ZOROASTRIAN ELEMENTS IN VĪS U RĀMĪN

by

Parvin Doane

School of Oriental and African Studies

Dissertation submitted in part fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Philosophy of the University of London

November 1981



ProQuest Number: 10672708

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10672708

Published by ProQuest LLC (2017). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.

This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

ProQuest LLC.
789 East Eisenhower Parkway
P.O. Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

Abstract of the dissertation

The Persian poem Vis u Ramin is known to be based on a lost Pahlavi written text, which represents in its turn a minstrel poem that has been traced back to a The obvious Zoroastrian elements in Parthian origin. the Persian poem have been briefly mentioned by commentators, but it seemed probable that close scrutiny would produce more such material. In fact it has proved possible to show in some passages close verbal dependence on the Pahlavi version, and to bring to light references to the Zoroastrian divine beings which had previously been overlooked, as well as certain precise Zoroastrian traditional details. Some at least of this material, it has been argued, must derive from the original Parthian minstrel poem, since it is essential to the plot. The results of the investigation thus contribute to knowledge of Zoroastrian society and literature at a remote and little-known period of Iranian history.

Acknowledgement

of her great help and advice

to Professor M. Boyce

CONTENTS

		Page
	Abstract	2
1.	Introductory	5
2.	Mobad	17
	No Ruz and Mihragan	23
3.	Shahro	28
	Sorūsh in 'Vīs u Rāmīn'	3.2
	Rastī	40
4.	Vīs	47
	Purity in 'Vīs u Rāmīn'	50
	The Ordeal by Fire, sogand khwardan, and Solemn Oaths	54
	Fire-temples (<u>atashgah</u>)	66
5.	Rāmīn	72
J.	mihr/Mihr in 'Vīs u Rāmīn'	79
6.	The Nurse	88
-		
	Abbreviations and Bibliography	96
	Index	98

CHAPTER ONE

Introductory

The romantic love story 'Vis u Rāmin' has come down to us through Fakhr-ad Din Gurgani's Persian poem composed between 1040 and 1054 A.C. At the beginning of this poem Gurgani tells us how he came to know the story. He says that it was 'a beautiful story compiled by six wise men'. But, he says, not everyone could easily read the script, Pahlavi, in which it was written, or if they did, could understand the meaning. 'In these parts (Isfahan) people read that book in order to learn Pahlavi from it.'²

It thus appears that 'Vis u Rāmin' was in origin part of the pre-Islamic oral poetry of Iran, recited to music and without the ornament of rhyme; and that it survived the oblivion into which most such poetry fell by being written down, presumably in the period after the Arab conquest, since it seems that in Sasanian times poetry was not recorded. The work gained new popularity in Gurgani's rendering, and a Georgian translation was made of this, possibly as early as the thirteenth century A.C. ³

The anonymous author of the 'Mujmal at-tavarikh' locates the story in the time of the second Sasanian king Shabuhr, son of Ardashir. But V. Minorsky's study of the geographical locations, political background and other data has shown that the story is of Parthian origin. There are Sasanian

elements in the poem, such as No Ruz falling in the month of Adar, and a six-day Mihragan Feast, but it is apparent that the Sasanian version of this minstrel poem was only a re-handling of an older Parthian original.

In character 'Vis u Ramin' is a courtly romance, which was presumably composed for the entertainment of Parthian nobles and their ladies. The occurrence of the Parthian word gosan 'minstrel' twice in Gurgani's poem, and an associated form three times in the Georgian one, testifies to this earliest tradition. 6 Then, gaining popularity, the story was evidently retold later by Sasanian minstrels in their turn, and so passed down into Islamic times in a Middle Persian version. Archaeological and literary evidence has shown that the Parthians, like their successors the Sasanians, were Zoroastrians. The story thus originated in a Zoroastrian society, and was transmitted by Zoroastrians down the centuries, until it attained its final version in a work by a Muslim author. It is therefore a matter of considerable interest to examine Gurgani's poem for Zoroastrian elements which may have survived in his redaction. The most obvious of such elements have naturally already been noted by the editors and translators of the poem; but they have all come to it with a knowledge chiefly of Islamic Iran. It seemed probable therefore that more could be found out if the work were closely studied again with Zoroastrian beliefs and attitudes constantly in mind, and with the vocabulary

and idioms of Pahlavi literature for comparison.

The results of such a study have been striking; and it has not been surprising to find that the distinctively Zoroastrian elements appear markedly at certain crucial points of the narrative, where Zoroastrian beliefs and moral attitudes directly affect the course of the story, and where Gurgani seems to have been keeping closely to the original They are, however, absent from what appear to Pahlavi text. be his own extensions and elaborations. What has tended to mask this is that many Middle Persian words survive in Persian but with altered meanings; and one finds that Gurgani uses some of these words in the 'Zoroastrian' passages of his poem in their MP sense, but elsewhere in their later Persian significance. Sometimes it seems that he intends a deliberate word-play on the two senses, of which only a listener who knew something of the older language would have If, therefore, a modern reader takes such words been aware. throughout in their Persian sense, the specifically Zoroastrian character of particular passages is largely lost. This is the case generally in the published English version. Examples of such instances will be given throughout the following pages, but the general proposition may be illustrated at once by a few examples.

A basic Zoroastrian doctrine is that Ahura Mazda created this world with a purpose, in accordance with the cosmic principle of asha, i.e. order, truth, righteousness; and one

word used in the Avesta for his act of creation is vidāraya-, which means 'arrange, regulate' rather than 'make'. A Pahlavi synonym for this verb is aray-, whose original sense is preserved in a very few Persian compounds, e.g. saf-arayī 'marshalling of ranks (in battle)', gul-arayī 'arranging of flowers'. Otherwise it has developed the meaning of 'making beautiful, adorning', while its cognate, pīray-, has the sense of 'trimming, tidying'. A line from the story Mahmūd va Ayaz is used as a standard mnemonic for this distinction between the two verbs: arastan-i sarv ze pīrastan ast 'one makes a cypress beautiful by trimming it'; and Gurgani himself uses them together in one couplet in a similar way:

p.327.9 <u>do zulf u abrovanash-ra be pirast</u> bunagush u rukhanash-ra byarast

'She trimmed her two tresses and her eyebrows [And] painted under her ears and her cheeks'.

In another, strongly religious, passage, the verb arayoccurs, however, in a very different meaning. Here the
king Mobad, speaking of God, says:

p.47.40 chunan kaz rasti giti byarast

'Even as He arranged the world in accordance with righteousness'

This appears to be a close rendering of the lost Pahlavi; but the Zoroastrian sense of purposeful creation which it conveys is lost in the English rendering of giti-byarast, according to the later sense of the verb, as 'made the world

glorious'. 8 In another line of his poem, which also probably depends closely on the Pahlavi, Gurgani has Vis utter the words:

p.348.34 bedan īzad ke gītī gerd kardast

'By the God who has brought the world together'
Here gītī gerd kardast appears to have the same essential
meaning of having assembled the earth from existing matter.

There are other instances in the poem (notably with 'Mihr/mihr'), where translation according to Persian usage even more markedly obscures the Zoroastrian tenor of a passage. These will be considered in due course. But the following lines show how an indirect reference can be lost in translation. Early in the story when Vis and Rāmin talk to each other for the first time, Vis expresses her doubts about his loyalty and says:

- p.158.52 <u>begardad sal u mah u to begardī</u>

 pashīmānīt bashad zīn ke kardī
 - 53 agar payman chunin khwahadt budan che bayad in hame zari nemudan

'The year and the month will turn and you will change, [And then] you will regret what you have done.

If this is how your pact will be,

Why should you do all this lamenting?'

Then Ramin swears that his pact will never be weakened,

- p.159.74 <u>ke ta badi vazad bar kuhsaran</u>

 <u>va ya abi ravad bar juybaran</u>
 - 75 <u>namānad bā shab-i tīre syāhī</u>

napusad dar darun-i juy mahi 76a ravesh darad setare asman bar ...

'As long as the wind blows on the mountains,
Or water continues to flow in the streams,
Darkness of the night does not last,
Fish do not rot within brooks,
Stars move in the sky ...

And then it seems almost certain that in the next half-line, which concludes this passionate asseveration by so many permanent phenomena of the natural world, he must mention the sun, which is never overlooked, especially since this meeting takes place in daytime (p.156.12). So he goes on to say,

This means 'as long as Mihr has a body (i.e. the sun) for his soul'. This expression was presumably used in the Pahlavi original so that a reference could be made both to the sun and to Mithra, the yazata. For this is an oath of loyalty which Rāmīn is making, and a very important one for him, that supersedes all others. Any oath of loyalty is naturally the concern of Mihr, who shows himself as the soul (jān) of the sun. One may compare the thought in the Mihr Yasht (v.142): 'Mihr in the morning lights up his body'. 10

It is not at all likely that <u>mihr</u> here means 'love', for the idea of the pact is further emphasized in Ramin's next words:

p.159.77 <u>nagardad bar vafā rāmīn pashīmān</u>
na hargez beshkanad bā dūst paymān

'Ramin will not regret his loyalty,

Nor will he ever break his pact with his beloved. Gurgani cannot have been unaware of the religious significance of this pact; for even now, nine centuries later, there exist folk songs of love such as one that contains the following lines:

biya berim shah-i cheragh ahdi bebandim

har kudum ahd beshkanim kamar nabandim

'Let us go to 'Shah-i Cheragh' and make a pact
whichever of us breaks the pact should not wear

the belt.'

'Shah-i Cheragh', today a Muslim shrine, must have been originally a Zoroastrian one, 11 and the kamar is an obvious substitute for <u>kustī</u>, the badge of Zoroastrianism. 12 though the Zoroastrian reference is thinly veiled, the religious nature of the pact is not. Gurgani himself does not emphasize this element but it seems from his phraseology that he is aware In other cases the use of words in their MP meanings of it. carries no religious significance, but simply helps in identifying passages which seem particularly close to the Pahlavi text. One such word is faryad which occurs sometimes in its MP sense of a cry for help, sometimes in its developed P sense of any loud outcry or lamentation, as is illustrated in the following citations. When the Roman emperor has violated a peace treaty, and begun to lay waste the land, a mob breaks into the Iranian king's presence:

p.230.15 khrushan sar be sar faryad khwahan ze bidad-i zamane dad khwahan

'Shouting one and all, asking for help,

Asking for justice against the injustice of the times'
Here (and on pp. 167.86; 169.119; 107.27; 396.103; 384.18)

faryad seems to mean 'help'. In other places (pp. 225.215;

231.40; 188.11 and 499.29) the word is used in the P sense of any loud cry:

p.499.29 gurāz ashofte shud az bang u faryad be lashgargah-i shahanshah dar oftad

'The boar became confused by the noise and screaming, He fell upon the camp of the king of kings.'

p.280.116 <u>kunam az bīdelī u bakht faryād</u> magar mādar marā bī bakht u del zād

'I cry aloud for being without my heart and without fortune.

Was I born of my mother without heart and fortune?'

Although faryad in the sense of 'help' could be used without khwadan, as in the following instance:

p.420.124 <u>be atash-suz gerd ayad hame kas</u> to ham faryad-i atash-suz-i man ras

'Every one gathers around a fire [to help put it out].

You too come to the help of my fire (i.e. my burning heart)',

it is often accompanied by khwadan (call for) or khwastan (ask for), and is associated with \underline{dad} (justice) and \underline{bidad} (injustice), which bring to mind the ancient kings' hall of justice

(davargah, see Mihr below). (In P the phrase dad u bidad now has only the sense of noisy, indignant talk.)

Occasionally also a distinctive Pahlavi form survives, which likewise helps to identify passages where Gurgani is keeping close to his original. For example, dorostiha occurs once; it is a Pahlavi adverb used correctly by the poet to mean 'properly, rightly' (p.68.15); and doshkhwar 'difficult' appears once (p.223.184) instead of the standard P doshwar (pp. 115.145; 368.365). Both dorostiha and doshkhwar may be presumed to derive directly from the Pahlavi text. One also finds occasionally the Pahlavi construction of a possessive pronoun being suffixed to the first word of a clause, where in Persian it would be suffixed to the relevant noun, e.g.

p.276.49 hami danist kash ramin be bagh ast

'She realized that Ramin was in her garden' 13

Gurgani's handling of the subject-matter also suggests faithfulness to his original in all essentials, as we shall see when considering it incident by incident. His own contributions in this field appear to have consisted of expansion and elaboration, rather than in alteration or innovation and in general his presentation is straightforward, with no critical comments, even when customs are involved (notably khwedodah) which run counter to Islamic morality. Only once, it seems, does he offer any condemnation of the ancient faith, and that is when he makes Vis say, quite out of character:

p.443.529 agar sad sal gabr atash furuzad ham u ruzi bedan atash besuzad

'If an infidel (gabr) kindles fire for a hundred years Some day he will burn in that same fire (i.e. in flames of hell).'

These words are so inappropriate in their context that it seems that Gurgani (if it was really he who composed them) inserted the line at random here from fear of general censure. In the main he appears to have involved himself sympathetically with the Zoroastrian beliefs and thoughts of his characters; and it is only at the very end of the poem that he asks the reader to pray for his soul, and to entreat God on his behalf not to punish him for having composed this beautiful story (p.512. 58-60).

All this being so, it is possible to hope to gain from the Persian 'Vis u Rāmin' a very fair idea of the essence of a Parthian romance composed by Zoroastrian minstrels for the pleasure of Zoroastrian hearers; and clearly the study is one well worth pursuing in all possible detail, since so little survives of a Zoroastrian literature of entertainment from any ancient period.

The plot of the poem can be reduced to fairly simple elements:

Mobad, the high king, who lives in Marv, is promised the hand of Shahro's unborn daughter. Shahro is queen of Mah (Media). She bears a daughter, Vis, and entrusts her to the care of a

nurse (daye), who takes the girl to Khūzān and brings her back when she reaches a marriageable age. Forgetting her promise to Mōbad, Shahrō gives Vīs in marriage to her son Vīrō, Vīs' brother. Thereupon Mōbad fights and defeats Vīrō, and sends his younger brother, Rāmīn, to bring Vīs to Marv. Ramīn and Vīs fall in love. They meet secretly with the help of the nurse. Mōbad discovers their love, and punishes Vīs and the nurse. For many years the two lovers live in fear of the king, but meet secretly as often as they can. Finally Mōbad dies, and Rāmīn becomes the king in his place and lives happily with Vīs as his queen for the remainder of their days.

Since there are many incidents and elaborations in this story, but comparatively few characters, the clearest way to examine the poem in detail has seemed to be to concentrate on the main characters, and to trace the occurrence of Zoroastrian elements as these appear in connection with them. A study of these characters will accordingly provide the framework for the first part of the following analysis.

