its primordial state, Purusa is both wise and liberated, because it is beyond the Gunas' (Pātañjalayogaśāstra 2.27: etām saptavidhām prāntabhūmiprajñām anupaśyan purusah kuśala ity ākhyāyate | pratiprasave 'pi cittasya muktah kuśala ity eva bhavati gunātītatvād iti). As the pronoun tasya in sūtra 2.27 indicates, this sevenfold wisdom arises for the yogin who can discern Puruşa's fundamental isolation (pratyuditakhyāti). As Purusa in the above passage, such a yogin is described elsewhere as kuśala, a term which implies that he is free from transmigration (on the meaning of kuśala in the Pātañjalayogaśāstra, see Maas 2014: 15 and Wezler 2001: 301 n. 52. Both Maas and Wezler conclude that kuśała and mukta are 'equivalents'). The fact that liberation may arise either when the sevenfold wisdom is experienced or when the mind dissolves appears to reflect the two alternative explanations of liberation (kaivalya) in sūtra 4.34: 'Liberation is the dissolution of the gunas which are [now] void of purpose for the Purusa, or it is the power of consciousness (i.e., the Purusa) established in its own form' (Pātañjalayogaśāstra 4.34: purusārthasūnyānām gunānām pratiprasavah kaivalyam svarūpapratisthā vā citišaktir iti). Note that vā in this sūtra is glossed as punah in the bhāşya. The third indication of liberation-in-life occurs in the *bhāsya* on sūtra 4.30, which exclaims that the yogin's afflictions, such as ignorance, and accumulated karma end (kleśakarmanivrtti) when he has achieved the state of samādhi called 'the cloud that rains virtue' (dharmamegha). At this point, the wise yogin is freed (vimukta) while living: 'When the afflictions and accumulated karma have ceased, the wise [yogin]

and the *Yogavāsistha*.¹⁰⁹ Furthermore, other traditions, such as Advaitavedānta¹¹⁰ and Rasaśāstra,¹¹¹ which flourished in the same time period as early Haṭha- and Rājayoga, envisage liberation-in-life in various ways.

4. Rājayoga and Liberation in the Hathapradīpikā

Having identified the shifting emphasis on transcendence and power in early Hatha- and Rājayoga works, it is now possible to understand how Svātmārāma resolved the differences in his *Haṭhapradīpikā*. Although the title of his work suggests that it mainly concerns Haṭhayoga, Svātmārāma indicates clearly that Haṭhayoga is the means to Rājayoga and that the yogin cannot succeed at one without the other.¹¹² Moreover, the fourth and final chapter of the *Haṭhapradīpikā* is exclusively on Rājayoga.

The first three chapters of the *Haṭhapradīpikā* explain the principal techniques of Haṭhayoga, namely *āsana*, *prāṇāyāma*, and *mudrā*. There are passing references to liberation in the descriptions of some of these techniques, *siddhāsana* (1.37), *padmāsana* (1.51), *udḍīyānabandha* (3.60), *sahajoli* (3.94), *amaroli* (3.103), and *śakticālana* (3.105, 107). Although one might infer that these techniques were considered salvific because of their efficacy in inducing *samādhi*,¹¹³ the verses on these techniques that mention liberation do not indicate whether it is *siddhi*-orientated or otherwise.¹¹⁴

is liberated while he is still living' (*Pātañjalayogaśāstra* 4.30: *kleśakarmanivŗttau jīvann eva vidvān vimukto bhavati*). Therefore, as was the case in early Buddhism, Patañjali thought that liberation-inlife was possible. For the contrary view, which gives subsequent commentaries equal weight to the *Pātañjalayogaśāstra's bhāşya*, see T.S. Rukmani (1997). Note that Śańkara's commentary on the *Pātañjalayogaśāstra* does not seem to doubt that the yogin is alive when liberated. His commentary on *sūtra* 1.25 (56.15) appears to assume that a perfected yogin (*siddhayogin*), who is free from the afflictions, is alive: 'İsvara is free from flaws (*kleśa*), and so on; because he has an unobstructed knowledge; like an accomplished yogin' (trans. Harimoto 2014: 106).

¹⁰⁹ See Slaje 2000a and 2000b. ¹¹⁰ See Potter 1998 and Fort 1998.

¹¹¹ The Yogabīja's rejection of bodiless liberation in favour of liberation-in-life is somewhat similar to Bhairava's discussion of liberation-in-life in the *Rasārņava*: 'Liberation-in-life occurs when one whose body is ageless and immortal experiences their identity with Siva, O great Goddess. It is difficult to obtain even for the gods. Liberation at death is a futile liberation. When the body dies, even an ass is freed. [...] Therefore, one should preserve the body with potions and elixirs' (*Rasārņava* 1.8–1.9, 1.11ab: *ajarāmaradehasya śivatādātmyavedanam* | *jīvanmuktir mahādevi devānām api durlabhā* ||8|| *piņdapāte ca yo mokṣaḥ sa ca mokṣo nirarthakaḥ* | *piņde tu patite devi gardabho 'pi vimucyate* ||9|| [...] tasmāt samrakşayet pindam rasais caiva rasāyanaih).

¹¹² The relationship between these yogas is stated explicitly at the beginning of the *Haṭhapradīpikā* (1.1–3) and elsewhere (e.g. 2.76; for a translation, see Birch 2011: 546 n. 137).