NOTES TO CHAPTER ONE

- 1. The edition of M. Minovi, Tehran 1935 has been used here. Another edition was published by M. Mahjub, Tehran 1959. There is a French translation by Henri Massé, Le Roman de Wis et Ramin, Paris 1959, and an English translation by George Morrison, New York and London 1972.
- 2. Minovi ed., p.26.29 p.27.59. For these lines see
 Minorsky, 'V u R I', 2-3, note 3.
- 3. There is an English translation of the Georgian text by Oliver Wardrop, Oriental Translation Fund, London 1914.
- 4. For a detailed consideration of the poem's origin see V. Minorsky, 'Vis u Ramin, a Parthian Romance', art. I, BSOAS XI (1946), 754-763; art. II, BSOAS XII (1947), 20-35; art. III, BSOAS XVI (1954), 91-92.
- 5. See S.H. Taqizadeh apud Minorsky, 'V u R II', BSOAS XII, 35; M. Boyce, 'On the Calendar of Zoroastrian Feasts', BSOAS XXXIII (1970), 527-528.
- 6. See M. Boyce, 'The Parthian gosan and Iranian Minstrel Tradition', JRAS (1957), 10-45.
- 7. cf. Boyce, HZ I, 131, with note 4.
- 8. Morrison, 33.
- 9. Morrison, 110: 'and body has love in its soul'.
- 10. I. Gershevitch, AHM, 145.
- 11. 'Shāh-i Cherāgh' means literally 'king of the Lamp(s)', and is a common name for a small Muslim shrine in Shiraz. This type of shrine undoubtedly continues in Zoroastrian usage. Lamps are regularly lit at Zoroastrian shrines, see Boyce, Stronghold, 278 s.v. 'lamps'.
- 12. Vīs wears kustī (p.279.92).
- 13. Or 'she knew that her Ramin was in the garden', Morrison, 190; the ambiguity is characteristic of Pahlavi.

CHAPTER TWO

Mobad

Mobad is king of kings, ruling, in theory at least, over vassal kings. His name appears three times in the poem with an attribute written in Arabic script as mnyk'n. 1 been read as 'Manikan' or 'Manekan', 'i.e. descended from Manīk (Manēk), a name not directly attested either in Persian history or in epic tradition'. This is clearly not very satisfactory; and a more convincing interpretation would seem to be that mnyk'n represents a misreading of a Pahlavi *mrwyk'n (אונפיץ), with the ambiguous signs) being taken for n instead of rw. (Thus the name of the first great Sasanian high priest appears in Arabic script as tnsr, but to judge from a name in the inscription of Shabuhr I on the Ka'ba-yi Zardusht it was written in Pahlavi as twsr, representing Tøsar, not Tansar, tnsr being a misreading.) A Pahlavi word mrwyk'n would have the meaning 'of Marv'; and Mobad does indeed reside in Marv, his capital city. 3 Against this explanation it can be said that in both the occurrences of 'Marv' in the surviving Pahlavi texts the place name is written with \ not $begin{aligned} \begin{subarray}{c} \begin{s$ which are written according to scribal choice with either form of the letter 'r' (e.g. the common ideogram for gow-'say'). Possibly, moreover, by the time the MP poem came to be written down, the meaning of *Marvikan had been forgotten, and the scribe felt free to write the word as he pleased.

ō

It is an interesting point that in 'Vis u Ramin' the epithet follows the king's proper name without linking <u>izafe</u>, this being Parthian, not Persian usage.

The king's proper name has also caused perplexity, however, since 'Mobad' is the Sasanian title of a Zoroastrian high priest (OP *magupati, Parthian(mogbed), and is not attested elsewhere as a personal name. One might suppose that it came about as a by-name for a very pious king (thus the devout Parthian king, Tiridates I of Armenia, is called a 'magus' in one classical source⁵); but in fact the picture of King Mobad which is given in the poem is not one of a very estimable or devout man. On the contrary, his own vassals and even soldiers appear to regard him with contempt. Thus when Viro is engaged in battle with him over Vis, a battle in which Viro's father Qarin has been slain, Viro says: p.63.68 hanuz in paykar-i varon be payast

- hanuz in paykar-i varon be payast
 hanuz in mobad-i jadu be jayast
 - 70 <u>ke man zang az gohar khwaham zududan</u>
 be kine ristkhez u ra nemudan
 - 71 jihan ra az badash azad kardan
 ravan-i Qarin az vay shad kardan
 'Still this unrighteous figure is standing,
 Still this sorcerer Mobad lives.

For I wish to remove the stain from the jewel In revenge to show him the resurrection,

Free the world from his evil

And satisfy Qarin's soul concerning him.'

Paykar means 'figure' (in this case embodiment), 'body',
'statue'. This meaning may be extended to include a design
on cloth, and so a flag, but unless we can be certain that
Viro, over heaps of dead bodies, is pointing at Mobad's flag,
we must assume that he is referring to Mobad himself, who is
the cause of the battle. For parallel usages cf. Firdosi:

pas an paykar-i rustam-i shir khwar be burdand nazdik-i sam-i savar

'Then they carried the 'person' of suckling Rustam To the presence of Sam the cavalier.

and again:

yekī tīz khanjar bezad bar sarash be khāk andar āmad sar u paykarash

'He struck him on the head with a sharp dagger, His head and body fell unto the dust.'

Gurgani himself says in another passage:

p.41.34 <u>chu madar did ruy-i dukhtarash-ra</u> sahi bala vu niku paykarash-ra ...

'When the mother saw the face of her daughter Her cypress stature and beautiful body ...'

gohar (jewel, pearl) is something pure, as in the following simile:

p.32.28 chu gohar pak u bī āhū u dar-khor

'Like a jewel pure, without fault and deserving.' Asadi says:

be khubî parî u be pakî gohar

'In beauty a 'pari' and in purity a jewel.'

Hence the resurrection (ristkhez) that Viro intends to bring about will destroy Mobad as an impurity, a sinner. This is the original Zoroastrian doctrine, which still prevailed, it seems, in Parthian times. The adjective which qualifies paykar, i.e. varon, derives from the frequently occurring Pahlavi abaron 'unrighteous, wicked'. In a parallel usage Vis, reproaching Ramin for having violated his oath of loyalty to her, says:

p,453.33 <u>chera karkī chunīn varone kirdar</u>

<u>ke nangast ar be guyandash be goftar</u>

'Why did you commit so wicked a deed

That it is shameful to speak of it in words?'

It is perhaps only natural that Vīrō, fighting Mobad to defend his own bride, should thus accuse the king of being wicked; but to call him 'sorcerer' seems less easily explicable. However, we find other vassal-kings of Mobad also treating him with disrespect. Thus, although they all gather duly at his No Rūz feast, they did not, we are told, fully acknowledge his authority in their own provinces, as his brother Zard learns when he journeys to Māh. He reports to Mobad:

p.54.44 to-ra naz shahryaran mishomarand
gruhi khwad be mardat mi nadanand
'They do not count you among kings,
Some do not even regard you as "mard".'

This last word, literally 'man', has connotations of possessing courage and liberality, qualities to be expected of a king, a member of the 'warrior' class; and this particular insult suggests the possibility that 'Mobad' was a by-name given to a king who was not thought fit for the position he held.

This interpretation seems to be supported by Zard's further words to him:

p.54.45 gruhi mobadat khwanand u dastur chu khwanandat gruhi mobad-i zur

'There are some who call you "mobad" and "dastur", As there are some who call you the "false mobad".' 'Dastur' is another title given to a Zoroastrian priest with authority; and the word zur 'false', common in Pahlavi texts, can be taken to imply the opposite of what is in accord with right 'Mobad-i zur' might also imply that he acquired order, asha. power by force, unjustly. This would be a NP interpretation of the term. Further, a high priest might be regarded by the laity as possessing mysterious powers, and so there may conceivably be a link between 'false high priest' and Viro's 'sorcerer'. The Persian word for 'sorcerer', jadu, derives from OIr yatu, meaning originally 'demon', and has kept overtones of evil. In the poem it is often used in connection with the characteristic Zoroastrian terms of ahriman and div.

The lines just quoted above are likely to derive directly from the Pahlavi original, because they are vital to the story, since they spark off the battle between the armies of Mobad and Viro. In this battle, as we have seen, Qarin,

father of Viro and Vis, is killed; and although Mobad succeeds in securing Vis as his bride, she refuses to let him approach her for a whole year, because she is mourning her father; and she persuades her nurse to make a talisman to keep him from her, since she cannot evidently trust to his finer feelings.

Mobad is thus generally represented in a very poor light. Strict consistency in the portrayal of actions and character is perhaps hardly to be expected, however, in a minstrel poem of such long transmission; and there must have been a tendency at work to blacken Mobad, as the rival in love of the hero Rāmīn. We should not therefore be too surprised by the fact that the poem proper begins with Mobad presiding over a great spring feast, as a high king should, magnificently liberal and splendid, bestowing lavish gifts on the glittering court which has gathered round him from all over his realms to help celebrate it. One explanation for this initial royal dignity might be that then Mobad still had his kingly glory (farr), which he lost through making the pact with Shahro; but this does not explain why he is called a sorcerer (jadu). different explanation, also dependent on this improper act, might be that this pact recalled other stories, in which a wizard appears to a man who cannot find a desired gift for his small daughter, or to a woman who cannot bear a child, solves the problem by sorcery, and in return extracts a promise, seemingly unlikely at the time to be fulfilled, that he will be given the girl in question when she is of age

(Shahrō has no daughter when she makes the pact). The promise is always forgotten and inevitably the sorcerer appears at the door of the girl at the most critical moment of her life. It is likely that Mōbad's part in the romance invites confusion with the sorcerers of other tales. The fact that he is himself a hapless victim of the nurse's witch-craft tends to show that calling him a sorcerer is only an elaboration of his bad reputation; no specific act of sorcery is ascribed to him. Yet if the pact with Shahrō was held to contain an element of black magic, this would justify the sympathetic treatment of Vīs and Rāmīn in the story.

No Ruz and Mihragan

The passage concerning Mobad's spring feast is likely to derive directly from the Parthian minstrel poem. 10 This passage embodies a delightful description of No Ruz celebrated as a Zoroastrian feast by the king and his people:

- p.28.4 che khorram jashn bud andar baharan
 be jashn andar sarasar namdaran
- p.29.6 guzīde har che dar īran buzurgān
 az āzarbāygān u ray u gurgān
 - 7 <u>hamīdun az khorāsān u kuhistān</u>
 ze shīrāz u sifāhān u dihistān
- p.30.24 <u>agar che būd bazm-i shāh khorram</u>
 digar bazman nabūd az bazm-i u kam
 - 25 <u>kujā dar bāgh u rāgh az nāmdārān</u>
 ze jām-i may hamī bārīd bārān

- p.30.26 <u>hame kas rafte az khāne be sahrā</u> burun burde hame saz-i tamāshā
 - 27 <u>ze har bāghī u har rāghī u rūdī</u>
 be gūsh āmad digar-gūne surūdī
 - 28 zamīn az bas gul u sabze chunān būd ke goftī pur-setāre āsmān būd
 - 29 <u>ze lāle har kasī rā bar sar afsar</u>
 ze bāde har yekī rā bar kaf akhgar
 - 30 guruhi dar nishat u asb tazi guruhi dar samā'u pay bazi
 - 31 guruhi may khwaran dar bustani guruhi gul-chenan dar gulsetani
 - 32 guruhi dar kenar-i rud-bari guruhi dar miyan-i lalezari
 - bedan-ja rafte har yek khorrami ra

 chu diba karde kimokht-i zami ra

 'What a delightful feast it was in spring-time

 In the feast all the men of great name

 All the great men of Iran [were taking part]

 From Azarbayjan, Ray and Gurgan

 Likewise from Khorasan and Kuhistan

 And from Shiraz and Isfahan and Dihistan.

 Although the king's feast was delightful,

Other feasts were no less than his.

For in gardens and meadows great men

Showered wine cups scattered as thick as raindrops

Everyone had gone out from his house to the fields,

Taken out with him his accoutrement for enjoyment

From every garden and meadow and river-bank

One would hear a different kind of song,

The earth was so full of flowers and green,

That one would think it was the star-filled sky.

Everyone had a crown of tulips on his head,

Everyone had the glowing ember of wine in his hand.

Some enjoyed galloping horses,

Some listening to music and dancing,

Some drank wine in an orchard,

Some picking roses in a garden,

Some sat on the banks of a stream,

Some in the midst of tulips.

Everyone had gone there for enjoyment,

And had made the surface of the earth like brocade.'

Gurgani does not actually call the feast 'No Ruz' but simply a 'spring feast'; there is scholarly debate about whether in Parthian times No Ruz was in fact celebrated in spring or autumn. Elsewhere in the poem (p.44.24) there is a clear allusion to the late Sasanian No Ruz in Adar Mah, but this clearly belongs to the MP version.

Though Mihragān is not explicitly mentioned in the main part of the poem, Vis sees Rāmin at a feast which was evidently Mihragān, although in the text as we have it, it is Mihragān as a Sasanian feast, that is, a six-day festival, with 'Greater Mihragan' celebrated on the 6th day of the festival,

the day 'Ram'. 11 This feast too is described gloriously:

p.148.1 chu rūz-i ram shāhanshāh-i kishvar

be may benshast bā gurdān-i lasgar

2 sarāyash pur setare gasht u pur māh ze bas khuban u sālārān-i dargāh

'When on the day Ram the king of kings of the land
Sat down to drink wine with the generals of his army,
His palace became full of stars and full of moons
There were so many illustrious men and champions in
his court.'

Rāmīn enters Mobad's camp to join the celebrations on the day
Rām (which Gurgani identifies with a Muslim touch, as a
Saturday):

- p.502.22 <u>be shadi ruz-i ram u ruz-i shanbad</u>

 <u>frud amad be lashqar gah-i mobad</u>
 - 25 <u>chu abrī būd dastash nobahārī</u> hamī bārīd durr-i shāhvārī

'In joy on the day Ram and the day Shanbad
He arrived at the military camp of Mobad
His hand was like a spring cloud
Which rained regal jewels.'

Thus we have two important Zoroastrian festivals figuring largely in the poem. Both are great occasions for joy. The descriptions given in the poem agree with what we know from Sasanian sources that all great men of the realm would attend such feasts. The two feasts are both important to the story, and figure largely and naturally in Zoroastrian life at all epochs.

NOTES TO CHAPTER TWO

- 1. pp.33.1, 34.31, 58.3.
- 2. Minorsky, 'V u R I', 754.
- 3. See ibid.
- 4. Ayadgar i Zareran. Pahlavi Texts I, 3: \ 6
 Shahrestaniha i Eran, Pahlavi Texts I, 19: \ 6
- 5. See apud Debevoise, A Political History of Parthia, 192.
- 6. See the text, p.496.11-12, and further below, p.33.
- 7. Hence Morrison, p.44: 'But yonder sinister flag still stands; still the sorcerer Moubad is in his place. For I shall wipe the stain from our escutcheon, and by vengeance make Qarin live again. I shall free the world from Moubad's affliction and gratify the soul of Qarin at his expense'.
- 8. See Boyce, HZ I, 242-3.
- 9. See Boyce, HZ I, 85.
- 10. Morrison, 19, note 3.
- 11. See Minorsky, 'V u R I', 747, note 2.

CHAPTER THREE

Shahro

Years later Shahrō bears a daughter, Vis, who grows up to be very beautiful. By this time Shahrō has quite forgotten the pact with Mōbad; and she decides that not only is there no match worthy of Vis in all Iran except her brother Virō, but that bringing about a marriage between the nobly matched pair would be a good deed on her part and as such would bring her fortune. With this brother-and-sister marriage we encounter a characteristic Zoroastrian motif which is intrinsic to the plot.

Next-of-kin marriage, an established Zoroastrian practice, is attested for the Parthian period by the Avroman parchments, which were found in 1909 in a sealed jar near Avroman in Kurdistan. Two of these parchments are in Greek and one in In the two Greek documents the dating formulas Parthian. contain the throne name (Arsaces) of the reigning Parthian King of Kings and the names of his chief wives. In the older one these run: 'In the reign of the King of Kings Arsaces ... and the queens Siace, his compaternal sister and wife, and Aryazate surnamed Automa, daughter of the great king Tigranes and his wife, and of Azate, his compaternal sister and wife'. Other brother-and-sister marriages are recorded among the vassals of the Arsacids, and their Zoroastrian neighbours. Gurgani accepts this custom as a fact and does not make any

comment on it.

Shahro considers this marriage auspicious, and says to Vis:

p.43.6 to u-ra joft bash u dide befruz

vazin payvand farrokh kun mara ruz

'Be his wife and rejoice in this union (lit. light up your eyes)

And by this union make my days glorious.'

There is no doubt that Vis and Viro were born of the same mother, as is clearly understood throughout the story and indeed is stated by Vis in these exact words (p.71.42). But it appears that they are not the children of the same father. For although Shahro's genealogy is impeccable, and Vis is often complimented on hers, Viro does not share these compliments. Mobad, suspecting that Viro is responsible for Vis' stay in Mah, writes an angry letter to him in which he says:

p.186.61 to az gohar hamī manī be astar

ke chun pursand fakhr arad be madar

'In lineage you are like a mule

Who, when asked, would boast about her mother.'

Viro does not dispute the substance of this insult, but replies:

p.191.34 <u>digar ta'ne zadī bar gohar-i man</u> ke behtar būd ze bābam mādar-i man

35 gohar mardan ze nam-i khwish girand chu mardi u khrad dar pish girand

'Another [point] is that you ridiculed my lineage, Saying that my mother was greater than my father.

Men gain renown through their own name,

If they live with valour and wisdom.'

This last line is an allusion to Mobad's own poor reputation.

Other evidence is provided by Mobad telling Vis that Shahro
has had some thirty-odd children, no two of them from the
same husband (p.173.46), that every one of them were conceived
in impropriety (p.173.48), and that only Vis was descended
from Jamshid (Yima).

Since Vis is Shahro's last child and Qarin is her husband when he dies in the battle with Mobad, and Vis intends to mourn for him for a year, it seems safe to deduce that he is Vis' father and not Viro's. This particular khwedodah marriage is thus one between a half-brother and sister. It would, one would think, have been an easy matter for Gurgani to alter this close relationship, of a type disapproved of under Islam, to a marriage between first cousins, which is wholly acceptable to Muslims. The fact that he did not do so seems part of his general faithfulness to the Pahlavi story in its essentials.

Shahrō's court astronomers choose the day 'Dai' of the month Āzar for the wedding, that is, a day during the season of Nō Rūz, held by Zoroastrians to be a time auspicious for marriages. (Either Dai-pad-Azar, the eighth day of the month, or Dai-pad-Mihr, the fourteenth, would have fallen within the twenty one-day period of the festival.) On the chosen day, 'six hours after daybreak', i.e. at noon,

Shahro, holding the hands of Vis and Viro, comes out into the portico of the palace and, it seems, herself conducts their marriage ceremony:

- p.44.27 <u>basī kard āfarīn bar pāk dādār</u>
 pas āngah dīv-rā nefrīn-i besyār
 - 28 sorushan-ra be nam-i nik bestud
 nyayeshha-yi bi andaze benmud

'She uttered many blessings of the Holy Creator,

And then many imprecations on the Div.

She praised the divine beings (sorushan) by their auspicious names,

And uttered many long prayers.'

To bless Ohrmazd and to curse Ahriman is characteristic of the daily Zoroastrian 'kusti' prayers, which necessarily precede every religious ceremony; and these lines are probably taken almost verbatim from the original Pahlavi text, as a condensed account of the ceremony of marriage (although one may be reasonably certain that there priests would have appeared to conduct it).

The strikingly untraditional feature of these lines, is the term used for the Zoroastrian divinities, namely 'sorūshān', i.e. the plural of the proper name of the yazata Srōsh or Sraosha. He was the only Zoroastrian divinity whose name remained in Muslim Persian literature as that of an angel or messenger of God; and accordingly Gurgani appears to have used it in the plural to render either the Pahlavi plural yazdán (this being used in Persian as a singular for

God Himself), or the unfamiliar Amahraspandan. The same usage appears again in the poem in the imagery of a battle scene, when the clamour evokes the following simile:

p.58.22 <u>va ya divan be gardun bar davidand</u> ke avaz-i sorushan mishenidand

'Or as if <u>divs</u> (demons) were running away up to the sky For they could hear the voices of the "sorushes".'

Sorūsh in 'Vīs u Rāmīn

Although in both the above passages 'sorūsh' is used in the plural as a common noun, in others where the word appears in the singular it is clear that Gurgani's 'sorūsh' represents a proper name in the Pahlavi original, that of the great yazata himself. Thus in this wedding ceremony Shahrō, having prayed, says to Vis and Virō:

p.44.32 <u>gavatan bas buvad dadar-i davar</u> sorush u mah u mihr u charkh u akhtar

'For witnesses it is enough that you have the judging Creator,

Sorūsh and Mīhr, and the moon, firmament and stars.'

Here it seems likely that in the Pahlavi text the divine

witnesses invoked for the covenant of marriage were in fact

simply Mihr the Judge and his constant companion Srosh.

Thus in one of the Persian <u>rivāyats</u> sent from Iran to the

Parsis, the statement occurs: 'If there is a religious affair

and if any deficiency arises in it, then there is a disgrace

before Mihr the Judge (Dāvar-i Mihr) and Srosh'. Gurgani

most probably understood Pahlavi dādbar 'judge' as referring

to God Himself, and so supplied the word dadar 'Creator'; and then, since in Persian the common noun mihr has for one of its meanings 'sun', he sets the moon before Mihr, and passes on to mention the firmament and stars, thus himself obscuring the characteristically Zoroastrian nature of the passage. This obscuring is increased in the English translation, where 'sorush' is rendered as if here too it were a plural: 'The just Creator is enough as your witness - the angels, moon, and sun, heaven and stars'. 5

Another passage in which the yazata Srosh clearly appears occurs much later in the poem, at a point where Mobad is shown pondering whether to lead his troops against Ramin:

- p.496.11 gahī gofti ke gar ba vay bekusham nadānam chun dahad yarī sorusham
 - 12 sipāh-i man hame bā man be kīnand be shāhī pāk rāmīn rā guzīnand

'Sometimes he would say: "If I fight with him,
I do not know how much support Sorush would give me".
My warriors are all angry with me

They would all choose Ramin as their king.'

Here in the English translation 'sorush' is rendered as 'guardian angel', ⁶ and the king's reflections lose much of their force. In order to be victorious over Ramin, Mobad needs to have the support of the yazata Srosh, both as the close associate of Mihr, who aids just fighters, and in his own capacity as the master of righteousness (ashahe ratu) and of the righteous man. This support Mobad cannot be sure

u/

of having, for it is his own intemperate behaviour and arrogance which have alienated his warriors, and which, he evidently fears, will lead Srosh to abandon him.

The yazata appears also in an episode between Mobad and Vis. The king has found Vis at night in the garden of a castle, after Ramin, who had been with her, had fled at his coming, leaping over the wall. The king naturally demands an explanation of her presence there, and she says that she had been lonely and unhappy in the castle and had cried to God and complained of Mobad's cruel and unjust treatment of her; and that in that sorrow she had fallen asleep:

p.291.159 be khwab andar faraz amad sorushi

javanī khubruyī sabz pushī

'In my sleep a sorush appeared to me,

A good-looking youth clad in green.'

Here again Gurgani himself obscures the meaning of the Pahlavi original by speaking of 'a' sorūsh; but clearly in the Zoroastrian version it would have been Srōsh himself, lord of this world and the link between God and man, who, Vīs says, appeared to her. In her dream, she declares, he came to her to redress injustice. He brought her out of the castle, placed her on a bed of flowers, and set her lover Rāmīn beside her. The fact that she describes him as 'good-looking' (khūb-rūy) is in itself significant, for this appears to represent a Pahlavi rendering of Sræsha's standing Avestan epithet huraoda- 'of fair form', with which the yazata is

invoked at the beginning of every <u>karda</u> of his <u>yasht</u> (y.57): <u>sraosham ashīm huraodam ... yazamaide</u>. It is not surprising that Sraosha should be 'of fair form', since he is the embodiment of the sacred word, <u>tanumanthra-</u>; and elsewhere we find that Gurgani, depending no doubt on his Pahlavi original, uses his name as a metaphor for beauty, when he says of Gul:

p.320.83 javabash dad khurshid-i sukhan-guy sorush-i delkash an hur-i pari ruy

'In reply said that speaking sun,

That delightful Sorush, that Pari-faced angel,' Both here and in the incident in the castle-garden the English rendering has for 'sorush' simply was 'angel'. latter incident Mobad, a Zoroastrian living in the days of simple faith, accepts Vis' story; and indeed it seems that she, awakened from sleep by his coming, may have half-believed it herself; for if she had simply invented a tale to escape his anger, there was no need to mention Ramin at all, since he had already escaped unobserved. Dreams play a powerful and vivid role in Zoroastrian tradition, as a means by which the divine beings communicate with men; and Vis may actually have had a dream of Srosh, and attributed to his agency her joyful reunion with Ramin. True she had come down into the garden by her own physical efforts, knowing Ramin to be awaiting her there; but then she had searched long and anxiously for him in the dark, and it was not until the second part of the night - which is under the guardianship

of Srosh - that the lovers at last met:

p.281.137 chu yek nime sepah-i shab dar amad

mah-i tabande az khavar bar amad

140 padid amad mar ura yar-i khofte myan-i gel besan-i gul shekofte

'When one half of the army of night had come out, The shining moon rose from the east,

. . . .

Her lover appeared to her, sleeping

In the middle of mud blooming like a flower.'

The story thus suggests how natural Zoroastrians felt the intervention of individual yazatas in their lives to be, as an answer to prayers for help. Similar beliefs about the intervention of Srosh in particular have been recorded among Zoroastrian villagers of Yazd in recent times. 10 These villagers moreover still often see yazatas in dreams, always as dressed in green (like Srosh in Vis' story) or in white. 11 This small point is an interesting illustration of the continuity of Zoroastrian tradition, in minor matters as well as in great.

The yazata Srosh also appears twice in the poem in words spoken by the nurse to Vis. At one point in the story the former says to the princess:

p.137.59 sorushat sal u mah andar kenar ast
be goftarat hamishe gushdar ast

p.137.60 sorush u bakht-ra chandin mayazar be goftari ke bashad na sezavar

'Sorush is ever at your side,

Always listening to your words.

Do not offend Sorush and Fortune so much

By talk which is unfitting.'

The unfitting talk of which Vis is guilty is lamenting and complaining against her fate - acts unworthy of a Zoroastrian, who, according to the moral teachings of the faith, thus encourages the demons despair and ingratitude. particular interest is that the nurse in her rebuke links Srosh with Bakht, Fortune; for it seems likely that Bakht here represents the Zoroastrian divinity Ashi, yazata of fortune, with whom Sraosha is regularly linked in the Avesta. 12 In the English translation Sorush is rendered here as 'your angel', 13 and explained in a footnote, unconvincingly, as 'the daena, a heavenly counterpart to every mortal'. only is there no verbal justification for understanding Sorush as den, but the whole context is against this interpretation. The individual's den is passive, made beautiful or ugly by his deeds, but not capable itself of being offended by them, whereas the approval of mighty Srosh is a weighty matter for every individual.

In the other passage where the nurse refers to Srosh, she has just found an arrow shot by Rāmīn into the fortress called Ashkaft-i dīvan, literally 'Cleft of the demons', where Vīs is imprisoned. It brings a message of hope, and

causes her to exclaim:

p.244.37 sorush amad su-yi ashkaft-i divan azu roshan shud in tarik ayvan

'Srosh has come towards the Cleft of the divs
And brought light to this dark castle.'

That the fortress of the 'divs' should be dark is understandable; and it is Srōsh, the <u>yazata</u> who presides over Ushahīn Gāh, who ushers in the first light of day. The metaphor is thus wholly Zoroastrian. It loses its force, however, in the English translation: 'An angel has visited Ishkaft $\hat{\boldsymbol{t}}$ Dīvān; this dark hall has become bright from its radiance!' 14

There are other passages in classical Persian literature where Srosh appears still with clear elements of his Zoroastrian concept, notably in the Shāhnāme, where he appears as a messenger of God to Kaykhosrow and to Khosrow Parvīz. 15

Hafiz also speaks of him appearing in this capacity, 16

and he comes thus to Zulaykhā in Jāmī's Yūsef u Zulaykhā. 17

There is also a striking little incident in a story in Sa'di's Būstān. Here Khosrow Anoshīravān detects a man in his hunting ground who he thinks is an intruder. He prepares his bow and arrow to shoot him when the innocent man cries out to him that he is one of his own grooms, and that he knows every one of the king's horses by sight, and the king should not be less wise than one of his own servants. Anoshīravān, smiling with relief, replies:

to-ra yavari kard farrokh sorush vagar na zeh avarde budam be gush 18 'The glorious Sorūsh came to your help
Otherwise I had [already] brought the bow to my ear.'
Here clearly a Zoroastrian ruler is represented as speaking
of the yazad Srosh, 'who protects God's creatures from all
evil'. This, and the references to Srosh in Muslim Persian
literature, appear, however, sporadically and in isolation,
whereas in Vis u Ramin such references are relatively numerous,
and, when properly understood, contribute to establishing
the Zoroastrian character of the original work.