¹¹³ For example, the connection between *samādhi* and liberation appears to be behind the claim that *siddhāsana* bestows liberation, because it supposedly induces *samādhi* (1.42–3), and *padmāsana* facilitates holding the breath, which should induce *kevalakumbhaka* and *samādhi* (2.72–5). Also, *śakticālana* is the practice of moving *kundalinī*, the raising of which is said elsewhere to induce *samādhi* (4.19–20).

¹¹⁴ These include *Hathapradīpikā* 3.60: 'When the *uddiyāna* lock is firm, liberation becomes spontaneous' (*uddiyāne drdhe bandhe muktih svābhāvikī bhavet*). The 'spontaneous liberation' brought At the beginning of the fourth chapter, Svātmarāma notes that Rājayoga is known by many names, including *samādhi*, *laya*, *tattva*, *amanaska*, and *jīvanmukti*, among others (4.3–4).¹¹⁵ He was willing to understand the various nomenclature for *samādhi* as referring to the same meditative state, in the same way that earlier texts, such as the *Amanaska*, the *Candrāvalokana*, and the *Yogatārāvalī*, had done implicitly. In particular, his equating of *jīvanmukti* with Rājayoga and *samādhi* signals his intention to represent the type of liberation espoused in texts, such as the *Amanaska* and the *Vivekamārtanḍa*.

Svātmārāma's definitions of *samādhi* (4.5–7) are taken from the section of the *Vivekamārtaņḍa* that was quoted above.¹¹⁶ After this, fourth chapter has eight verses that mention liberation. Five of these verses identify *samādhi* with liberation and can be traced to texts consulted for this study. Three verses are from the *Amanaska*'s second chapter;¹¹⁷ one from the *Gorakaśataka*¹¹⁸ and one from the *Candrāvalokana*.¹¹⁹ Of the remaining three untraced verses, one identifies liberation with the death-like state of Rājayoga. The context is the attainment of *samādhi* through the practice of fusing the mind with an internal resonance (*nādānusandhāna*). When the mind and breath dissolve, the sound disappears and the yogin enters *samādhi*, the no-mind state:

Because of fusing the mind with an internal resonance, [the yogin's] accumulations of sin are destroyed. When the mind and breath surely dissolve in the stainless [state, the yogin] no longer hears the sound of the conch or kettle drum. His body certainly becomes like a piece of wood because of the no-mind state (*unmanyāvasthā*). The yogin, who is [now] free from all states [of mind] and free from all thoughts, remains like a corpse. [However,] he is undoubtedly liberated. The yogin immersed in *samādhi* is not consumed by time, bound by the result of action nor controlled by anyone.¹²⁰

about by *uddīyānabandha* may follow from the fact that this technique conquers death (3.59). Also, *Haṭhapradīpikā* 3.103cd: 'This yoga [of practising *vajroli*] generates merit and gives liberation even when one indulges in pleasure' (*ayaṃ puŋyakaro yogo bhoge bhukte 'pi muktidaḥ*). Cf. *Haṭhapradīpikā* 3.94 (*ayaṃ śubhakaro yogo bhogayukto 'pi muktidaḥ*). I would like to thank Lubomír Ondračka for his insights into the relation between liberation and *siddhāsana*, *padmāsana* and *udḍiyānabandha*.

¹¹⁵ For further discussion of the compilatory methods of Svātmārāma see Mallinson 2016b: 117–18.

¹¹⁶ Hathapradīpikā 4.5-6 = Vivekamārtaņda 162-3, Hathapradīpikā 4.7 = Vivekamārtaņda 164. Note that Svātmārāma omits the terms samprajnāta and asamprajnāta in his list of synonyms for Rājayoga. Also, Patañjali's definition of samādhi as cittavrttinirodha does not occur, which indicates that the Hathapradīpikā's teachings on Rājayoga were not influenced by the Pātañjalayogaśāstra.

- ¹¹⁷ Hathapradīpikā 4.8, 4.25, 4.112 = Amanaska 2.5, 27, 59.
- ¹¹⁸ Hațhapradīpikā 4.110 = Gorakṣaśataka 7.
- ¹¹⁹ Hathapradīpikā 4.16 = Candrāvalokana 30.

¹²⁰ Hathapradipikā 4.105–4.108 (sadā nādānusandhānāt ksīyante pāpasamcayāh | nirañjane vilīyete niścitam cittamārutau || śankhadundubhinādam ca na śrnoti kadācana | kāsthavaj jāyate deha unmanyāvasthayā dhruvam || sarvāvasthāvinirmuktah sarvacintāvivarjitah | mrtavat tisthate yogī sa mukto nātra samsayah || khādyate na ca kālena bādhyate na ca karmaņā | sādhyate na sa kenāpi yogī yuktah samādhinā). This passage is followed by other verses on *samādhi* that describe the yogin as free from sensory experience, waking, sleeping, and so on. These other verses are also taken from the *Vivekamārtaņḍa*,¹²¹ the *Gorakṣaśataka*,¹²² and the *Amanaska*.¹²³

Another important verse on liberation in the *Haṭhapradīpikā*'s fourth chapter suggests that Svātmārāma was aware that some traditions were not convinced that the attainment of *samādhi* was liberation. This verse (4.30) occurs in a passage on dissolution (*laya*) of the mind:

Whether [*samādhi*] is called liberation or not in other traditions, an exquisite bliss arises from the dissolution (*laya*) of the mind and breath.¹²⁴

The above verse reveals Svātmārāma's attempt to distance the *Haṭhapradīpikā* from any controversy over whether the state of *samādhi* could be called liberation. Perhaps he had in mind the *Yogabīja*'s view that yoga without gnosis was insufficient for liberation. Instead of arguing the point, Svātmārāma reminds the reader of the value of Rājayoga by pointing to the apparent consensus among these yogic traditions that *samādhi* generates bliss.¹²⁵

The last of the eight verses on liberation (4.78) comments on the tantric view, namely that the liberated yogin becomes a second Śiva. This view is introduced by Svātmārāma in a section on the four stages of yoga called *ārambha*, *ghaṭa*, *paricaya*, and *niṣpatti*, which he borrowed from the *Amaraughaprabodha*. Samādhi occurs in the final stage called *niṣpatti*, which is explained as follows:

Then, [when *nispatti* is attained,] the mind becomes one and is called Rājayoga. The [yogin] becomes a creator and destroyer [of the world] and an equal to the god of yogins.¹²⁶

Immediately after the above verse, Svātmārāma adds the following untraced verses, which qualify this view of liberation:

Whether this might be liberation or not, there is certainly uninterrupted [transcendental] happiness in it. This happiness, which arises in *samādhi*, is attained

¹²¹ Hathapradīpikā 4.109, 4.108, 4.113 = Vivekamārtaņda 166, 168, 169.

¹²² Hathapradīpikā 4.110 = Goraksasataka 7. ¹²³ Hathapradīpikā 4.112 = Amanaska 2.59.

¹²⁴ Haṭhapradīpikā 4.30 (so 'yam evāstu mokṣākhyo māstu vāpi matāntare | manahprānalaye kaś cid ānandah sampravartate).

¹²⁵ Hatha- and Rājayoga texts appear to be consistent in describing samādhi as a blissful state, e.g. Amanaska 2.20–1, 2.100, Gorakṣaśataka 64, Vivekamārtaņda 193, Yogabīja 151, Haṭhapradīpikā 4.2, 4.30, etc.

¹²⁶ Hathapradīpikā 4.77 (ekībhūtam tadā cittam rājayogābhidhānakam | srṣṭisamhārakartāsau yogīśvarasamo bhavet).

because of Rājayoga. Those ignorant of Rājayoga merely perform Haṭhayoga. I think these practitioners are denied the fruit of their efforts.¹²⁷

Although Svātmārāma was willing to accept the Śaiva ideal of a yogin becoming an equal to Śiva, he qualified it by acknowledging that the attainment of Śivahood may not be accepted as liberation by all. It is possible that the notion of Śivahood was too sectarian to be the final goal of a system of yoga that was intended for a wide audience.

On the weight of the evidence, it appears that Svātmārāma favoured transcendence over power, by identifying the state of Rājayoga with liberation. As demonstrated above, he borrowed a significant number of verses from the *Vivekamārtaņḍa*, the *Gorakṣaśataka*, and the *Amanaska*, all of which support this viewpoint. More importantly, Svātmārāma placed most of these verses at the end of the *Haṭhapradīpikā*'s final chapter, which gives the impression that they represent his decisive view. Apart from a single hemistich of the *Amaraughaprabodha*, which is qualified by the verse that follows it, he omitted verses from earlier works that present the liberated yogin as an all-powerful god living in the world. Moreover, descriptions of a liberation characterized by *siddhis* are not prominent in the final chapter of the *Haṭhapradīpikā*.

In grappling with the tensions between transcendence and power, Svātmārāma further simplified the notion of Rājayoga as liberation by eschewing the question of whether the liberated yogin continues to act in the world. In addition to leaving the Kaula view of the liberated yogin largely unrepresented, he omitted the relevant sections on action and rites in earlier yoga texts, such as the *Amanaska* and the *Vasiṣthasamhitā*. Although the *Haṭhapradīpikā* implies that the liberated yogin remains suspended in the hypometabolic state of *samādhi*, its open-ended conclusion was probably an invitation for gurus of various traditions to supply their own views on the yogin's fate after liberation.

5. Concluding Remarks

It is likely that Hatha- and Rājayoga arose independently as non-initiatory practices that were ancillary to various religions. By the twelfth or thirteenth century, Hatha- and Rājayoga were combined to form a distinct system that synthesized tantric teachings of various traditions, including Buddhism. Among the salient features of this system were physical yoga techniques and the goals of a blissful, stonelike *samādhi* and liberation-in-life. Not being the preserve of any one tradition, the codification of the Hatha-Rāja system produced trans-sectarian texts with minimal

¹²⁷ Hathapradīpikā 4.78–9 (astu vā māstu vā muktir atraivākhaņditam sukham | layodbhavam idam saukhyam rājayogād avāpyate || rājayogam ajānantah kevalam hathakarmiņah | etān abhyāsino manye prayāsaphalavarjitān).

philosophy or theology. It seems probable that this system became widespread in India because it had significant advantages over the praxis of more fully fledged ascetic and tantric traditions. For, on the one hand, unlike physical methods of *tapasyā*, such as sitting amid five fires, keeping the arms above the head and standing on one leg for twelve years, the Haṭha-Rāja method did not harm the body, claimed to produce results relatively quickly, and had a range of practical benefits, such as healing diseases and inducing mental calm. The fact that Haṭha-and Rājayoga texts do not integrate or even mention the methods of *tapasyā* suggests that their authors were unwilling to conflate the two.