Consideration of the appearances of Srosh in the poem has led us far from the wedding of Vis and Viro, when Shahro called upon 'Sorush and Mihr' as divine witnesses. noonday wedding ceremony was followed, as was fitting, by a splendid feast; but this suffered an ominous interruption. Mobad has heard that Vis is grown up, and of marriageable age; and he has sent his brother Zard with all speed to demand from Shahro the fulfilment of their pact, long forgotten by her. At his orders, Zard actually rides in his haste into the queen's presence and delivers a letter to her from Mobad without dismounting, and this, in more ways than one, makes him appear very ominous. His clothes are kabud, that is, dark bluish-grey, his horse is black, and man and horse make a solid mass of threateningly dark colour, appearing at the wedding feast as a grim premonition of things to come. if this were not enough, he proclaims to Vis that his name

is Zard, i.e. yellow, an inauspicious colour for Zoroastrians. The nurse has earlier told her mother what Vis thinks of the various colours, saying ke zard ast in siza-yi nabekaran, 'This is yellow, fit for people with no integrity', 20 and the princess now mocks the messenger for his inauspicious name. (Still today the Zoroastrians of Iran regard yellow as an unlucky colour, and avoid wearing it.) 21

Rāstī

The letter which Zard brings to Shahro introduces the characteristic Zoroastrian themes of righteousness (\underline{rasti}) and justice (\underline{dad}) which are to run strongly through the whole poem.

Gurgani says of Mobad's letter that:

p.47.38 sar-i name be nam-i dadgar bud

khuda-i ku hamishe dad farmud

'The letter began in the name of the Just One,

The God who ever ordered justice.'

The letter is then continued with the following lines:

- p.47.39 <u>do gītī rā nihād az rāstī kard</u>
 be yek mūy andar ān kažži nayāvard
 - do chunan kaz rastī gītī byarast ze mardom nīz dad u rastī khwast

'He founded both worlds on righteousness

He did not bring in one hair ['s breadth] of

distortion (injustice)

Just as he arranged the world through righteousness Of men too he required justice and righteousness.' These lines give an account of creation so strongly Zoroastrian that they appear to have been translated directly from Gurgani's original source. All good things were created by Ahura Mazdā and nothing which has the slightest sign of 'crookedness' (kažži) may be connected with Him. This good creation is called the 'world of righteousness' ashahya gaēthá- by Zoroaster himself, 22 (gaēthá-, P gītī, 'world'; rāstī is the Persian equivalent of asha). The characteristic Old Iranian concept of the act of bringing the world into being as one of 'arranging' it, i.e. bringing pre-existing matter into order, has already been discussed. 23

The next two lines of the letter are also distinctly Zoroastrian and in fact suggest an echo of the 'Asham Vohu' prayer with their repetitive praise of righteousness:

- p.47.41 <u>kasī kaz rāstī jūyad fozūnī</u> kunad pīrūzī ūrā rahnemūnī
 - 42 <u>be gītī kīmyā juz rāstī nīst</u> ke izz-i rāstī-rā kāstī nīst
 - 43 <u>man az to rasti khwaham ke juyi</u> hamishe rasti varzi u guyi

'One who seeks (contemplates) increase in righteousness Victory will show him the way.

In the world there is no elixir (philosopher's stone) other than righteousness,

For the glory of righteousness has no decrease.

I ask you to seek (contemplate) righteousness

Always to act and speak righteously.'

ā/

In this last line Mobad is evidently reminding Shahro of the threefold Zoroastrian ethic of good thoughts, good words and good deeds; for it seems that for Gurgani Persian justan can shade into meaning 'to think, to suppose, to contemplate', as is illustrated by the following verse from a later passage in the poem:

p.193.9 madan duzakh bedan garmi ke guyand

na ahriman bedan zeshti ke juyand

'Do not assume hell to be as hot as they say,

Nor Ahriman as ugly as they contemplate (i.e. imagine him to be).'

When later in the story Mobad discovers that Vis, by then his wife, and Ramin, his brother, are lovers, he reproaches Vis harshly in the following terms:

- p.288.98 <u>agar dar pīsh-i tō sūrat shavad dād</u>
 bekhwānad jānat az dīdansh faryād
 - 99 <u>sar-i nīkī agar bīnī beburrī</u> del-i pākī agar yābī bedarrī
 - 100 <u>hamīshe rāstī-rā dushmanī tō</u>

 do cheshmash gar bebīnī bar kanī tō

'If justice should take form before your eyes,
Your soul would cry out for help at sight of it.
If you could see goodness, you would behead it.
If you saw purity, you would tear its heart out.
You are forever the enemy of righteousness.

If you saw it, you would gouge its eyes out.'

These are Ahrimanic qualities which Mobad has ascribed to Vis,

and he is well aware of this, for he sums up by saying: p.288.101 to yek divi valikan ashkari

to yek ghuli valikan chun negari

'You are a demon, except that you are visible,

You are a monster, except that you look like a picture. Evil powers in Zoroastrianism are not held to have material form of their own, although demons sometimes assume the form of beautiful maidens in order to deceive men. Mobad thus accuses Vis of being at heart a demon through her lack of righteousness and justice - rasti and dad.

Later in the poem, Vis, bitterly disappointed by Rāmin's disloyalty to her with Gul, says in her despair:
p.365.320 delī mesl-i delat khwāham ze yazdān

syah u sarkash u bad-mihr u nadan

'I ask God for a heart like yours,

Black, rebellious, unfaithful and ignorant.'
With these bitter words, which add up to nothing less than a
Zoroastrian concept of a demon, Vis in her despair commits
the sin of calling a creature of God Ahrimanic, clearly the
worst abuse one can utter. And her reproaches parallel those
used against her by Mobad:

Enemy of justice (dad) - bad-mihr 'unfaithful'²⁴

Enemy of purity (paki) - syah 'black'

Enemy of righteousness (rasti) - sarkash 'rebellious'

(i.e. not guided by Sraosha, Obedience, the lord of righteousness)

Enemy of goodness (\underline{niki}) - \underline{nadan} 'ignorant', unenlightened (the quality of an irreligious heart).

Vis' reason for wishing for such a heart is this:

p.365.321 khudavand-i chunin del raste bashad jihan az dast-i in del khaste bashad

'The master of such a heart must be free of all care

The world will be wounded (inflicted) through such a

heart.'

In her grief she wishes that she herself were wholly wicked, so that she could inflict pain without caring as Rāmīn does. Even though the sentiment is impious, the vocabulary and the concepts behind it are Zoroastrian; and the deeper implication is clear throughout, that the better path is to hold to righteousness and justice, so that none need suffer.

Shahro, thus reminded of the pact made so long before, on a festive occasion, is stricken with remorse when she reads Mobad's letter and realises what she has done. Her one real fault had been in the beginning, in rashly pledging the hand of an unborn daughter, and for this, Vis, happy in her love for Viro, now bitterly reproaches her. Vis also takes upon herself to dismiss Zard, who rides swiftly and grimly away.

NOTES TO CHAPTER THREE

- 1. E.H. Minns, 'Parchments from the Parthian Period from Avroman', <u>Journal of Hellenistic Studies</u>, XXXV (1915), 31.
- 2. cf. Boyce, Stronghold, 172.
- 3. On the length of the Zoroastrian festival see ibid., 176, 235.
- 4. Unvala, Rivayat, II, 458; Dhabhar, Rivayat, 617.
- 5. Morrison, 31.
- 6. Ibid., 341.
- 7. Morrison, 201, 222.
- 8. See p.287.80.
- 9. See p.290.134-6.
- 10. See Boyce, Stronghold, 86-7.
- 11. For such green-clad beings, see ibid., 60, 71, 268.
- 12. E.g., Y.57.3; Yt.11.8.
- 13. Morrison, 95.
- 14. Morrison, 167.
- 15. Shahname, III, 119; V, 162.
- 16. See A.J. Arberry, 'Fifty Poems of Hafiz', 43.3.
- 17. Jami, Yusef u Zulaykha, 67.
- 18. Sa'di, Bustan, in Kulliyat, 243.
- Unvala, Rivayat, II, 182; Dhabhar, Rivayat, 167.
- 20. p.40.14.
- 21. See Boyce, Stronghold, 36.
- 22. Y.31.1.

- 23. See above, pp.7-8.
- 24. Morrison, 252, 'false in love'. On bad-mihr see further below, pp.80-81 under 'Mihr'.

al

CHAPTER FOUR

Vīs

Vis is represented to us as a true romantic heroine, exquisitely beautiful, and born under a fortuntate star. Her lineage 'goes back to Adam', and she has been brought up with all the luxury and indulgence to be expected for a royal princess. Yet her education has clearly included a good religious training, and she speaks often and respectfully of din and danish ('religion' and 'learning'). She has also, it is said, acquired some knowledge of reading and writing, for ke khwad danist kam maye dabiri 'she herself had a little knowledge of scribemanship' (p.333.76).

Reading, writing and composing were likely accomplishments for a Sasanian princess, and possibly - though not probably - already for a Parthian one. When she intends to send a letter back to Rāmīn, however, she calls in Mushgīn, the scribe. p.346.112 qalam bar gīr mushgīnā be mushgāb

yekī name nevīs az man be gūrab

'Pick up your pen, Mushgin, and the musk-water,
And write a letter from me to Gurab.'

She is eloquent. In her soliloquies she reveals that she is not only skilled in the art of singing, but can compose songs herself, and she is aware that her own love and fate will be the subject of others' songs:

- p.337.143 <u>ala ay ashiqan-i mihr -parvar</u>

 <u>manam bar ashiqan imruz mehtar</u>
 - 145 marā bīnīd hāl-i man nyūshīd

 digar dar ishq varzīdan makūshīd

 'O you lovers who nurture affection

 Today I am the leader of all lovers.'

'Look at me and listen to my story,
And never again strive for love.'

She has, however, although high-spirited, been brought up to show due modesty and self-restraint; and at the beginning of her story, when her mother first speaks to her of marriage to Viro, she blushes without answering, and her mother rightly takes her silence for bashful assent. Later, when the nurse is trying to kindle love in her heart for Rāmin, she protests: p.129.101 marā shūkhī u bī-sharmī mayāmuz

ke bi-sharmi zanan ra bad kunad ruz

'Do not teach me immodesty and shamelessness, For shamelessness brings misfortune on women.'

Yet Vis is doomed to misfortune by her mother's one rash act before she was born, for this had made her, unwittingly, party to a breach of faith; and ill luck begins to strike her the very day on which she dismissed Zard, on the grounds, as she says later to Mobad, that she herself must keep the faith she has now plighted to Viro:

- p.69.14 mara viro khudavand ast u shah ast
 be bala sarv u az didar mah ast
 - 15 <u>mara u mehtar u farrokh baradar</u> man ura niz joft u nik khwahar
- p.70.26 <u>vagar bā ū khwaram dar mihr zenhār</u>

 che uzr āram bedān sar pīshi-i dādār
 - 27 man az dadar tarsam ba javanī

 na tarsī to ke pīr-i na-tavanī

 'Vīro is my master and my king,

 In stature he is a cypress and in looks, a moon.

 He is my lord and my glorious brother,

 I am his wife and good sister.

'And if I break my oath of loyalty to him,
What excuse will I give the Creator at the latter end?
I fear the Creator, though I am young,

Do you not fear Him, you who are old and weak?'
Yet this marriage, which she has entered into happily, unaware of any complications, is doomed not to be consummated; because on the evening of her wedding day her monthly period comes upon her, and so, by Zoroastrian purity laws, her newly wedded husband may not then approach her at all. Before the time of her ritual impurity is past, Viro has to leave her to fight Mobad; and although he is victorious on the field, Mobad succeeds, while he is still absent, in persuading Shahro to yield Vis to him.

Purity in 'Vis u Ramin'

The Zoroastrian purity laws are founded in dualism, in the belief that Ahura Mazdā created this world wholly good and pure, and that Angra Mainyu brought imperfection upon it, which includes not only sin but all physical uncleanness and blemishes. An impurity which is much discussed in the Vendidad and the Pahlavi books is women's monthly courses; and Gurgani, in accepting Vīs' condition on her wedding night as a pivotal point in the story, shows himself fully aware of the working of Zoroastrian purity laws in this particular respect:

- p.72.11 <u>zan-i mugh chun barīn kirdār bāshad</u> be suhbat mard azū bīzār bāshad
 - 12 <u>vagar zan hal azu darad nihani</u>
 baru gardad haram-i javdani

'When the wife of a Zoroastrian is in this state Man is loath to associate with her.

And if the woman conceals her condition from him, She will be forever unlawful to him.'

Elsewhere Gurgani (probably following the Pahlavi original closely) shows awareness of the close link which Zoroastrians feel between cleanliness of body and purity of soul, when he has VIs say:

p.334.104 ravam az har gunāhī tan beshūyam

vaz īzad khwīshtan-rā chāre jūyam

'I shall go and wash my body clean of any sin,

And seek a remedy from God.'

Elsewhere Rāmīn says:

- P·216.55 mara az dagh-i hejran zard shud ruy be may zardi ze ruy-i man fru shuy
 - 56 may-i gul-gun kunad gul-gun rukhanam zudayad zang-i andishe ze janam

'The grief of separation made my face yellow (pale)

Wash this pallor off my face with wine

The red (fire-coloured) wine will make my face red,

It will remove the tarnish of grief from my soul.'

Here the grief and anxiety which are impurities of the spirit are to be washed away as if they were a rust upon the soul.

Vis is very young and inexperienced when, in Viro's absence, she is surrendered by her mother to Mobad, and is carried away, lonely and sorrowful, to the far north-east. On the long journey Mobad's younger brother, Ramin, has a glimpse of her in her litter, and instantly falls passionately He procures the nurse, who accompanies Vis (and who had reared him also through his infancy) to plead his cause with her; but Vis indignantly rejects the very idea of taking a lover. But as it happens Mobad himself is kept forever from her, since the nurse's talisman, buried in earth and meant to be recovered after a year and made ineffective, 3 is washed away by winter floods, leaving the king perpetually impotent. In these circumstances the nurse's wiles and persistence, and Ramin's own beauty (first glimpsed by Vis at the great feast of Mihragan4) wear down her resistance; and once she has yielded to him, passionate love fills her whole As she declares eventually to Mobad: being.

- p.165.57 <u>agar khwahī bekush, khwahī baravīz</u>

 <u>na kardam na kunam az rām parhīz</u>

 'Kill me if you will, hang me if you wish,

 I have not given up Rāmīn, nor will I give him up.'
- p.166.60 vagar tīgh-i tō az man jān setānad marā īn nām dar gītī bemānad
 - 61 ke jan bespurd vis az bahr-i ramin

 be sad jan mikharam man nam-i chunin

 'And if your sword should take my life away,

 The world will remember my name saying:

 "Vis gave her life for the sake of Ramin",

 I would give a hundred lives for a name such as this.'
- p.166.67 mara naz marg bimast u na az dard

 bebin ta ke che chare bayadat kard

 'I fear neither death nor pain

See what course is proper for you to take.'

It is for Mobad to decide what he should do, as for Vis, she knows that her love for Ramin, in Gurgani's words, kar-i bazi nist 'is no light matter'. She is aware of the consequences of her love in the eyes of both her husband and her brother, two powerful men whom she is bound to listen to, and also as a sin before God. She tells Viro:

- p.167.91 <u>agar guyi yeki zin har do bugzin</u> behesht-i javdan u ruy-i ramin
 - 92 <u>be jan-i man ke ramin-ra guzinam</u> ke ruyash-ra behesht-i khwish binam

'If you should tell me to choose one of these two The eternal paradise or the face of Ramin Upon my soul I would choose Ramin For I see his face as my paradise.'

Here, talking to her brother, whom she loves and respects, Vis' tone is more intimate than when she speaks to Mobad. Not only is she closer in relationship to Viro, but these lines seem to reflect Viro's own righteousness. Vis answers Mobad by rejecting his threats of killing and hanging, but to her brother she says if he asked her to choose between eternal salvation and Ramin, she would choose the latter. These strong statements should have made it clear to Mobad that his locks and seals were not going to keep Vis from Ramin, who is held in a similar state of ardent love; but he lets himself be deceived again and again.

At one point Mobad decides to arrange an ordeal by fire for Vis. He asks her, that is, to swear an oath before the religious authorities that she has no actual relationship with Rāmin, and to bear witness to this oath by passing through fire:

- p.194.132 <u>bekhwar sogand vaz tuhmat berastī</u>
 ravān-rā az malāmathā beshustī
 - 133 <u>kunun man atashi roshan fruzam</u> baru besyar mushg u ud suzam
 - 134 <u>to anja pish-i dindaran-i alam</u>
 bedan atash bekhwar sogand-i mohkam

'Take an oath and you will be free of slander,

Your soul will be washed clean of all reproach;

I shall now light a blazing fire

I shall burn much musk and aloe-wood on it.

There before the religious men of the land

Take a solemn oath at that fire.'

Here another characteristic Zoroastrian element appears in the development of the story.

The ordeal by fire, sogand khwardan and solemn oaths

The use of the ordeal by fire as a judicial procedure, to test the validity of a solemnly sworn oath, was evidently regularly practised by the ancient Iranians. According to Biruni (who had access to good Zoroastrian sources) there was a tradition that Zoroaster himself underwent such an ordeal (in the form of molten metal poured on his breast), in order to attest the truth of his teachings to the Kayanian king, Gushtasp; and a similar form of the ordeal is said to have been undergone in historic times by the Sasanian high priest, adurbad i Mahraspandan, to attest his exposition of religious doctrine. In the Shahname the prince Siyavash chooses to prove his innocence from unfounded accusations by riding between two blazing mounds of fire; and it seems to be some ordeal of this kind that Mobad intends for Vis, for as she reports the matter, in terror, to Ramin:

p.197.24 mara guyad be atash bar guzar kun

jihan ra az tan-i pakat khabar kun

'He tells me "Pass through the fire

And let the world know of your chastity".'

Such ordeals were inevitably very dangerous. When Mobad first asks Vis to undergo one, her immediate reaction is to outface him by declaring her readiness, since (she claims) she is in fact innocent. Mobad then proceeds to have the fire prepared, fetching embers for it from a fire temple (which underlines the solemn religious nature of the act):

- p.195.1 <u>be ātash-gāh chīzī bī-karān dād</u>

 ke natvān kard ān rā sar be sar yād
 - 2 ze dinar u ze goharha-yi shahvar
 Zamin u asya u bagh-i besyar
 - 3 guzide madyanan-i tagavar hamidun gosfand u gav-i bī-mar
 - 4 ze atash-gah lakhti atash avard be maydan atashi chun kuh bar kard
 - 5 basi az sandal u udash khuresh dad be kafur u be mushgash parvaresh dad
- p.196.6 <u>ze maydan atashi chun kuh bar amad</u> ke ba gardun sar-i vay hambar amad
 - 7 <u>chu zarrin gunbadi bar charkh yazan</u> shude larzan u zarrash pak rizan

'He made endless donations to the fire temple,
[So many] that they cannot all be mentioned,
Of gold and precious jewels,
Land, mills and many orchards,
The finest swift mares.
And numerous sheep and cattle.

He brought some embers from the fire temple,

In the arena he built a fire as big as a mountain,

Fed it with sandal-wood and aloes,

Mixed with camphor and musk.

A fire like a mountain rose from the arena Whose summit reached the sky

Like a dome of gold reaching the firmament

Trembling and its gold spreading afar.'

When Vis, watching with Ramin from a roof-top, sees the great fire, she foresees death for herself, since she is in fact guilty; and with her lover and the nurse she flees hurriedly in the darkness. The reason why she felt certain of death was that before approaching the fire she would have had to take a solemn oath attesting her innocence, with invocation of the divinities who guard the covenant, above all Mithra; and since she would thus be perjuring herself, she would expect these divinities to let the fire destroy her in her wickedness. They would only intervene, it was believed, on behalf of the innocent, to save them from the heat of the flames.

The actual administration of the oath itself was regularly accompanied by a minor and symbolic ordeal by fire, that is, the ritual imbibing of a drink in which the fiery substance sulphur, sogand, had been dissolved. This sulphur was thought gradually to consume the guilty inwardly, but to leave the innocent unharmed. So Mobad says to Vis: (p.195.34) bedan atash bekhwar sogand-i mohkam 'At that fire consume the mighty sulphur'. His words can also be translated, according to

Persian idiom, as 'swear a mighty oath', 8 for sogand khwardan 'to consume sulphur' has come to mean simply to 'swear an oath'. But the full sense of the expression was preserved for Zoroastrians by the fact that the judicial procedure which was involved survived within living memory, with the actual administration of a sulphurous drink before the oath of attestation was taken. Two separate and detailed accounts of the full procedure are preserved in the Persian Rivayats, with the title Sogand Name or 'Formula of oath[taking]'.9 These give both the elaborate religious ritual which accompanied the administration of an oath, and alternate forms of the words to be spoken. One of these formulas contains the following lines referring to the words which the oath-taker is about to say: 'I draw upon myself the penalty of it at the Chinvat Bridge. Mihr, Sarosh and Rashn know that I speak the truth; the spirit of Truth knows that I speak the truth; the Ameshaspands know that I speak the truth. 10

Some such formula of asseveration was clearly going to be demanded of Vis; and at first, when she seeks to brazen out the matter, she says to Mobad, as an innocent person might:

- p.194.29 <u>be payman u be sogandam matarsan</u> ke darad bigunah sogand asan
 - che sogandi khwari che sard abi

 'Do not threaten me with pacts and 'sogands',

 For the innocent hold 'sogand' a light matter.

When there is no unrighteousness beneath

A drink of 'sogand' is no more than a drink of cold

water.'11

The last line is reminiscent of the words spoken by Siyavash in the Shahname:

chu bakhshayesh-i pak yazdan buvad dam-i atash u bad yeksan buvad

'When there is forgiveness by God

The hot blast of fire is the same as a breeze.' 12
But knowing, despite her bold words, that she is guilty, Vis
flees to avoid the ordeal.

Another oath-taking in the poem has a quasi-legal character, and that is the original covenant entered into by Shahro with Mobad. Shahro then swears to the king that she has no daughter, but that if she gives birth to one thereafter, she shall become his bride. The verb used is again sogand khwardan, 13 but it is not clear whether this has its literal force here, or whether (more probably) it is simply used in the modern sense of making a strong verbal asseveration. The compact is, however, given a formal character by being put in writing. Writing was used for administrative, and no doubt legal, matters already in the Achaemenian period, so this incident may belong to the original Parthian story. The dry legal aspect of a written agreement is romanticised by the words being set down in a mixture of rose-water and musk, upon silk 14; and there can be no doubt that at least

one of the yazatas - most probably Mihr - was invoked as witness, for when Shahro unwittingly breaks the pact Mobad

p.57.36 <u>ze shahro ba hame shahan gele kard</u>

<u>ke bi-din chun shud u zenhar chun khward</u>

'Complained of Shahro to all the [vassal] kings,

Telling how she had abandoned religion, and how she

had broken her promise.'

He also accuses her directly of wickedness in these purely Zoroastrian terms:

p.77.13 chera zan ahd u payman baz gashti

chera ba ahriman anbaz gashti

'Why did you turn from that pact and covenant?'

Why did you become a partner of Ahriman?'

Shahro herself, the poet tells us:

p.49.83 <u>ham az shah u ham az dadar tarsan</u>

<u>ke beshkast in hame sogand u payman</u>

'Felt dread of both the king and the Creator,

For having broken all those oaths and pacts.'
Subsequently, when Mobad is wooing Vis to marry him, he
declares that if she will become his truly loving wife he
will be utterly devoted to her, and will lavish on her all
the wealth at his command. And he concludes his many
protestations by saying:

p.68.15 <u>bedīn paymān kunam bā tō basī band</u> dorostīhā be mihr u khatt u sogand

'For this pact I shall enter into many binding conditions with you,

Duly by Mihr, both in writing and by "sogand".'

Since dorostina appears to be the Pahlavi adverb, 'duly, rightly, properly', for which Gurgani's own natural equivalent would have been be dorosti, 15 it seems that the poet is here following his Pahlavi original closely. Accordingly be mihr can reasonably be understood to refer to the great yazata, and to mean 'by invocation of Mihr', he being the divinity before whom it was proper to swear pacts. 16 The final words are presumably to be taken literally - that the king will both set down a written agreement and pledge himself to keep it by 'drinking sulphur', according to ancient practice.

Many episodes later, Mobad is forced to interrupt an apparant reconciliation with his wayward wife for the following reason:

p.229.10 shahanshah mobad az qaysar khabar yaft ke qaysar del ze rah-i mihr bar-taft

. . . .

hame paymanha-yi karde beshkast basī kasha-yi mobad ra fru bast

'Mobad, King of kings, received news of Caesar, That his heart was turned from 'the way of Mihr'.

He had broken all treaties between them, [and]

The English translation for <u>del ze rāh-i mihr bar tāft</u> is 'had turned his heart away from the path of affection' 17; but it is hardly to be supposed that there had ever been 'affection' between a Parthian king and Roman emperor.

Imprisoned many of Mobad's subjects.'

Rather, this appears to be a natural Zoroastrian way of saying that the foreign ruler had broken his treaty-obligations with Iran, thus offending the divinity who oversees covenants. Other oaths are taken in the poem which clearly are not set down in any legal form, or ratified by sogand khwardan, but which are simply solemn asseverations, made with due invocation of divinities who are expected to punish the oath-taker if he breaks his word. The following strikingly Zoroastrian one is taken by Mobad:

- p.210.34 <u>bekhward angah ba madarsh sogand</u> be din-i roshan u jan-i khradmand
 - be vazdan-i jihan u din-i pakan be roshan jan-i nikan u nyakan
 - 36 be ab-i pak u khak u atash u bad be farhang u vafa u danish u dad
 - 37 <u>ke bar ramin azin pas bad najuyam</u> del az azar u kirdarash beshuyam

'Then he swore an oath before his mother,

By the bright faith and the wise soul,

By the yazads of the world and the religion of the pure

By the bright souls of the righteous and [his]

ancestors.

By pure water and earth and fire and the wind,

By learning and loyalty and wisdom and justice,

That thenceforth he would not seek to harm Ramin,

But would wash from his heart [the memory] of his

wrongful acts.'

Here the description of the faith now as 'bright' and now as 'that of the pure' seems characteristic of Zoroastrianism, which has for its symbol of righteousness and purity the bright Invocation of the 'wise soul' (jan-i khradmand) seems to be based on the essential doctrine embodied in Zoroaster's own words in Y.30.3: 'and of these two the wise choose rightly, not so the unwise'. 19 The phrase <u>yazdan-i jihan</u> has been taken in the English translation in the modern Persian sense, i.e. 'God of the world'²⁰; but in a passage so evidently closely dependent on the Phlavi it may well be Gurgani's versian of Pahlavi yazdan i getigan, while jan-i nikan u nyagan probably represents a misunderstanding by him of a Pahlavi phrase for the fravasis of the righteous. To swear by the inanimate 'creations' of water, fire and earth is characteristically Zoroastrian; but at some stage learned Moroastrian priests became influenced by the Greek theory of four elements - earth, air, fire, and water - and adapted this to their own cosmology, but with 'wind' (bad), as here, for 'air' (for which there was no word in ancient Iran).

Other oaths are taken in the poem with invocation of natural things, that is (as the following words of Rāmīn suggest) by the good creations of Ahura Mazdā:

- p.341.23 ... bekhwardam pish-i yazdan sakht sogand
 - 24 <u>be har chīzī ke an behtar ze gayhan</u> <u>be khāk-i pāk u māh u mihr-i tābān</u>

'... I have sworn a firm oath before God,

By all that is best in the world,

By the pure earth and the shining moon and sun.'

Earlier Ramin swears his truthfulness to Vis with more detailed invocations:

- p.159.71 <u>nakhust azade ramin khward sogand</u>
 be yazdan kust giti-ra khudavand
 - 72 <u>be māh-i rōshan u tābande khurshīd</u> be farrokh mushtarī u pāk nāhīd
 - be nan u ba namak ba dīn-i yazdan
 be roshan atash u jān-i sukhan-dān
 'First noble Rāmīn swore an oath,
 By God who is the lord of the world,
 By the bright moon and the shining sun,
 By the glorious Jupiter and pure Nāhīd
 By bread and by salt, by the religion of God [or yazads],

by the bright fire and the soul with knowledge of speech.'

To swear by planets is hardly Zoroastrian, since according to the learned men of that faith these heavenly bodies were daevic, wandering erratically in the skies; so presumably Mushtarī and Nāhīd have been added by Gurgani himself after 'sun and moon' (for which, naturally, exceptions had to be made by Zoroastrians). Bread and salt seem to represent hospitality which was so highly prized in ancient Iran, and which was hypostatized in the yazata Airyaman; and in this set of religiously inclined invocations the jān-i sukhan-dān 'the soul with knowledge of speech' perhaps refers to the soul illumined by Zoroaster's words - an invocation to be associated with jān-i khradmand.

The various oaths made in the course of the poem, as lovers and rivals passionately avow their intentions, thus contribute strongly to the general impression of a Zoroastrian society, in which men and women lived consciously in the good world of Ahura Mazda's making, and under the watchful eyes of the beneficent but just yazatas.