On the other hand, Hatha- and Rajayoga were simpler to understand and disseminate than Tantra's elaborate initiatory, doctrinal, and ritual systems, as well as the doctrines of Buddhism, Jainism, and Brahmanical philosophical schools (darśana), including Pātañjalayoga. The relative simplicity of the Hatha-Rāja method meant that it could be adapted for people of different religious beliefs. Its ancillary status ensured that it never became exclusive to one tradition. This produced a body of literature in which the same techniques are integrated with different systems of metaphysics and conceptions of liberation, which emphasize transcendence and power in varying degrees. This is seen clearly in the case of the Amaraughaprabodha, which adapted the three physical mudras of the Amrtasiddhi, a Vajrayāna work, for a Śaiva audience by overlaying the same physical practice with Saiva metaphysics that included the raising of kundalini, the attainment of Rājayoga, and the transformation of the yogin into a second Śiva (Birch 2019: 14-21). Through this process of adaptation, the Hatha-Rāja method crossed sectarian boundaries and evolved for a wide audience, including householders, and varying social conditions.

At some point, probably after the fifteenth-century *Haṭhapradīpikā*, the older ascetic and tantric traditions responded to the success of Haṭha- and Rājayoga by reinterpreting and integrating the terminology and techniques of both yogas into their own doctrines. For example, ascetics reinterpreted the term *haṭhayoga* to mean the mental attitude required to succeed at *tapasyā*.¹²⁸ This meaning is absent in the yoga texts consulted for this chapter. Tantrikas incorporated techniques of Haṭha- and Rājayoga into their compendiums as preliminary practices.¹²⁹

¹²⁸ On this definition of the term *hathayoga* among ascetics, see Bevilacqua 2016. I am not aware of any textual evidence that indicates that this meaning of Hathayoga predates the *Hathapradīpikā*.

¹²⁹ For example, the Śaiva ritual compilations, the *Puraścaraņacandrikā* (late fifteenth century) and the *Puraścaraņārņava* (eighteenth century), incorporated Haṭhayogic *āsanas* and *prāņāyāmas* as preliminary rites to mantra recitation. There are Haṭhayogic *āsanas* in the Vaiṣŋava compendium called the *Surīsarvasva* (I wish to thank Rembert Lutjeharms for this reference). Also, some tantric compendiums that date to after the fifteenth century quote Haṭha- and Rājayoga texts or tacitly borrow from them. Examples include the *Prāṇatoṣiņī* (1820 CE) of Rāmatoṣaṇa Vidyālaṅkāra, the *Merutantra*, Caturbhujamiśra's *Mugdhāvabodhinī* (on the *Rasahrdayatantra*), the *Praśnasamhitā*, etc. The *Vārāhītantra* cannibalized much of the *Haṭhapradīpikā*.

Brahmanical traditions responded by blending the teachings of Hatha- and Rājayoga with Pātañjalayoga in large compendiums and by transforming many Hatha- and Rājayoga texts into Upaniṣads.¹³⁰ The integration of Hatha- and Rājayoga with the yogas of these more prominent traditions resulted in the demise of the Hatha-Rāja method as a distinct system of practice. By the eighteenth century, Hathayoga techniques characterized the auxiliaries of *āsana* and *prāṇāyāma* in *aṣtānigayoga*, and Rājayoga became little more than a label for the 'best yoga' of any tradition, regardless of whether *samādhi* was taught or not (Birch 2014: 412–16).

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Prof. Gavin Flood for encouraging me to write this chapter and for reading several drafts, and (in alphabetical order) Jacqueline Hargreaves, Dr Ulrich Timme Kragh, Dr James Madaio, Dr James Mallinson, Dr Lubomír Ondračka, Shaman Hatley, and Dr Mark Singleton for their incisive comments on this work, as well as Prof. Diwakar Acharya and Prof. Dominic Goodall for their comments on passages from the *Amaraughaprabodha* and the *Śivasamhitā*. I would like to thank Dr S.V.B.K.V. Gupta for his assistance in reading the South Indian scripts of manuscripts of the *Amaraughaprabodha*, the *Candrāvalokana*, and the *Yogatārāvalī*. My work on this chapter received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 647963).

References

Primary Sources (in English Alphabetical Order)

Amanaska; see Birch, Jason. 2013.

Amaraughaprabodha

Amaraughaprabodha, (unpublished) critical edition, ed. Jason Birch. The Hatha Yoga Project, forthcoming.

¹³⁰ For example, the Yogacintāmaņi of Godāvaramiśra (sixteenth century), the Yogacintāmaņi of Śivānandasarasvatī (seventeenth century), the Yuktabhavadeva (seventeenth century), the Hathasanketacandrikā (eighteenth century), the Upāsanasārasangraha (sixteenth century), the Yogasārasangraha (sixteenth to eighteenth century), etc. For the redacting of early Hatha- and Rājayoga texts into Upanişads, see Bouy 1994.

Amṛtasiddhi

Amṛtasiddhi, (unpublished) critical edition, ed. James Mallinson and Péter-Dániel Szántó. The Hatha Yoga Project, forthcoming.