All this has taken us away from the point in the story where Mobad seeks to test Vis through the ordeal by fire, and she flees into the night. The tale then continues to unfold in its episodic way, until we reach a point where Vis has been incarcerated in an old castle of the city of Marv, under the care of her brother-in-law, Zard. From there she has written to Ramin, urging him to come to the castle, seize it, and challenge the power of Mobad. She herself plans to meet Ramin at a fire-temple outside the castle, and by a trick to gain him admittance there. So she falsely tells Zard that her Fortune had come to her in a dream and had told her that her brother Viro had been ill but had recovered (p.490.29). Here 'bakht-am', 'My Fortune', presumably refers to her personal Khvarenah, Pahlavi Farrah. 21 then says:

- p.490.31 <u>be atashgah khwaham raftan imruz</u>

 <u>be kar-i nik budan atash-afruz</u>
 - 32 khwarish befzayam atash-ra be bakhshish
 be nīkī u be pakī u be ramish
 'I wish to go today to the 'place of fire',

To become one who illumines fire as a good deed,

I shall increase the fuel for the fire through liberality,

In goodness, purity and joy.'

It is a characteristic Zoroastrian act of piety to make offerings at a fire temple, so that additional clean, dry wood may be placed by the priests on the sacred fire, which then blazes up in fresh splendour. It is also proper that worshippers should approach a fire with goodness of intention, in ritual purity, and in a spirit of joy. (All Zoroastrian religious acts should be performed joyfully, and the Zoroastrian word yasna, MP jashn 'act of worship', has come to mean 'feast' or 'celebration' in Muslim Iran). It is also a pious and fitting act to render thanks at a fire-temple for such a thing as recovery from illness. So Zard is deceived by Vīs:

p.490.33 <u>sepahbad goft shayad hamchunin kun</u> hamishe nam nik u kar-i din kun

'The general said: "That is proper, do so,

Always do your religious duty and gain good

reputation.'

Vis accordingly leaves the castle, accompanied by her ladies and goes

p.491.35 <u>bedarvaze be atash-gah-i khorshid</u> ke bud az kardeha-yi shah jamshid

'To the Darvaze, to the 'place of fire' of the Sun,

Which was one of the creations of King Jamshād.'

There are several points of interest here, which are hardly

brought out in the English translation, 'through the gates to

the temple of the sun, one of the buildings of King Jamshid'22; for the construction of the Persian verse suggests that darvaze and atashgah are both the object of Vis' expedition, i.e. in a measure synonymous. In Zoroastrian usage atashgah 'place of fire' usually means the inner sanctuary of a firetemple, where the sacred fire is actually installed; but Gurgani regularly uses the term for the fire-temple itself. The general term used by Zoroastrians in the Islamic period for their fire-temples is Dar-i Mihr, 'Gate of Mihr'. Persian the common noun mihr can be a synonym for khorshid, 'sun'; and it seems possible that, in the interests of rhyme and metre, Gurgani has simply expanded the term, rendering 'dar' by darvaze', and then glossing it by 'atashgah-i khorshid', with khorshid replacing 'mihr'. This is of course quite unjustifiable, since 'Mihr' in the Zoroastrian phrase represents the yazata Mihr; but khorshid rhymes conveniently with 'Jamshid'...

Fire-Temples (atashgah)

Fire-temples were evidently not as numerous in the Parthian period as in Sasanian times; but the existence of a number of them is attested then, and it would not be surprising if there had actually been one in the city of Marv. There is a general tendency among Zoroastrians to attribute the creating of ancient things to Jamshid, and the priests of an individual sacred fire naturally sometimes sought to exalt it by claiming for it (though not for its building) a remote antiquity. Thus according to one tradition the chief sacred

fire of Persia (Pars) was established by Jam (Jamshid). 23
This fire was called Ādur Farnbāg, but its name occurs in various forms in Islamic times, such as Khurreh, Khurdād.
This fire is several times linked in the Shāhnāme with the great Parthian fire, Ādur Burzēn Mihr, whose name likewise appears in a variety of ways, adapted to fit the demands of metre. The two fires appear together in Vīs u Rāmīn also, used (as recurrently in the Shāhnāme) in a metaphor. Here Rāmīn says of Vīs:

- p.110.33 <u>bedan zadast pendari ze madar</u> ke atash bar kashad az haft kishvar
 - 34 be khasse zin del-i bad-bakht ramin
 ke atashgah-i khurdad ast u burzin
 'She seems to have been born of her mother
 To attract fire from the seven regions (i.e. the
 whole world).

Especially from the heart of ill-fated Rāmīn

Which is the 'place of fire' of Khurdād and Burzīn.'

The metaphor means, of course, that the fire in Rāmīn's

heart is so great that he compares it to the very greatest of

sacred fires. The reference to 'fire from the seven regions'

is wholly Zoroastrian, with the concept of this world being

divided into seven keshvars, Avestan karshvar; so that in

these lines Gurgani is likely to have been following his

original closely.

Adur Burzen-Mihr was established, according to tradition, on a spur of Mt. Revand, in Khorasan (ancient Parthia); and at the very end of the poem, when Vis at last dies, Ramin has a tomb made for her on the mountain 'above the fire temple of Burzin', (bar avarde az atashgah-i Burzin). He himself yields his throne to their son, Khorshid, and retires to the fire-temple:

p.510.17 <u>dar atashgah mujaver gasht u benshast</u>

<u>del-i pakize ba yazdan be payvast</u>

'He entered the service of the fire temple,

And united his pure heart with God.'

The Muslim term <u>mujaver</u>, 'one appointed to the service of a shrine', seems aptly used here to convey the sense of a person living in a religious sanctuary and serving it. In later times, according to one source, Ardashir I similarly resigned his throne to his son Shabuhr and spent his last years at a fire-temple.

The fire-temple thus fills the same natural part in the social and religious world of the poem as the mosque in Islamic society, or the church in a Christian one; and if we return to Vis, who has attended such a place of worship with far from pure intent, we find her making lavish offerings there, as befitted a queen:

- p.491.36 <u>che maye rikht khun-i gosfandan</u> bebakhshid an hame bar darmandan
 - 37 <u>che maye jame vu gohar bar afshand</u> che maye sayl-i sim u zar ze kaf rand

'She had great numbers of sheep slaughtered,
All of which she bestowed on the sick and the poor.
She gave away great quantities of clothing and
jewellery,

A great flood of silver and gold she poured down from her hands.'

This lavishness had the outward show of being in pious gratitude for her brother's recovered health; but it might also be implied that Vis was seeking pardon of the divine beings for her deception of Zard, and help from them in the coming endeavour. She remained at the temple till night fall, we are told. Then Ramin and his men met her there, and returned with her to the castle, disguised as her female attendants, whom she had dismissed. Once within the castle, they attacked its defenders, and Zard and many others were killed. Not long after this, Mobad too died, from an attack by a wild boar, and Vis became the wife and queen of Ramin, who succeeded to the throne. She bore him children, and lived to see those children's children.

When Vis died, the <u>dakhma</u> or tomb which Rāmin built for her, high on the mountain side, is said to have been very lofty, 'the tops of its pavilion made to ascend to the Pleiades' (p.508.36). Granted the epic exaggeration, this account of a large mountain sepulchre accords with descriptions of some Sasanian royal tombs, ²⁵ while the location, in the neighbourhood of Adur Burzen Mihr, is truly Parthian.

NOTES TO CHAPTER FOUR

- 1. See Boyce, HZ I, 294 ff.
- Pahlavi Vendidad, XVI, 325, 329, 338.
 Arda Viraz Namag, LXXVI, 6-7.
 Šayast ne-šayast, 101-2.
- 3. See above, p.22.
- 4. See above, p.25.
- 5. Biruni, Athar ul-baqiyah, transl. A. Dana Seresht, Tehran, 1352/1973, 542.
- 6. Arda Viraz Namag, v, 5.
- 7. Shahname, 481.
- 8. So Morrison, 133.
- 9. Unvala, Rivayat, I, 46; Dhabhar, Rivayat, 46-47.
- 10. Unvala, Rivayat, I, 46; Dhabhar, Rivayat, 47.
- 11. Morrison, 133: 'What is the difference between taking an oath and taking cold water?' (although in a note he explains the original meaning of sogand khwardan).
- 12. Shahname, I, 481.
- 13. p.35.47.
- 14. p.35.49.
- 15. See above p.13.
- 16. Morrison appears to read <u>be muhr</u>, and translates the half-line (p.48): 'Asseverations with sign and seal and oath.'
- 17. Morrison, 157.
- 18. cf. p.143.162, where Vis prays to God to keep her body 'bright' (roshan), i.e., 'pure' as long as she lives, whereas on p.510.32 the poet says of Ramin, at his death: be yazdan dad jan-i pak shuste ('He gave up to God his pure-washed soul').

- 19. Cf. the following words uttered by Rāmīn, p.332.52:

 bekhwardam bā gul-i gul-būy sogand

 be goft-i farrokh u jān-i khradmand

 'I swore an oath to flower-fragrant Gul,

 By the auspicious word and wise soul.'
- 20. Morrison, 144.
- 21. Morrison, 337: 'my aura of fortune'.
- 22. Morrison, 337.
- 23. See GBd., XVIII. 10.
- 24. Morrison, 349: 'raised from the fire-temple of Burzin'.
- 25. For references see A. Sh. Shahbazi, 'The Irano-Lycian Monuments', Tehran 1975, 154-7.

CHAPTER FIVE

Ramin

Rāmīn, the hero of the poem, is a royal prince and brother to Mobad. He is represented as having all the splendid qualities which Mobad seems to lack. He is very handsome¹; and when the nurse has been won to plead his cause with Vīs, she speaks of him in the following terms:

- p.128.68 <u>be marv andar basī dīdam javānān</u>

 dalīrān-i jihān keshvar gushāyān
 - 69 <u>be bala hamchu sarv-i juybari</u>

 <u>be chehre hamchu bagh-i nobahari</u>
 - 70 <u>ze khūbī u dalīrī āfarīde</u>

 <u>be mardī az jihānī bar guzīde</u>
 - 71 khradmandan ke ishan ra bebinand yekayek ra ze viro bar guzinand
 - 72 <u>vaz īshān shīr-mādī kāmrānīst</u> kujā dar har hunar gūyī jihānīst
 - 73 gar İshan akhtarand u aftabist var İshan anbarand u mushg-i nabist
 - 74 <u>be tokhme tā be ādam shāh u mehtar</u> be gōhar shāh mōbad rā barādar
 - 75 <u>khujaste nām u farrokh bakht rāmīn</u> fereshte bar zamīn u dīv dar zīn
 - 76 <u>be vīro nīk mānad khūb chehrash</u> gerogān shud hame delhā be mihrash

one,

- p.128.77 <u>daliran-i jihan u ra setayand</u>

 <u>ke ruz-i jang ba u bar nayayand</u>
 - 78 <u>be iran nist hamchun u hunar juy</u> shekafande be jubin u senan muy
 - 79 <u>be turan nist hamchun u kamanvar</u> be farmanash ravande murgh ba par
 - 80 <u>ze gurdan bish girad khun gah-i razm</u>
 ze yaran bish giragd may gah-i bazm
 - be kūshish hamchu shīr-i kīne-dār ast
 be bakhshish hamchu abr-i nobahār ast
 'In Marv I have seen many young men,
 Champions of the world, conquerors,
 In stature like a cypress along the stream,
 In looks like a fresh spring garden
 Created out of excellence and courage,
 In manliness superior to the whole world.
 Wise men who saw these [heroes]
 Would choose any one of them over Vīro.
 And among them there is a lion [like] man, a powerful

For he is as accomplished in every way as a whole world. If these [men] are stars, he is a sun

And if these are ambergris, he is pure musk.

In lineage, back to Adam, kings and dignitaries,

In birth a brother to King Mobad.

Of auspicious name and glorious fortune is Ramin.

An angel on the ground and a demon in the saddle.

His beautiful face is very like Vīrō's.

All hearts are pledged in covenance to his love,

Brave champions of the world praise him

For on the day of battle they cannot match him.

In Iran there is no-one as accomplished as he

In splitting a hair with spear and javelin.

In Turan there is no archer like him,

Having in his command the bird flying with wings.

In battle he spills more blood than [his fellow]

champions,

In feasts he takes more wine than his friends.
In strife he is like an angry lion,
In liberality he is like a spring cloud.

It might possibly be thought that Rāmīn is the offspring of a khwedodah marriage between his mother and his brother Mobad, if one were to take literally what his mother says to Mobad, at the height of his enmity with Rāmīn: to rā rāmīn barādar hast u farzand (p.237.63) 'Rāmīn is brother to you and son'. This appears, however, to be metaphorical, an expression of a mother's anxiety over the younger son, who is also next in line to the throne. She says (p.184.19) to rā īzad nadādast īch farzand - ke rūzī bar jihān bāshad khudāvand. 'God has not given you any children (sons), so that he may some day be lord of the world'. And Rāmīn, complaining to his mother of Mobad's attempt to kill him, says:

p.207.20 <u>na charkh ast u na māh u aftāb ast</u>
kujā bā man ham az yek mām u bāb ast

'He is not the firmament or the moon or the sun,

For he is of the same mother and father as I am.'

Rāmīn's qualities are very much those of a Shāhnāme hero, but Rāmīn, as the hero of a romantic epic, adds to them gifts as poet and lover. He is also said to be skilled in playing the harp, and there are many occurrences in the poem when he is called upon by Mobad to sing to him. Some of the finest lines in the whole epic are attributed to him. Some of these, inevitably, celebrate the love he has felt for Vīs from the first moment that he beheld her, as for example the following: p.214.19 shekofte bāgh dīdam nobahārī

sezā-yi an ke dar vay mihr kārī

20 ravande sarv didam bustani sukhanvar mah didam asmani

. . . .

23 sepurdam del be mihrash javdanī

ze har karī guzīdam baghbanī

'I saw a spring garden in bloom,
One fit for sowing the seed of love.

I saw an eloquent moon come from the garden,

I saw an eloquent moon come from the sky,

[And] entrusted my heart to her love for ever.

Of all professions I chose that of a gardener.'

Rāmīn is completely overwhelmed by the love which he feels for

Vīs, and this love is so powerful that it brings this otherwise

perfect hero into a position of scandal and disgrace. His

brother Mobad becomes his enemy, and his friends are troubled at the blame which attaches to him. Yet the poet gives the impression that Rāmīn's essential goodness is not affected, and that he is never deserted by the 'divine glory' (farr-i yazdān). This should accompany all just rulers, and even Mobad is said to have it at the spring celebration at the beginning of the poem, where he makes a pact with Shahro; but it is barely mentioned again for him. Rāmīn, however, has it already in his youth, as the following lines tell:

p.146.227 <u>javān u chabuk u rādu sukhan-dān</u>
bar ū paydā nishān-i farr-i yazdān

'Young, agile, liberal and eloquent

The divine glory apparent on him (on his face).'

And he has it still at the end of the epic, when he rides into the camp where Mobad bas been killed:

- p.502.23 <u>buzurgan pish-i u raftand yeksar</u> be dayhimash bar afshandand gohar
 - 24 maru ra jomle shahanshah khwandand ze farr u dad u khire be-mandand

'The great ones all went to his presence

[And] scattered jewels to [celebrate] his crown; One and all pronounced him King, All amazed at his glory (farr) and his justice.'

The concept of the divine khwarenah (MP farrah, farr) which attends a man morally worthy to be king is found in the Avesta. There khwarenah deserts King Yima (Jamshīd) when he utters a lie; and how Rāmīn can continue to possess it despite

his seduction of Vis and his continual deception of his brother, could perhaps be explained by the operation of a superior justice, since Mobad, the ruling king, had acted very wrongly, first in making the pact with Shahro and then in carrying off Vis through bribery and guile.

Rāmīn is faithful. He is a party to several of the many pacts or solemn vows which recur through the poem, and he only once falters in loyalty to his pledged word. That is when he yields to the urging of friends and tries to end his affair with Vīs by plunging into marriage with another woman, Gul, in a distant part of Iran; and then the sight of a bunch of violets (banafshe) is enough to remind him of his promise to Vīs, who had given him a bunch when they first swore to be faithful to each other:

- p.159.81 <u>be rāmīn dād yek daste banafshe</u>

 <u>be yādam dār goftā īn hamīshe</u>
 - 82 <u>kujā bīnī banafshe tāze bar bār</u>

 <u>az in paymān u in sogand yād ār</u>

 'She gave Rāmīn a bunch of violets,

 Saying "always remember me with this,

 Wherever you see violets growing fresh in bloom,

 Remember this pact and this oath".'

Ramin sets out at once to return to Marv (Gul, like Viro, being the victim of faithfulness to an earlier pledge). There he comes at night and stands in the deep snow beneath Vis' window, ardently pleading to be forgiven his desertion of her. She keeps him remorselessly waiting there, while heaping reproaches

on him, until he says:

p.420.128 <u>be atash-gah mimanad darunam</u>
be kuh-i barf mimanad burunam

'Inside I am like a place of fire,
Outside like a mountain of snow.

This simile is wholly Zoroastrian, with the warm love within him being compared to the glow of a sacred fire. He also (p.442.516) uses the distinctive Zoroastrian term patyare (Pahl. patyarag), meaning properly an assault by the Evil Spirit, to describe the two threats to his existence: the implacability of Vīs, assailing his soul, and the cold assailing his body. At last he despairs of Vīs' forgiveness and rides away, as he thinks, rejected. Vīs, remorseful, at once runs after him through the snow, but it is now his turn to be haughty and unyielding:

p.464.234 gero bastand barf u khashm-i ramin ke na an kam shavad ta ruz na in

'The snow and Ramin's anger were pledged

That neither of the two will subside till dawn.'

And in fact it is not until dawn breaks that the two lovers are at last reconciled, and return together to the castle unobserved.

Ramin's anger here compared to snow (<u>barf</u>) is a reflection of another comparison which Ramin himself makes:
p.420.129 chu man bar asman khwad yek fereshtast

ke īzad zātash u barfash sereshtast

'Like me there is an angel in heaven

Whom God has moulded out of fire and snow.'

Here, it seems, a religious association is intended. Vayu's associate, Raman, the 'hamkar' of Mithra, is linked with life and death. The names Ramin and Raman are both often shortened to Ram, and this perhaps made possible an association between hero and yazata, and perhaps also accounts for Ramin being considered khujaste nam, 'of auspicious name'.

The use of the simile of a pact between anger and the snow also reflects the preoccupation (probably that of the Parthian minstrel poets) with the themes of pacts and pledged words which runs through the poem. The belief is clear that the breaking of a pact, whether deliberate or through forgetfulness, brings sorrow and suffering. Pacts were regularly sworn to with invocation of Mithra: and it seems fitting that it should be in connection with the faithful Rāmīn, 'one whose soul is blended with loyalty' kasī kūrā vafā bā jān sereshtast (p.420.132) that we should consider in more detail the covenant, mihr and the great yazata who guards it, as they appear in the poem.

mihr/Mihr in Vīs u Rāmīn

The fact has been touched on in the introductory chapter that the ancient meaning of the common noun mihr, is largely lost in Gurgani's Persian rendering of the poem, because this word exists in the modern language almost exclusively with the derivative meanings of 'sun' (which it had developed

already by Parthian times), and 'love', 'affection'. Both these meanings are well attested in <u>Vīs u Rāmīn</u>, as for example in the following lines:

- p.240.16 betabad mihr bar ruy-i chu mahat

 neshīnad gard bar zulf-i syahat

 '[Lest] the sun might shine on your moon-like face,

 And dust might sit on your black hair.'
- p.299.66 hame mihrī ze nā-dīdan bekāhad
 ke rā dīde nabīnad del nakhwāhad
 hame mihrī ze nā-dīdan bekāhad
 hame mihrī ze nā-dīdan bekāhad
 hame mihrī ze nā-dīdan bekāhad
 hame mihrī ze nā-dīdan bekāhad
 hame mihrī ze nā-dīdan bekāhad
 <a href="

There seems no single occurrence in the poem of the word mihr meaning 'pact, covenant', nor is this meaning of the simple word attested even in Pahlavi. (Even in Avestan the common noun mithra is rare.) But there occurs several times a compound adjective bad-mihr, which appears to be the Persian rendering of the Pahlavi drūj-mihr, mihr-drūj, meaning 'of bad covenant, false to the covenant, faithless'. There is also an abstract bad-mihrī 'faithlessness'. In the English translation these words are understood to mean 'of bad love, badness in love', and are rendered accordingly, the passages thereby losing their ethical force. One example of the word has already been cited, where Vīs wishes, in bitter irony, that she had a heart as 'black, rebellious and faithless (bad-mihr)' as Rāmīn's. Other examples are as follows:

p.492.52 chu shab tarik shud chun jan-i bad-mihr

'When the night grew dark as the soul of a faithless $$\operatorname{\mathsf{man.'}}^4$$

- p.454.62 <u>be khashm andar bekun lakiti mudara</u>

 <u>makun bad-mihri-yi khwish ashkara</u>

 'In anger have some patience,

 Do not reveal your faithlessness.'⁵
- P.457.111 to-rā del sīr gasht az mihrabānī

 cherā chandīn marā bad-mihr khwāni
 - 112 <u>ze bad-mihrī nishān tō bīsh dārī</u>

 <u>ke bī-rahmī u zaftī kīsh dārī</u>

'Your heart has become sated with affection,
Why do you so often call me faithless?
It is you yourself who bear the marks of faithlessness,
For your religion is mercilessness and meanness.'

Here there is an interesting link between being <u>bad-mihr</u> and having wickedness as one's religion. The broad ethical implication of the term is thus well brought out.

As for Mihr himself, yazata of fidelity, it is to be expected that Gurgani would not deliberately name him, since it is evident that in general he omits the names of individual yazatas, though he keeps the moral and religious spirit (the appearance of Srosh's name serves only to illustrate this, since his name, as we have seen, is treated by Gurgani as a common noun meaning 'angel'.) But the fact that mihr has in

Persian the meaning of 'love, affection', brings it about that sometimes Gurgani seems to have taken the yazata's name as the common noun, and so has let it survive in his text. We have already met one example of this, where 'Caesar', having broken his treaty obligations, is said to have 'left the path of Mihr', an expression which could be understood by a modern reader (as by the English translator) to mean 'the path of affection'. Another line which may well represent a similar misunderstanding by Gurgani of the Pahlavi is the following:

p.103.27 sepāh-i dīv-i jādu bar to rah yāft to rā az rāh-i dādu mihr bar tāft

'The forces of the sorcerer-Div found their way into you,

And turned you from the way of justice and of Mihr.' ⁸ The whole concept and terminology here is strikingly Zoroastrian, with $d\bar{l}v$ and yazad contending for the hearts of men.

In other passages it seems likely that Gurgani, meeting the name of the yazad Mihr unambiguously in the Pahlavi, has simply omitted it, retaining only the general word yazad (as <u>izad</u>), or the title of <u>Davar</u> 'judge', as in the following lines: p.509.13 har anch <u>izad</u> ze man pursad be mahshar

man az to nīz pursam pīsh-i davar

'All that the yazad questions me about on Judgement Day
I too shall question you about before the judge.'
Here Ramin alludes to the Zoroastrian beliefs that each soul
will go at death before Mithra the judge, and also the fravasis

of men watch over their descendants on earth, and take note of their deeds.

On occasion, as we have seen, ⁹ the monotheist Gurgani seems in his translation to equate Zoroastrian Davar with Dadar, i.e. with Ohrmazd. So the following line may also well have referred in the Pahlavi original to Mihr (with again, Mihr in the original probably being misunderstood by him as mihr 'affection').

p.70.28 <u>vagar bā ū khwaram dar mihr zinhār</u> che uzr āram bedān sar pīsh-i dādār

'If I break my word in 'mihr' with him,

What excuse shall I offer on the other side before the Creator?'

One can compare with this lines from the Farziyat Nama of the Parsi priestly scholar, Darab Pahlan,

p.21.2 <u>nabashad hasel u-ra savabash</u> nayarad mihr-i davar dar hesabash

'He would gain no recompense for them (his good deeds),
Mihr the Judge will not bring them into his accounts.'

There is also a simile in the poem which arises from the

Zoroastrian association of Mihr the Judge and the fire of the
judicial ordeal:

p.434.373 be to nalam ke dar del azari to be to nalam ke bar del davari to

'To you I complain since you are the fire in my heart.'
To you I complain for you are the judge over my heart.'

the

There thus appears, veiled behind words of the Muslim poet, but still faintly discernible, the pervading presence of Mihr, invisible guardian of the many pacts which are made as the epic unfolds.

At the very end of the poem Mobad, as we have seen, dies through wounds given him by a wild boar, so that Ramin is spared the sin of himself slaying the king. Ramin then enters on a reign which is to be characterised by justice:

- p.504.55 <u>chu ramin dad-juy u dad gar shud</u> jihan az murdegan asudetar shud
 - 56 <u>sepahdaran-i u har ja ke raftand</u> be farr-i u hame giti gereftand
 - 59 <u>hame viraneha abad kardand</u>
 hezaran shahr u deh bunyad kardand
 - bekandi bikh u bun bad-goharan ra
 - 69 <u>be davar gah-i u bar shah u chakar</u> yeki budi u darvish u tavangar

'As Ramin was just and a seeker of justice,

The world became more peaceful than [the world of] the

dead.

His generals, wherever they went,
Through his glory conquered all the world.

. . . .

They rebuilt all ruins

And founded thousands of towns and villages.

He appointed judges in the court of justice
And eradicated all those of bad character.

In his court of justice king and servant
Were equal, as were rich and poor.

Thus Ramin reigns for many years, the pattern of an ideal Zoroastrian ruler, until at last, as we have seen, having laid Vis in her tomb high in the mountains, he himself abdicates at No Ruz (the traditional date for the beginning of a new reign) in favour of his elder son. He spends the last three years of his life in pious retirement at the greatest of the Parthian fire-temples, Adur Burzen Mihr; and finally he too dies, and his body is laid in the same 'dakhma' as that of Vis. 11

One of Mithra's most striking companions in his ancient yasht is Verethraghna, yazata of Victory, who hastens before him in the shape of a wild boar, ready to gore and crush wicked men. 12 The boar is Verethraghna's most familiar manifestation; and it seems very probable that it is in this shape that he plays a part at the very end of the story.

Rāmīn has then at last carried off Vīs, and Mobad is reluctantly preparing to engage him in battle; but he does so with a troubled heart, since (as we have seen already 13), he fears that Sorūsh will not give him support. Indeed, he seems to foresee Rāmīn's victory:

p.497.13 javan ast u vu ham bakhtash javan ast derakht-i dolatash ta asman ast

'He is young and his fortune is young,

The tree of his fortune is as high as the sky.'

He thus sees Ramin as attended by Khvarenah, who is linked with Fortune (Ashi/Bakht¹⁴), and who like Verethraghna is associated with the Ahuras, since he is good only to the righteous.

Despite his forebodings, Mobad gathers his forces, and encamps at a place bounded on one side by a river (juybar). 15 From an angle of this river there suddenly leaps out a wild boar 'swift as a wild and maddened elephant' (be tundi hamchu pīlī sharze vu mast). 16 It dashes into the camp, causing a hubbub. Mobad mounts his horse, and rides to meet it. boar gores his horse, bringing beast and rider to the ground; and then it kills the king with a single deadly thrust of its No one else is hurt, and there is no reason given for tusks. the great creature's sudden appearance and onslaught. perhaps even significant that he springs out from a river-bed, for water is the element of Varuna, 'The Ahura', and, Verethraghna is Ahuradhata, i.e. 'created by the Ahura'.

NOTES TO CHAPTER FIVE

- 1. See p.108.5 ff.
- 2. Yt.19.6.34.
- 3. See above, p. 43.
- 4. Morrison, 337: 'as the soul of a false lover'.
- 5. Morrison, 312: 'Leaven your anger with a dash of concilliation: do not reveal abroad your shortcomings in love'.
- 6. Morrison, 314: 'lacking in love ... false love'.
- 7. See above, p.60.
- 8. Morrison, 71: 'seduced you from the road of justice and love'.
- 9. See above, p. 32.
- 10. Farziyat Nama-i Darab Pahlan, 2.
- 11. p.509.1 ff.
- 12. Yt.10.70-72.
- 13. See above, p. 33.
- 14. See above, p. 37.
- 15. p.499.26: Morrison, 345 'marsh'.
- 16. p.499.27.

CHAPTER SIX

The Nurse

The Nurse (daye), whose proper name is not known, has had the rearing of Vis (and also, in his infancy, of Ramin); and she remains in Vis' service throughout the unfolding of the story, in which she plays an important part. She is from Khūzān, which V. Minorsky thought to be probably a place in the neighbourhood of Quchān in Khorāsān. Mobad seems to associate her with 'Bābel', when he says to Vis 'be bābel dīv būde ūstādat' 'a dīv was your teacher in Babylon' (p.173.42); but this meant simply to imply that the Nurse had been trained in astrology and occult sciences. His opinion of Khūzān is no better, however, for he declares:

p.163.22 <u>ze khūzān khwad nayāyad juz bad-andīsh</u>

<u>tabāhī-jūy u bad-kirdār u bad-kīsh</u>

'None hails from Khūzān but the malevolent,

Subverters, evil-doers and heretics.'

All concerned utter at times harsh words to the Nurse. The princess Gul, whom Rāmīn marries only to desert her, blames Rāmīn's love for Vīs on the Nurse's witchcraft. Mōbad continually abuses her. Rāmīn curses her when she goes on Vīs' orders to persuade him to come back, after he has gone away and married Gul. Vīs herself, though very close to her, does not forget what she is, and declares that she will not sin herself,

p.140.104 <u>ze bahr-i daye-yi bi sharm u bi din</u>
be dade har do giti ra be ramin

'For the sake of a shameless, irreligious nurse Who has given away both worlds to Rāmīn.'