- Anubhavanivedanastotra
- *Abhinavagupta: An Historical and Philosophical Study.* K.C. Pandey. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Amarabharati Prakashan, 1935.
- Candrāvalokana
- Candrāvalokana. Ms No. 75278. Adyar Library and Research Centre, Chennai.
- *Candrāvalokana*. Ms No. 4344. Government Oriental Manuscript Library, Madras (Chennai).
- *Candrāvalokana*. Ms No. 4345. Government Oriental Manuscript Library, Madras (Chennai).

Dattātreyayogaśāstra

Dattātreyayogašāstra. (unpublished) critical edition, ed. James Mallinson (compiled with assistance from Alexis Sanderson, Jason Birch, Péter Szántó, and Andrea Acri). The Hatha Yoga Project, forthcoming.

- Gherandasamhitā; see Mallinson, James. 2014.
- Gorakșaśataka
- *Gorakșaśataka*, (unpublished) critical edition, ed. James Mallinson. Hațha Yoga Project, forthcoming.
- Gorakșasiddhāntasaṅgraha
- *Gorakșasiddhāntasangraha*, ed. Pāṇḍeya, Janārdana Śāstrī. Varanasi: Varanaseya Sanskrit Vishvavidyalaya Press, 1973.
- Hațhapradīpikā
- Hațhapradīpikā of Svātmārāma, ed. Swami Digambaraji and Pt. Raghunatha Shastri Kokaje. Lonavla: Kaivalyadhama S.M.Y.M. Samiti, 1998.
- Hațhapradīpikā of Svātmārāma with the Commentary Jyotsnā Brahmānanda, ed. K. Kunjunni Raja. Madras: Adyar Library and Research Centre, 1972.¹³¹

Hațhasańketacandrikā (of Sundaradeva)

Hathasanketacandrikā. Ms No. 2244 at the Man Singh Pustak Prakash Library, Jodhpur.

- Jyotsnā (of Brahmānanda)
- *Brahmānandakṛtā Haṭhapradīpikā Jyotsnā*, ed. Maheśānand, Śarmā, Sahāy, and Bodhe. Lonavla: Kaivalyadham Śrīmanmādhav Yogamandir Samiti, 2002.

Kuladīpikā

Kuladīpikā, Institut Français de Pondichéry Transcript, No. T1046a.

Kulārņavatantra

¹³¹ Verse numbers are cited from this edition.

- *Kulārņava Tantra*, intro. Avalon, A., trans. Pandit, M.P., ed. Vidyāratna, T. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1984.
- Kulasāra
- Kulasāra. Ms No. NAK 4-137 (NGMPP A40/11). Kathmandu National Archives, Nepal.
- Kaulajñānanirņaya
- *Kaulajñānanirņaya*. Ms No. NAK 3–362 (NGMPP reel A48/13). Kathmandu National Archives, Nepal.

Kaulajñānanirņaya (chapters 5 and 17). Critical Edition, Shaman Hatley, Forthcoming.

Matsyendrasamhitā; see Kiss, Csaba. 2009. Matsyendranātha's Compendium (Matysendrasamhitā): A Critical Edition and Annotated Translation of Matysendrasamhitā 1–13 and 55 with Analysis. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Oxford University.

Merutantra

Merutantra, ed. Pandita Raghunāthaśāstri. Śrivenkateśvara Bombay, 1908.

Moksopāya

- Bhāskarakaņth as Moksopāya-Ţīkā: ein Kommentar in der Tradition der kaschmirischen Yogavāsisth a-.berlieferung, vol. 1–4, ed. Slaje, Walter. Graz: EWS-Fachverl., 1996.
- Mokşopāya: Upaśāntiprakaraņa. Kritische Edition von Susanne Krause-Stinner und Peter Stephan. (Anonymus Casmiriensis: Mokşopāya. Historisch-kritische Gesamtausgabe.) Herausgegeben unter der Leitung von Walter Slaje. Textedition. [Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur, Mainz. Veröffentlichungen der Indologischen Kommission]. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz [forthcoming].

Mrgendratantra

- Mrgendra, Vidyāpāda and Yogapāda, with the Commentary (-vrtti) of Bhațța Nārāyaņakanțha, ed. Madhusudan Kaul Shāstrī. KSTS 50. Srinagar, 1930.
- Mugdhāvabodhinī (of Caturbhujamiśra)
- Govindbhagavatpada: Rasahrdayatantra with Caturbhuja Misra's Mugdhavabodhini. Delhi: Chaukhambha Orientalia, 1989.

Pātañjalayogaśāstra

- Pātañjalayogasūtrāņi vācaspatimiśraviracitaţīkāsamvalitavyāsabhāşyasametāni tathā bhojadevaviracitarājamārtaņdābhidhavŗttisametāni, Ānandāśramasamskrtagranth āvalih, 47. ed. Kāśīnātha Śāstrī Āgāśe, Hari Nārāyaņa Āpaţe, Pune: Ānandāśramamudraņālaya, 1904.
- Pātañjala-Yogasūtra-Bhāṣya Vivaraṇam of Śaṅkara-Bhagavatpāda, ed. Sastri, P.S. and Sastri, S.R.K. Madras: Government Oriental Manuscript Library, 1952.
- Praśnasamhitā
- Śrīpraśnasamhitā, ed. Raghavan, V. Tirupati: Kendriya Sanskrit Vidyapeetha, 1969.

Puraścaranacandrikā (of Devendrāśrama)

Puraścaranacandrikā. Ms No. NGMPP A42/5, Kathmandu National Archives, Nepal.

Puraścaraņārņava (of H.H. The Maharājā Pratāpa Śimha Sāha Bahādura of Nepaul)

Puraścaryārņavaḥ, vols 1-3, ed. Śrīpaṇḍita Muralidhara Jhā. Varanasi: Prabhakari & Co. Banaras, 1901.

Prāņatoșiņī (of Rāmatoșaņa Vidyālankāra)

Prāņatoșinī, ed. Bhattacaryya, J.V. Calcutta: Śrījīvānandavidyāsāgarabhatțācarya, 1898.

Rasārņava

The Rasārņavam: or the Ocean of Mercury and other Metals and Minerals, ed. Ray, P.C. and Kaviratna, Hariścandra. Bibliotheca Indica, 174. Calcutta: Baptist Mission Press, 1910.

Sānkhyapravacanabhāṣya (of Vijñānabhiksu)

The Sāmkhya-Pravacana-Bhāsya or commentary on the exposition of the Sānkhya philosophy, ed. Richard Garbe. Boston, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1895.

Śārṅgadharapaddhati

Śārṅgadharapaddhati, ed. Peter Peterson. Delhi: Caukhambā Saṃskṛta Pratiṣṭhāna, 1987.

Śivasaṃhitā

Śiva Saṃhitā: A Critical Edition, ed. Maheshananda Swami. Lonavla: Kaivalyadhama S.M.Y.M. Samiti, 2009; see Mallinson, James. 2007b.

Sūtasaṃhitā

Śrīmatsūtasamhitā tātparyadīpikāsahitā. Mylapore, Madras: Sri Balamanorama Press, 1932.

Surīsarvasva (of Govindakavibhūṣaṇasāmantarāya)

Sri Surisarvasvam, ed. Sri Jagannatha Misra. Calcutta: Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1912.

Upāsanāsārasangraha

Upāsanāsārasaṅgraha, Institut Français de Pondichéry Transcript No. T1095b, pp. 15–63. Vārāhītantra

Vārāhītantra, Ms No. 3–315 (B 144/9 (NGMCP 3–315)), Kathmandu National Archives, Nepal.¹³²

Vasisțhasaṃhitā

- *Vasisțha Samhitā (Yoga Kāṇḍa)*, revised edition, ed. Philosophico-Literary Research Department. Lonavla: Kaivalyadhama S.M.Y.M. Samiti, 2005.
- Vivekadarpaņa; see Reinelt, K.J. 2000. Das Vivekadarpaņa: Textanalyse und Erläuterungen zur Philosophie und praktischen Erlösungslehre der Nāthayogis in Mahārāṣṭra. Inauguraldissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Fakultät für Orientalistik und Altertumswissenschaft der Universität Heidelberg.

¹³² I have used a transcript of this text available at muktabodha.org.

Vivekamārtaņda

- *Vivekamārtaņḍa*. Ms No. 4110. Maharaja Sayajirao Oriental Institute, Central Library, Baroda (Vadodara).¹³³
- Goraksaśataka, ed. Fausta Nowotny. Cologne: K.A. Nowotny, 1976.

Yogabīja

- *Yogabīja* (unpublished) critical edition, ed. Jason Birch. The Hatha Yoga Project, forthcoming.
- *Yogabīja of Gorakhanātha*, ed. Ramalala Srivastava. Gorakhapur: Gorakhanath Mandir, 1982.
- Yogacintāmaņi (of Śivānandasarasvatī)

Yogacintāmaņi, ed. Haridāsa Śarma. Calcutta: Calcutta Oriental Press (no date).

Yogacintāmaņi (of Godāvaramiśra)

Yogacintāmaņi. Ms No. 220, 1882-3. Bhandarka Oriental Research Institute, Pune.

Yogasārasaṅgraha

Yogasārasangraha. Institut Français de Pondichéry Transcript No. T0859.

Yogatārāvalī

Yogatārāvalī, (unpublished) critical edition, ed. Jason Birch. The Hatha Yoga Project, forthcoming.

Yoga Upanisads

Yoga Upaniṣads with the Commentary of Śrī Upaniṣadbrahmayogin, ed. Pandit A. Mahadeva Sastri. Madras: Adyar Library and Research Centre, 1968.

Yogayājñavalkya

Yogayājñavalkya, ed. Sri Prahlad C Divanji. B.B.R.A. Society's Monograph, no. 3. Bombay: Bombay Branch Royal Asiatic Society, 1954.

Yuktabhavadeva

Yuktabhavadeva of Bhavadeva Miśra, ed. M.L. Gharote and V.K. Jha. Lonavla: Lonavla Yoga Institute, 2002.

Secondary Sources

Bansat-Boudon, Lyne. 2013. 'The Contribution of Non-Dual Śaivism of Kashmir to the Debate on *jīvanmukti*: A Thematic Perspective on the Question of Periodization'. *Periodization and Historiography of Indian Philosophy*, Eli Franco. Vienna: Verein 'Sammlung de Nobili, Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Indologie und Religionsforschung', Institut für Südasien-, Tibet- und Buddhismuskunde der Universität Wien.

¹³³ Verse numbers and readings are cited from this codex.