On the same occasion she says wrathfully to the Nurse:

- p.138.76 <u>ze shahr-i to nayayad juz bad-akhtar</u> ze tokhm-i to nayayad juz fosungar
 - 77 agar zayand az an tokhme hezaran

 hame divan buvand u badsaran

 'From your town comes none but the ill-fated,

 From your seed comes none but the caster of spells.

 If thousands are born of that seed,

 All will be divs and empty-headed.'
- p.138.83 <u>az īzad sharm bādā mādaram rā</u> ke kard ālūde vīže goharam rā
 - 84 marā dar dast-i chun to jādū-i dād

 ke bā tō nīst sharm u dānish u dād

 'May my mother repent before God

 For having stained my pure heritage.

 She placed me in the hands of a sorceress like you,

 Who lacks modesty, wisdom and justice.'
- p.139.102 be har sani khuda-yi danish u din

 beh az divan-i khuzani u ramin

 'The God of wisdom and faith is in any case

 Better than divs from Khuzan, and Ramin.'

Vis repeatedly accuses the Nurse of being bidin, 'without religion'. Sharm, 'modesty', danish u dad, 'wisdom and justice', she declares, are lacking in her. Whether this bad character given to the Nurse is actually meant in reference to her personal religion, or is simply a stereotype of her profession is not clear. For the Nurse in 'Yusef u Zulaykhā' of Jāmi² in the 15th century A.C. is also described as a sorceress and one that brings lovers together; possessing a very moral character would evidently hinder this reprehensible but obviously exciting occupation.

At one point the Nurse is called 'qarche' (p.430.306) where Dehkhuda cites the word and gives the meaning as applying to nomads and uncouth persons in general. Qarches, like gypsies elsewhere, tell fortunes and solve occasional love problems.

Although outwardly a cultivated woman, in accordance with her exalted connections, the Nurse does not seem to have any steady moral principles. Her aim and function in the story is to help Vis and Rāmin to be together (though she is not happy about this at first, until she herself has been seduced by Rāmin and so won to his cause). To this end she will do anything, and her powers are considerable. Thus on one occasion when Vis is eager to come out and see Rāmin secretly the Nurse simply murmurs a spell to incapacitate Mōbad:

p.415.37 sabuk dāye fosūnī khwānd bar shāh

to gofti shah morde gasht bar gah

'Straightaway the Nurse chanted a spell over the king,

It was as if the king was dead upon the bed.'

In her wordly advice to Vis the Nurse tells her:

p.141.128 zanān-i mehtarān u nām-dārān

buzurgān-i jihān u kāmgārān

130 agar che shoy-i nam-burdar darand nihani digari-ra yar darand

131 gahī dārand shōy-i naghz dar bar

be kām-i khwīsh u gāhī yār-i delbar

'The wives of the great and the illustrious,

Lords of the world and those with power to gratify

their wishes,

. . . .

Even though they have famous husbands,

In secret they have another man as lover.

Now they have their sweet husbands in their arms,

At their desire, and now a beloved lover.'

In this respect, i.e. in exempting the great and the illustrious from any moral code, the Nurse resembles Mobad, and not surprisingly these two share the epithet of jadu. In accordance with her general lack of scruples, she declares to the still virgin Vis:

p.154.46 gar amīzesh kunī ba mard yekbar

be jan-i man ke nashkībī azīn kar

'If you have intercourse but once with a man,
Upon my soul, you will never hold back from this act.'

The Nurse speaks of yazdan, that is (in the usage of our Muslim poet) God. She says that if Ramin should suddenly die of grief, God would punish her for his death, because she had not won Vis over to him. But this seems to be an emphatic way of talking rather than representing a genuine fear of God, just as she swears by her soul (jan), without apparently any serious intent. Perhaps she employs religious vocabulary only conventionally, perhaps in order to answer Vis in her own terms, since the latter is constantly speaking of heaven and hell, behesht and duzakh. The Nurse herself appears very much as a fatalist. Thus on one occasion Vis, having by now seen Ramin and lost her heart to him, is assessing the prospect of pursuing this love, and is perhaps hoping to be persuaded in favour of it; the Nurse tells her that this is her fate and that she has no choice but to follow 'God's ruling':

p.153.17 to az farman-i yazdan kay gurīzī

va ba gardun-i gardan kay setīzī

'When can you escape from God's command,
When can you contend with the turning wheel?'

Gardun (Parthian and MP wardyun) and charkh, both meaning 'wheel', that is, the wheel of fortune, the astronomical sphere, in general play the same role as yazdan in the Nurse's speeches, as in the following verses:

- p.132.144 <u>ze charkh ayad qadha naz kam-i mardom</u>
 azīra bande amad nam-i mardom
 - 147 <u>ze charkh ayad hame chizi nivishte</u> nivishte ba ravan-i ma sereshte

p.133.169 qadha gar bar to ranad mihrabani nabashad juz qadha-yi asmani

'Fate comes from the wheel of fortune, not from man's will,

That is why man is called 'slave'.

. . . .

Everything written has come from the wheel of fortune, The writ is worked into our souls.

. . . .

If fate decrees that you should love,

It is nothing but your fate decreed by heaven.'

Earlier she has said with worldly wisdom: _

p.127.53 <u>be rāmish dār del rā tā tavānī</u>

<u>ke dō rūz ast mā rā zindagānī</u>

. . . .

buvad shadish yeksar andoh-amigh napayad dir hamchun saye-yi migh 'Keep your heart joyful while you may, For life for us lasts but two days.

Its happiness is always mixed with sorrow,
It lasts no longer than the shadow of a cloud.'

The emphasis on the actions of fate, and on the shortness of man's days, is characteristic of epic poetry, and is marked in the Shāhnāme. But helplessness in guiding one's own actions is no part of Zoroastrian belief, since that faith lays great stress on man's power and duty to choose to act,

and to act well. The fact that the workings of fate are spoken of in Vis u Rāmin chiefly by the Nurse thus seems one more characteristic setting her apart from the others in the story. They, whatever their failings, subscribe to Zoroastrian ideals and ethical standards. She is a woman, it seems, without firm religious convictions, an outsider, one with mysterious powers. She is represented as genuinely and warmly attached to both Vis and Rāmin, and as moved by a primitive conviction that they, being young, handsome and noble, should be brought together, to enjoy happiness while they can. But since she does not concern herself with questions of sin, or with heaven and hell, she seems, in this Zoroastrian society, to be indeed one touched with wickedness, a representative almost of the dark world of Ahriman.

NOTES TO CHAPTER SIX

- 1. Minorsky, 'V u R I', 759.
- 2. Jami, 43.17-19:

az an jomle fosungar daye-i dasht
ke az afsungari samaye-i dasht
be rah-i asheqi kar azmude
gahi asheq gahi ma'shuq bude
be ham vaslat deh-i ma'shuq u asheq
movafeq saz-i yar-i na movafeq

ABBREVIATIONS AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

- AHM: see Gershevitch, I., The Avestan Hymn to Mithra
- Anklesaria, B.T., <u>Pahlavi Vendidad</u>, transliteration and English transl., <u>Bombay 1949</u>.
- ----, Zand-Akasih, Iranian or Greater Bundahišn, English transl., Bombay 1956 (GBd).
- Arda Viraz Namag: see Jamaspji Asa, H. and Haug. M.
- Arberry, A.J., Fifty Poems of Hafiz, Cambridge 1970.
- Biruni, Athar ul-baqiyah, Persian transl. by Dana Seresht, Tehran 1352/1973.
- Boyce, M., A History of Zoroastrianism, vol.I, Leiden/Köln 1975 (HZ).
- ----, 'On the Calendar of Zoroastrian Feasts', BSOAS XXXIII (1970), 513-539.
- ----, 'The Parthian gosan and Iranian Minstrel Tradition', JRAS 1957, 10-45.
- ----, A Persian Stronghold of Zoroastrianism, Oxford 1977.
- BSOAS: Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies,
- Debevoise, N.C., A Political History of Parthia, Chicago 1938, repr. 1969.
- Dhabhar, B.N., The Persian Rivayats of Hormuzyar Framarz, English transl., with notes, Bombay 1932.
- Farziyat nama-i Darab Pahlan: see Modi, J.J.
- Firdosi, Shahname, Mo'asse Khavar ed., Tehran 1311/1932.
- GBd.: see Anklesaria, B.T., Zand-Akasih
- Gershevitch, I., The Avestan Hymn to Mithra, text with English transl. and notes, Cambridge 1959, repr. 1967 (AHM).
- Gur, gani, Fakhr ud-Din, Vis u Ramin, ed. M. Minovi, Tehran 1935.
- HZ: see M. Boyce, A History of Zoroastrianism.

- Jamaspji Asa, H. and Haug, M., The Book of Arda Viraf, text with English transl. and notes, Bombay and London 1872 (Arda Viraz Namag).
- Jamasp-Asana, J.M., Ayadgar i Zareran, Pahlavi Texts I, Bombay 1897, 1-17.
- ----, Shahrestaniha i Eran, Pahlavi Texts I, Bombay 1897, 18-24.
- Jami, Yusef u Zalaykha, Lucknow 1297/1880.
- JRAS: Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society.
- Minns, E.H., 'Parchments from the Parthian Period from Avroman', Journal of Hellenistic Studies, XXXV 1915, 22-61.
- Minorsky, V., 'Vis u Ramin, A Parthian Romance', art. I, BSOAS XI (1946), 754-763.
- ----, art. II, BSOAS XII (1947), 20-35.
- ----, art. III, BSOAS XVI (1954), 91-92.
- Modi, J.J., The Persian Farziyat-nameh ... of Dastur Darab Pahlan, text with English transl., Bombay 1924.
- Morrison, G., Vis and Ramin, English transl., New York and London 1972.
- Pahlavi Vendidad: see Anklesaria, B.T.
- Sa'di, Kulliyat, M.A. Furoughi ed., Tehran n.d. (preface 1319/1942).
- Shahbazi, A.Sh., The Irano-Lycian Monuments, Tehran 1975.
- Shahname: see Ferdowsi.
- <u>Šayast ne-šayast</u>: see Tavadia, J.C.
- Tavadia, J.C., Šayast ne-šayast, text with German transl. and notes, Hamburg 1930.
- Unvala, M.R. ed., <u>Darab Hormazyar's Rivayat</u>, text, 2 vols., Bombay 1922.
- Y: Yasna.
- Yt: Yasht.

INDEX

abaron ('unrighteous') 20	dakhma 69, 85
Ādar, Āzar 6, 25, 30	Dai 30
Adur Farnbag 67	danish ('learning') 47, 90
Ādur Burzēn Mihr 67, 68	Dar-i Mihr 66
69, 85	darvaze ('gate') 66
Ahriman 21, 31, 42, 43, 94; Angra Mainyu 50	dastur ('priest') 21
Ahura Mazda 7, 41, 50, 62,	Davar 82, 83
64; Ahuradhata 86;	davar ('judge') 32
Ahuras 86	dīn ('religion') 47; bīdīn
Airyaman 63	('without religion') 90
Amahraspandan 32	Div 31
Ameshaspands 57	<u>div</u> ('demon') 21, 43, 82; daevic 63
<pre>aray- ('arranging; making beautiful') 8</pre>	dorostīhā ('properly, rightly')
Arsaces 28	13, 60
asha ('order, truth, right-	doshkhwar, doshwar ('difficult') 13
eousness') 7, 21, 41;	farr, farrah, farrokh ('kingly
ashahe ratu- ('lord of the righteous') 33	glory') 22, 29, 64, 76; khvarenah 64, 76, 86
Asham vohu 41	faryad ('cry for help, an outcry')
Ashi 37, 86	11, 12, 42
<u>atash</u> ('fire') 53, 54, 56,	fravašis 62, 82
67, 83; atash-gah ('fire	-g <u>ītī</u> ('world') 8, 9, 40, 41
temple') 55, 64, 65, 66	<pre>gohar ('jewel, pearl; lineage')</pre>
Bābel 88	19, 29
bad-mihr ('unfaithful') 43,	gosan ('minstrel') 6
80, 81	<u>jādu</u> ('sorcerer') 18, 20, 21
bakht ('fortune') 37, 64, 86	·
Chinvat Bridge 57	Jamshid (Yima) 30, 65, 66, 67, 76
covenant: see Mihr and pact	keshvar, karshvar ('country, region') 67
<pre>dad ('justice') 12-13, 40,</pre>	khūb-rūy ('good-looking') 34
justice') 12-13	Khurdad, Khurreh 67
Dadar ('Creator') 31, 32,	Khuzan 15, 88
33, 83	khwedodah ('next-of-kin marriage')
daena, den 37	13, 30, 74

20,

```
kusti ('sacred girdle of
                               ristkhez ('resurrection')
     the Zoroastrians')
                               Shah-i Cheragh
     11, 31
                               sharm ('modesty')
             14, 20, 29
Mah (Media)
                               sogand ('sulphur') 56, 59
Manikan, Manekan
                               sogand khwardan ('oath-taking')
Marv 14, 15, 17, 64, 66,
                                    54, 57, 58, 61
     77; *Marvikan 17
                               Sorūsh, Srosh, Sraosha 31, 32, 33,
Mihr (Mithra)/mihr ('sun,
                                    34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 57,
     love') 10, 32, 33, 39, 56, 57, 59, 60, 66, 79,
                                    81, 85; sorushan 31, 32
                               Tansar/Tosar 17
     80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85
                               tanu-manthra ('whose body is the
Mihr Yasht 10
                                    sacred word')
                                                    35
Mihragan 6, 23, 25, 51
                               Tiridates I
                                             18
mobad ('priest')
                  21
                               Ushahin Gah
                                             38
Mt. Revand 68
                               varon ('unrighteous')
No Ruz 6, 20, 23, 25, 30,
     85; 'spring feast' 22, 25
                               Varuna 86
                               Vayu 79
oath 53, 54, 56, 57, 61,
                               Verethraghna 85, 86
     64; see also sogand
                               yasna, jashn ('act of worship;
Ohrmazd 31, 83
                                    feast, celebration')
ordeal 53, 54, 55, 56, 58
                               yazdan ('God; yazads') 31-32, 43,
pact 59, 60, 76, 77, 79,
                                    62, 93
     80, 84
                               zur ('false')
                                               21
Pahlavi (original text and
     vocabulary) 5, 6, 7,
     8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 17,
     20, 21, 30, 31, 32, 50,
     60, 62, 64, 80, 82, 83
patyare, patyarag ('assault
     by the Evil Spirit.) 78
Paykar ('embodiment') 19, 20
piray- ('trimming, tidying')
purity 49, 50, 62, 65
qarche ('gypsy')
Ram 26, 79
Raman
       79
Rashn
       57
rasti ('righteousness')
     41, 42, 43, 44
```