- Bevilacqua, Daniela. 2016. 'Let the *Sādhus* Talk: Ascetic Understanding of Haṭha Yoga and *Yogāsanas*', *Religions of South Asia*, 11(2–3), 182–206. https://doi.org/10.1558/ rosa.37023.
- Birch, Jason. 2011. 'The Meaning of Hatha in Early Hathayoga', *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 131(4), 527–54.
- Birch, Jason. 2013. *The Amanaska: King of All Yogas: A Critical Edition and Annotated Translation with a Monographic Introduction*. DPhil Dissertation. Oxford: University of Oxford Library.
- Birch, Jason. 2014. 'Rājayoga: The Reincarnations of the King of All Yogas', International Journal of Hindu Studies, 17(3), 401–44.
- Birch, Jason. 2015. 'The Yogatārāvalī and the Hidden History of Yoga', *Nāmarūpa: Categories of Indian Thought*, Issue 20, Spring. New York: Nāmarūpa Inc.
- Birch, Jason. 2018a. 'Premodern Yoga Traditions and Ayurveda: Preliminary Remarks on Shared Terminology, Theory, and Praxis', *History of Science in South Asia*, 6, 1–83. doi: 10.18732/hssa.v6i0.25.
- Birch, Jason. 2018b. 'The Proliferation of Asanas in Late Medieval Yoga Texts', Yoga in Transformation: Historical and Contemporary Perspectives on a Global Phenomenon. Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht Unipress, pp. 101–79.
- Birch, Jason. 2019. 'The Amaraughaprabodha: New Evidence on the Manuscript Transmission of an Early Work on Hatha- and Rājayoga', *Journal of Indian Philosophy*, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10781-019-09401-5.
- Bouy, Christian. 1994. Les Nātha-Yogin et les Upanișads. Paris: Di usion de Boccard.
- Colas, Gerard. 2003. 'History of Vaiṣṇava Traditions: An Esquisse', in Gavin Flood (ed.), *The Blackwell Companion to Hinduism*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Fort, Andrew. 1998. Jīvanmukti in Transformation: Embodied Liberation in Advaita and Neo-Vedanta. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Golovkova, Anya. 2012. 'Śrīvidyä,' in K.A Jacobsen (ed.), Brill's Encyclopedia of Hinduism, Volume Four: Historical Perspectives, Poets, Teachers, and Saints, Relation to other Religions and Traditions, Hinduism and Contemporary Issues. Leiden and Boston, MA: Brill, Handbuch der Orientalistik, Zweite Abteilung, Indien, pp. 815–22.
- Goodall, Dominic. 2015. The Niśvāsatattvasamhitā: The Earliest Surviving Šaiva Tantra. Vol 1. A Critical Edition of the Mūlasūtra, Uttarasūtra and Nayasūtra, Goodall, Dominic, in collaboration with Alexis Sanderson and Harunaga Isaacson, with contributions of Kafle, Nirajan, Acharya, Diwakar, and others. Franco-German Early Tantra Series, École Française D' extreme-orient Nepal Research Centre.
- Harimoto, Kengo. 2014. God, Reason, and Yoga: A Critical Edition and Translation of the Commentary Ascribed to Śańkara on Pātañjalayogaśāstra 1.23–28. Hamburg: Department of Indian and Tibetan Studies, Universität Hamburg.
- Hatley, Shaman. 2007. *The Brahmayāmalatantra and Early Śaiva Cult of Yoginīs*. PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania.

- Kaivalyadhama. 2005. *Descriptive Catalogue of Yoga Manuscripts* (Updated Edition). Lonavla: SMYM Samiti.
- Kiss, Csaba. 2009. Matsyendranātha's Compendium (Matysendrasamhitā): A Critical Edition and Annotated Translation of Matysendrasamhitā 1–13 and 55 with Analysis. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Oxford University.
- Leadbeater, C.W. 1925. The Masters and the Path. Chicago, IL: Theosophical Press.
- Maas, Philipp André. 2006. Samādhipāda. Das erste Kapitel des Pātañjalayogaśāstra zum ersten Mal kritisch ediert. (Samādhipāda. The First Chapter of the Pātañjalayogašāstra for the First Time Critically Edited). Aachen: Shaker (Studia Indologica Universitatis Halensis) (Geisteskultur Indiens. Texte und Studien 9).
- Maas, Philipp André. 2014. 'Der Yogiund sein Heilsweg im Yoga des Patañjali', in *Wege zumHeil(igen). Sakralität und Sakralisierung in hinduistischen Traditionen.* Karin Steiner. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, pp. 65–90.
- Mallik, Smt Kalyani. 1954. Siddhasiddhāntapaddhati and Other Works of Nath Yogis. Pune: Poona Oriental Book House.
- Mallinson, James. 2004. The Gheranḍa Samhitā: The Original Sanskrit and an English Translation. Woodstock, NY: YogaVidya.
- Mallinson, James. 2007a. The Khecarīvidyā of Ādinātha: A Critical Edition and Annotated Translation of an Early Text of Hathayoga. London: Routledge.
- Mallinson, James. 2007b. The Śiva Samhitā: A Critical Edition and an English Translation. Woodstock: YogaVidya.com.
- Mallinson, James. 2011. 'The Original Gorakşaśataka' in David White (ed.), *Yoga in Practice*. Chicago, IL: Chicago University Press, pp. 257–72.
- Mallinson, James. 2014. 'Hathayoga's Philosophy: A Fortuitous Union of Non-Dualities', *Journal of Indian Philosophy*, 42(1), 225–47.
- Mallinson, James. 2016a. 'The Amrtasiddhi: Haṭhayoga's Tantric Buddhist Source Text (Draft)'. Forthcoming in *Śaivism and the Tantric Traditions: Essays in Honour of Alexis G. J. S. Sanderson*, edited by Dominic Goodall, Shaman Hatley, Harunaga Isaacson, and Srilata Raman. Gonda Indological Studies. Leiden: Brill, forthcoming. Available at: https://www.academia.edu/26700528/The_Amrtasiddhi_Haṭhayogas_ Tantric_Buddhist_Source_Text (accessed 9.2.2017).
- Mallinson, James. 2016b. 'Śāktism and Haṭhayoga', in Bjarne Wernicke Olesen (ed.), Goddess Traditions in Tantric Hinduism, History, Practice and Doctrine. Oxford: Routledge, pp. 109–40.
- Mallinson, James. 2019. '*Kālavañcana* in the Konkan: How a Vajrayāna *Haṭhayoga* Tradition Cheated Buddhism's Death in India', *Religions*, 10, 273; doi: 10.3390/ rel10040273.
- Potter, Karl. 1998. Advaita Vedānta up to Śamkara and His Pupils. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Rama, Swami and Swami Ajaya. 1978. *Living with the Himalayan Masters: Spiritual Experiences of Swami Rama*. Honesdale: Himalayan International Institute of Yoga Sciences & Philosophy.

- Reinelt, K.J. 2000. Das Vivekadarpaņa: Textanalyse und Erläuterungen zur Philosophie und praktischen Erlösungslehre der Nāthayogis in Mahārāṣṭra. Inauguraldissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Fakultät für Orientalistik und Altertumswissenschaft der Universität Heidelberg.
- Rukmani, T.S. 1997. 'Tension Between Vyutthāna and Nirodha in the Yoga-Sūtras', *Journal of Indian Philosophy*, 25, 613–28.
- Sanderson, Alexis. 2014. 'The Śaiva Literature', *Journal of Indological Studies* (Kyoto), Nos. 24 and 25 (2012–13), pp. 1–113.
- Saraogi, Olga Serbaeva. 2010. 'Liberation in Life and After Death in Early Śaiva Mantramārgic Texts: The Problem of *Jīvanmukti*', in Andreas Bigger, Rita Krajnc, Annemarie Mertens, Markus Schüpbach and Heinz Werner Wessler (eds.), *Release from Life—Release in Life: Indian Perspectives on Individual Liberation*. Bern, Berlin, Bruxelles, Frankfurt am Main, New York, Oxford, Wien: Peter Lang, pp. 211–33.
- Schaeffer, Kurtis R. 2002. 'The Attainment of Immortality: From Nāthas in India to Buddhists in Tibet', *Journal of Indian Philosophy*, 30(6). Netherlands: Springer.
- Slaje, Walter. 2000a. 'Towards a History of the Jīvanmukti Concept: The Mokşadharma the Mahābhārata', in Minoru Hara, Ryutaro Tsuchida, and Albrecht Wezler (eds.), Harānandalaharī: Volume in Honour of Professor Minoru Hara on His Seventieth Birthday. Reinbeck: Dr Inge Wezler Verlag für Orientalistische Fachpublikationen, pp. 325–48.
- Slaje, Walter. 2000b. 'Liberation from Intentionality and Involvement: On the Concept of *jīvanmukti* According to the Moksopāya', *Journal of Indian Philosophy*, 28, 171–94.
- Sternbach, Ludwik. 1974. *Subhāșita, Gnomic and Didactic Literature*. History of Indian Literature, vol. 4. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- Szántó, Péter-Dániel. 2016. A Brief Introduction to the Amṛtasiddhi (Handout for a talk presented at the Hatha Yoga Project's Workshop, SOAS University of London). Available at: https://www.academia.edu/28522189/_A_Brief_Introduction_to_the_Amṛtasiddhi_Handout_for_Sanskrit_Texts_on_Yoga_London_Oxford_2016_ (accessed 9.2.2017).
- Vasudeva, Somadeva. 2011. 'Powers and Identities: Yoga Powers and the Tantric Śaiva Traditions', in Knut Jacobsen (ed.), *Yoga Powers*. Leiden: Brill, pp. 265–302.
- Watson, Alex, Goodall, Dominic and Sarma, S.L.P. Anjaneya. 2013. An enquiry into the Nature of Liberation: Bhaṭṭa Ramakanṭha's Paramokṣanirasakarikavṛtti, a commentary on Sadyojyotiḥ's refutation of twenty conceptions of the liberated state (mokṣa), for the first time critically edited, translated into English and annotated. Pondichery: École française d'Extrême-Orient.
- Wezler, Albrecht. 2001. 'Letting a Text Speak: Some Remarks on the Sādhanapāda of the Yogasūtra and the Yogabhāṣya. I. The Wording of Yoga- sūtra 2.22', *Journal* of Indian Philosophy, 29, 293–304.
- Yogananda, Paramahamsa. 1946. Autobiography of a Yogi. Los Angeles, CA: Self-Realization Fellowship (1954, ©1946).